001815

THE CIBRARY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
& LAND MANAGEMENT
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

THE Ur

THE CONSERVATION OF MULGA COMMUNITIES

IN THE SOUTH-EAST PILBARA, WESTERN AUSTRALIA

A report to the National Parks Authority (W A) and Department of Conservation & Environment (W A)

bу

J. Nic. Dunlop Christmas Island Phosphate Mining Co and

Barbara D Porter

School of Environmental & Life Sciences

Murdoch University (W A)

28 March 1985

581. 526.53 (9413) DUN Manuscript: K Pillinger

Illustrations: S van Leeuwen

SUMMARY: The mulga communities of the southeastern Pilbara differ from those elsewhere in Western Australia in that they occupy a semi-arid region with predominantly summer rainfall. The close association of such communities with hummock grasslands exposes the former to fire risks not shared by other mulga lands.

A variety of landscape patterns in the region gives rise to diverse mulga formations whose characteristics are described in this report; the genetic resources of the various forms of mulga may be of value to future arid zone reclamation. In this region, mulga-dominated communities include vegetation species which have not been recorded from mulga communities elsewhere, e g the Murchison district.

A detailed examination of the ant fauna in selected mulga communities suggests that faunal groups may recognise minor habitats according to site soil moisture and the dominance of hummock or bunch grasses in the ground layer. Species richness appears to be affected by disturbance to the vegetation.

Further data is presented which describes the invertebrate and vertebrate faunae of the region; in many cases, there exist close associations with particular types of mulga-dominated habitat.

In view of continuing pressures on unaliented crown land in

the region, it is important to examine the conservation requirements of the area whilst there remains the potential to acquire or otherwise manage land for its ecological values.

Conservation objectives include the reservation of stands of mulga-dominated vegetation which represent the major habitat variations, and the establishment of scientific reference areas to monitor the impact of fire, grazing and mining in the region.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                             |                                       | Page  |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Summary                     |                                       | (i)   |
| ist of contents             |                                       | (iii) |
| ist of tables               |                                       | (iv)  |
| ist of figures              |                                       | (vi   |
|                             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |       |
| Introduction                |                                       | 1     |
| 2. Mulga formations and the | physical environment                  | 5     |
| 3. Characteristics of mulga | communities                           | 9     |
| 1. Mulga communities as fau | ina habitats                          | 15    |
| 4.1 Ant faunal analysis     |                                       | 15    |
| 4.2 Invertebrate fauna      |                                       | 26    |
| 4.3 Vertebrate fauna        |                                       | 33    |
| 5. Conservation management  | ·                                     | 38    |
| Bibliography                |                                       | 44    |
| Appendix I - Flora          | ,                                     | 46    |
| Appendix II – Figures       |                                       | 55    |

#### LIST OF TABLES

- Forms of mulga <u>Acacia aneura</u> recorded from the study area.
- The ant species collected at twelve mulga study sites in July 1984.
- 3. The density of mulga trees (live trees/hectare) and the distribution of the forms in relation to landform and soil type at each of the study sites examined in July 1984.
- 4. Trap days, total captures, mean species per trap and dominance index for ant sampling using pitfall traps and total species richness including species collected by hand, for each of the twelve mulga study sites.
- 5. Description of vegetation and soil type at each of 12 sites in which the ants were sampled in July 1984.
- 6. The total ant species richness and mean number of pitfall-trapped individuals at ungrazed sites grouped into four classes on the basis of mulga canopy cover.

# LIST OF FIGURES

- 1. The south-eastern Pilbara region
- Microtopographic survey through two groves on an outwash plain southeast of Pamelia Hill.
- 3. Study sites for mulga survey July 1984
- 4. Principal components ordination of ant presence/
- 5. Management zones

Figures are presented in Appendix II at the back of this report.

- 7. Description of vegetation (life form density classes) in mulga habitats sampled for invertebrates.
- 8. List of Collembola collected from four mulga communities and from hummock grassland at West Angelas (P Greenslade).
- List of Isoptera collected from four mulga communities and from hummock grassland at West Angelas (D Perry).
- 10. Small ground-living or scansorial mammals recorded from mulga-dominated habitats in the study area.

#### 1: INTRODUCTION

In the south-eastern Pilbara (Figure I - Appendix II), those communities dominated by mulga (Acacia aneura) constitute a northern tropical outlier of similar vegetation in the Gascoyne, Murchison and the western central Deserts. Beard (1975) suggested that the northern limit of mulga distribution is determined by temperature, with hummock grass (Triodia and Plectrachne spp) replacing mulga in the hottest, dry areas of Western Australia. Mulga communities in the Pilbara differ from those elsewhere in Western Australia in that they lie in a semi-arid region (average annual rainfall 280-300 mm) with predominantly summer (November to April) rainfall.

In the south-eastern Pilbara, communities dominated by Acacia aneura occur on loamy valley floors, drainage basins elevated outwash plains, fluvial plains and on sheltered slopes of ridges and breakaways. The hot dry ridges and slopes, outcrops and sandy plains are vegetated with hummock grassland communities. No extensive areas of mulga woodland formations occur on the Hamersley plateau, a region essentially dominated by hummock grassland.

In the south-eastern Pilbara, mulga communities abut hummock grasslands, and on slopes <u>Triodia</u> and <u>Plectrachne</u> species are frequently found in the ground layer, sometimes forming a codominant stratum. The hummock grasslands are naturally

subject to wildfire and the proximity of mulga communities to hummock grasslands exposes the former to fire risk. In this respect, such communities differ from other mulga lands where the typically sparse grass and forb layer greatly reduces the incidence of fire. Some leaf/phyllode variants of Acacia aneura from the south-eastern Pilbara show a limited capacity to regenerate from adventitious buds, a characteristic not found in other mulga ecosystems (Fox 1984). However, mulga in this region nevertheless appears vulnerable to increases in fire frequency.

The Hamersley Range National Park covers the central plateau of the Hamersley Ranges and the dissected northern escarpment. There are, however, no extensive areas of woodland within Park boundaries. This is unfortunate in terms of the representativeness of the reserve because the Ranges exert a profound influence on the development of mulga communities in the south-eastern Pilbara. Here the plateau breaks up into a series of east-west trending ridges and parallel valleys. The associated drainage, erosion/ depositional patterns and microhabitats give rise to a variety of mulga formations. The effect of the ridges and slopes in concentrating moisture in parts of the environment is an important determinant of stand location, biomass and survival (Fox & Dunlop 1983 a, b). Any regional conservation strategy based on the Hamersley Range National Park should involve adequate reservation and management of the mulga

habitats on the south-eastern perimeter of the Hamersley Plateau.

Until recently the mulga communities from north and west of the Ophthalmia Range to the edge of the National Park and north to Mt Robinson were on unalienated crown land and had at no stage been used as pastoral rangeland. There is virtually no other part of Western Australia where mulga lands have escaped the ecological effects of grazing stock. In the Gascoyne grazing has led to widespread environmental degradation.

Recently (1983) large extensions to Juna Downs station were approved; these included mulga lands east of the National Park from south-west of Mt Robinson, taking in the Coondewanna Flats to Munjina. Further extensions south of the Governor are pending approval. In view of these continuing pressures it is important to examine the conservation requirements of the area whilst it is still possible for land to be acquired or otherwise managed for its ecological values.

Almost all of the area in question is included within mineral tenements held by Goldsworthy Mining, CRA, Mt Newman Mining and Cliffs International. The location of these tenements and the future infrastructure of the mining operations will be considered in relation to possible conservation strategies for the area.

This report is based on a biological examination of the region (study area shown in Figure 1) conducted in July 1984, but also draws on the findings of a number of studies conducted since 1979. It has three broad objectives:

- to document all the available data on the biology of the mulga communities in the region,
- 2) to delineate a possible mulga fire management priority area within the Hamersley Range National Park (HRNP) to be used as an ecological baseline in monitoring the impact of regional changes in fire frequency.
- 3) to assess areas in the region in terms of their conservation values for possible inclusion in the HRNP or for incorporation in a regional conservation strategy.

This report examines the relationship between various mulga formations and the physical environment, and analyses the vegetation characteristics, the value of mulga communities as animal habitats, the nature of associated invertebrate and vertebrate faunas and possible conservation land use options involving the remaining unalienated crown land.

### 2. MULGA FORMATIONS AND THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

In the study area, outcrops of the Hamersley Iron Formation produce many east-west trending ridges separated by broad often elevated valleys. The major ridges, between 800 and 1200 m, include the Ophthalmia range, Pamelia Hill ridge, Rhodes ridge, Padtherung Hill ridge, Coondewanna Hill ridge, Twin Peaks ridge (West Angelas), the Governor ridge, the Mt Robinson ridge and the Mt Meharry ridge. Smaller hills which represent outcrops of Marramamba Iron Formation are found within the broad valleys, with outcrops of Wittenoom Dolomite at the foot of the major ridges. Areas of outcropping calcrete, dolerite and laterite are also found in the valleys.

Mulga communities in the region occupy a range of habitats, sheltered slopes of ridges and hills including viz the incised lateral valleys, lower slopes, elevated outwash valley floors, fluvial outwash plains and drainage plains, basins. Soil types vary widely from skeletal, scree on the slopes, to shallow sandy loams on the lower slopes, deeper colluvial sandy clay loams or clay loams on broad outwash plains and deep clay loams or clays in drainage basins. Studies on stand development of mulga in valley habitats (Fox & Dunlop 1983 a) have shown that tree size and stand biomass are related to long term moisture conditions Whilst a relationship was determined between mulga site. height and measured soil moisture (for trees greater and

than 5 m) a much stronger correlation existed between stand biomass (stem basal area per hectare) and an estimate soil moisture storage at potential the moisture storage depended on soil texture and depth. largest trees (14 m) and the highest stand biomass were found in mulga growing on deep, clay loam in a drainage This relationship however does not hold for cracking clay soils subject to seasonal extremes of cracking waterlogging. The location of a site in the landscape also affects its long term moisture status due to the influence of catchments in concentrating rainfall in particular areas.

Linked to the relationship with soil moisture storage patterns of dispersion observed in mulga trees. Clumped grove and intergrove dispersion is characteristic of stands minor elevated and broad valley outwash plains. Figure 2 (Appendix II) (Fox & Dunlop 1983 b) shows the results of micro- topographic survey through two groves on an outwash plain south-east of Pamelia Hill. The groves form more steeply sloping parts of the terraced outwash plain and separated by intergroves with very little slope. The groves invariably have deeper soils than the intervening intergroves, although the actual depth varies from only 0.2 m on some elevated outwash plains to over 1.0 valley floors. The intergroves are scattered with gravel which varies in size and cover. Examination geological map covering the Pamelia Hill area (Geological survey of W A, 1:250,000 series, Newman SF50-16) shows the

deeper soils of the groves as being tertiary colluvium. At the bottom of the outwash plain is a denuded flood plain and the quaternary creek line. Thus the groves higher on the outwash plains represent former tertiary channels of the quaternary creek with the mulga trees growing in the colluvial deposits which were formerly creek banks. The intergroves with their scattering of gravel represent the former creek beds.

On outwash plains mulga height decreases down the dominant plane of slope with decreasing soil depth. At the denuded lower limits of these plains mulga is sometimes replaced by other <u>Acacia</u> spp, and trees give way to shrubs. Along the groves, however, tree height increases downslope presumably in relation to increased soil depth due to deposition. Conspicuous mounds of trapped soil have been observed within the groves (eg. Figure 2).

Mulga trees show adaptations which conserve water both within the plant and within the soil environment of the plant roots. Clearly such adaptations are relevant to soil moisture storage. Since this storage capacity is fairly limited the survival of mulga depends more on the length of drought periods than on total rainfall. Therefore it is the relatively high frequency and rather localised rainfall events which are of greatest importance. In grove and intergrove mulga, one observes both sheet flows down the dominant plane of slope and lateral flows along the groves.

In most situations sheet flows would happen fairly regularly after heavy rainfall. Lateral flows (possibly only through the colluvium) would take place with the highest frequency, as a consequence of localised rainfall in minor catchments. In some situations lateral surface flows in the form of major cyclonic floods may occur. These are too infrequent to be of great importance in recharging the soil store.

On level plains and drainage basins receiving run-off from all sides, the mulga is not clumped but evenly distributed. Formations in these environments consist of low woodland or scrubs rather than the low forest or thicket (with intervening sparsely vegetated areas) associated with sloping outwash plains. Most water reaches such areas as surface flows.

On steep slopes mulga is best developed in sheltered positions. Thus on southern slopes, on breakaways, in incised lateral valleys or on the walls of gorges it forms low woodland. Elsewhere on the ridges or hills mulga is reduced to a scrub stratum.

# 3. CHARACTERISTICS OF MULGA (ACACIA ANEURA) COMMUNITIES

Mulga Research Centre has been carrying out studies on The the ecology of mulga in the study area since 1979. this period many of the plants growing in mulga- dominated communities have been collected and identified. A list plant species is given in Appendix 1. Species are 147 included which occur on steep slopes and in habitats where hummock grassland codominates with mulga. Also included are species recorded from mulga areas which had been recently burnt; these species may not be present in undisturbed woodland. During a survey conducted in July 1984 at (11) detailed study sites (Figure 3 - Appendix II) specimens of all plants present in a 500 m<sup>2</sup> study plot were collected or recorded. Those observed in mulga habitats for the first time in July 1984 are indicated in Appendix I.

The only complete floral inventory for an area of mulga lands in Western Australia was compiled for Mileura station (Lat. 26°22'S, Long. 117°20'E) in the Murchison District (Davies 1970). This account probably also includes plant species which occurred in communities other than those dominated by mulga, such as <u>Acacia</u> scrub, Chenopod shrubland and bunch grassland. Nevertheless, the floristic composition of the area is similar to the mulga communities of the south-eastern Pilbara.

In all communities which it dominates, Acacia aneura forms

the upper stratum although often in association with other species. Formations recorded in the study area include dense low forest A, low forest A, low woodland A and B and scrub (life-form density classes follow Muir 1977). Common associates on the outwash plains and valley floors were Acacia pruinocarpa, Eucalyptus setosa and Grevillea spp. Some tropical plants which were present in mulga in the south-eastern Pilbara were not recorded in the Murchison. These include Canthium latifolium and C. lineare which grow in the shade of mulga canopy and twine through the canopy of the trees. The tropical mistletoes Amyema spp are also well represented.

On drainage basins and claypans mulga codominates with coolibah <u>Eucalyptus microtheca</u>. Eucalypts were not recorded from mulga communities at Mileura.

Scrub or dwarf scrub strata of Eremophila spp or Cassia spp were present in most mulga communities. This is also the case in other mulga lands. Scrub of Acacia tetragonophylla and A. victoriae occurred in association with mulga on denuded floodplains, A. sclerosperma on sandy soils, A. stowardii on rocky outcrops and A. pachyacra after fire. An endemic to the Hamersley Ranges Acacia marramamba was present in mulga woodland on the slopes of low hills many of which bear economically significant iron-ore deposits.

The ground stratum of mulga communities may consist of bunch grasses, forbs including succulents, and in the south-eastern Pilbara, hummock grasses.

included Aristida spp, Eragrostis Important bunch grasses spp, Themeda australis and introduced Cenchrus ciliaris on valley floors, drainage basins and clay pans. The associated grasses on rocky hillslopes were Eriachne spp and Cymbopogon spp. The hummock grasses Trioda pungens and Plectrachne schinzii formed the understorey on lower slopes, elevated outwash plains and on broad valley outwash plains. It is not / known what factor determines which of the hummock grasses is but differences in soil pH may be implicated. The of hummock grassland with mulga close association communities does not occur in southern parts of Western Australia. As a consequence, fire damage to mulga is more frequent and extensive in the study region. Many of the Papilionoideae recorded were from burnt-out stands of mulga. The Desert Poplar Codonocarpus cotonifolius, Cockroach Bush Cassia notabilis and the herb Corchorus walcottii were also usually recorded from regenerating areas. Fire appears to increase the abundance and widen the distribution of hummock grass particularly Triodia and concomitantly reduce the extent of mulga.

Most conspicuous among the forb species in mulga communities were representatives of the Amaranthaceae, <u>Ptilotus</u> spp. and <u>Gomphrena</u> spp. A diversity of succulent herbs, particularly

in intergroves, included <u>Dysphania</u> spp, <u>Maireana</u> spp and <u>Trianthema glossostigma</u>. <u>Rhagodia</u> spp were present under mulga close to creek lines and on cracking clay soils.

Throughout its range Acacia aneura has a number of leaf/ phyllode variants, the genetic control of which is understood. All forms breed true to the parent variant when grown from seed. At least nine (9) and possibly ten (10) phyllode variants or forms have been recorded in the study area. In Table 1 these forms are described on the basis specimens examined in July 1984. The terete leafed mulga (form C) and black mulga (form E) may be unique to the Table 2 shows the density of mulga trees and the region. forms of mulga present in relation to landform and soil type at each of the detailed study sites examined in July 1984. Forms A and B occurred widely on outwash plains in grove and intergrove mulga sometimes with form C. Where all three forms were present together on outwash plains (not in Table their distribution suggested that they may constitute ecotypes. Frequently broad leafed forms (B) occupied the spine of groves on the deepest soil. Narrow leafed mulga (  ${\tt A}$  ) present on the edge of the grove and terete forms (C) scattered through the intergrove. What appears the xeric form with terete phyllodes also has weeping and most Christmas Tree forms which show phyllode reduction. This may represent further adaptation to drought. Forms E, F, I and J were scarce and not recorded on the study sites. The

Table 1: Forms of mulga Acacia aneura recorded from the study area.

|  |                     |                          |                                | DESCRIPT                                   | ION   |                    |                                |       |          |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------|----------|
| FORM   | Life<br>form        | Maximal<br>height<br>(m) | Canopy                         | Bark                                       | Shape                                       | Arrangement        | Phyllodes<br>Colour            | width | x length |
| A. Narrow leafed mulga<br>(typical)                | Tree<br>or<br>shrub | 5.0                      | open<br>crown                  | fissured                                   | curved, narrow<br>linear and<br>flattened   | ascending<br>erect | greyish<br>green               | 2.0   | 40.1     |
| B. Broad leafed mulga<br>(typical)                 | Tree<br>or<br>shrub | 4.0                      | dense<br>crown                 |  | falcate to<br>oblanceolate and<br>flattened | ascending<br>erect | dark<br>green                  | 3.3   | 61.2     |
| C. Terete leafed mulga (typical)                   | Tree                | 5.0                      | open<br>crown                  | grey,<br>fissured                          | terete                                      | ascending<br>erect | greyish<br>green               | 0.8   | 35.1     |
| D. Weeping mulga                                   | shrub<br>Tree<br>or | 4.0                      | very open                      | grey ,<br>fissured                         | long, terete                                | declinate          | greyish<br>green               | 0.6   | 95.1     |
| E. Broad leafed mulga<br>'black mulga'             | Tree<br>or<br>shrub | 7.0                      | dense<br>crown                 | black,<br>smooth to<br>lightly<br>fissured | long, falcate and flattened                 | ascending<br>erect | bluish<br>green                | .3.2  | 65.7     |
| F. Silver mulga                                    | Shrub               | 2.0                      | dense<br>crown                 | grey ,<br>fissured                         | very narrow long,<br>linear flattened       | ascending erect    | reflective<br>grey-green       | 1     | 73.9     |
| G. Narrow leafed mulga (short phyllodes)           | Shrub               | 2.0                      | dense<br>crown                 | grey ,<br>fissured                         | very short and<br>narrow flattened          | ascending<br>erect | greyish<br>green               | 1.2   | 22.7     |
| H. Broad leafed mulga<br>(very broad<br>phyllodes) | Shrub               | 2.5                      | dense<br>crown                 | dark grey,<br>heavily<br>fissured          | very broad, lanceoht<br>and flattened       | ascending<br>erect | bluish<br>green                | 9.8   | 45.1     |
| I. Narrow leafed mulga<br>(long phyllodes)         | Shrub<br>or<br>tree | 3.5                      | clumped<br>open canopy         | grey,<br>fissured                          | long, narrow linear<br>flattened            | ascending<br>erect | greyish<br>green               | 1.3   | 87.1     |
| J. Christmas tree mulg                             | Tree                | 4.5                      | apically dominant, open canopy | dark grey,                                 | short, narrow linea<br>flattened            | r, declinate       | greyish<br>green<br>acroscopie | 1.1   | 52.4     |

apically- dominant Christmas Tree mulga was observed at only one locality, on a stony pavement.

The Pilbara terete phyllode mulga was the only form recorded on cracking clay soils (eg. study site 2.0) and was the common form on stony upper slopes, hills and ridges. Black mulga (form E) was restricted to well-watered groves and incised valleys or gorges.

The genetic resources of the various forms of mulga may be of some importance for future arid zone reclamation both in Australia and in other parts of the world.

## 4. MULGA COMMUNITIES AS FAUNA HABITATS

The plant communities dominated by Acacia aneura occupy a range of landforms and a number of different soil types. This in turn leads to variation in vegetation structure which embraces low forests, woodlands, scrub formations and clumped (groved) and homogeneous patterns of dispersion. Differences also exist in the level of disturbance to which various mulga stands have been subjected, principally from fire and pastoral grazing.

#### 4.1 Ant faunal analysis

In order to examine the ways in which these factors the distribution of animals in the mulga influence communities a study based on analysis of the ant fauna was carried out. Ant communities have been shown to be effective indicators of land use, ecosystem development, conservation values and of animal habitats (Greenslade and Thompson 1981, Rossbach and Majer 1983). Majer 1983 a, 1983 b, community analysis has been used to Pilbara region, ant evaluate the success of rehabilition on iron ore mines waste dumps (Dunlop et al, in press). At West Angelas, in the study area, distinct ant assemblages occupied hummock grassland and low woodland (mulga) communities (Majer 1983 a), a pattern which was also evident from sampling at Newman (Porter 1983). Termites Ophthalmia Dam area near (Isoptera) show a similar division in species composition

Table 2: The ant species collected at twelve (12) mulga study sites in July 1984. Numbers indicate ants collected in pitfall traps. The symbol + denotes a species collected by hand, from nests or by beating and sweeping.

| ANT SPECIES                   |              | STUDY SITES |     |     |      |     |        |      |      |      |      |         |     |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----|-----|------|-----|--------|------|------|------|------|---------|-----|
|                               |              | 1           | 2   | 3   | 4    | 5   | 6      | 7    | ន    | 9    | 10   | 11      | 12  |
| PONERINAE                     |              |             |     |     |      |     |        |      |      |      |      |         |     |
| Anochetus                     | sp68         |             |     |     |      |     |        |      |      |      |      | 1       |     |
| Bothroponera                  | sp58         |             | ļ   |     |      |     | . 1    |      |      |      |      | 1       |     |
| Leptogenys                    | spl2         | +           | 2   |     |      | +   | 1      |      |      |      | 2    | 1       |     |
| Odontomachus<br>Rhytidoponera | sp51<br>sp5  |             |     |     |      |     | ,      |      |      |      |      | 1       |     |
| Rhytidoponera                 | sps<br>spl4  |             | +   | 5   | +    | 3   | 156    |      |      | ١.   |      |         |     |
| Rhytidoponera                 | sp17         |             | 2   | 2   |      | 1   | 3      |      | 2    | 1 1  | 1 7  | 9 7     | 4   |
| MY.RMICINAE                   |              |             | -   |     |      | •   |        |      | -    | 1    | ′    | '       |     |
| Chelaner                      | sp25         |             | ٠   |     |      | 115 | 18     |      |      | 23   | 4    | 23      |     |
| Chelaner                      | sp33         | 1           |     | 7   |      |     |        |      |      |      |      | 1 23    |     |
| Chelaner                      | sp37         | 2           | •   |     |      | 3   |        |      |      |      | 1    | 1       | +   |
| Chelaner<br>Chelaner          | sp38<br>sp69 | 4           |     | +   |      |     |        |      | +    |      |      |         | ļ   |
| Chelaner                      | sp69<br>sp72 |             |     |     |      |     |        |      |      |      |      | 8       |     |
| Monomorium                    | sp72<br>sp55 |             |     |     |      | 19  |        | 1    |      | 2    | _    | l .     |     |
| Pheidole                      | sp4          | 2           | 21  |     | 12   | 10  | 4      | 1    | 2    | 1 21 | 5    | 4<br>27 |     |
| Pheidole                      | spll         | 7           | 2   |     | -~   | 2   | 1      | 1    | -    | 21   | 6    | 1       | ,   |
| Pheidole                      | sp26         |             |     |     |      | 3   | 7      |      |      |      |      | +       |     |
| Podomyrma                     | sp61         |             |     |     |      |     |        |      |      | +    |      |         |     |
| Tetramorium                   | sp36         | 2           |     | 2   |      | 6   | 1      | 1    |      |      | 6    | 19      | 4   |
| Tetramorium<br>Crematogaster  | sp66         |             |     |     | -    | _   | _      |      |      | İ    | 1    |         |     |
| Crematogaster                 | sp15<br>sp24 | 1 . 1       | . 9 |     | ļ    | 5   | 2      |      |      |      |      | 16      |     |
| Crematogaster                 | sp44         | +           | +   |     |      | +   | 1      |      |      |      | +    | +       | į   |
| Meranoplus                    | sp3          | '           | ,   | +   | 1    |     |        |      |      |      |      | 2       | ĺ   |
| Meranoplus                    | spl8         | 2           | 2   |     | 1    |     | 1      |      |      |      |      | 2       |     |
| Meranoplus                    | sp46         |             |     |     |      |     | .6     |      |      |      |      | +       | +   |
| DOLICHODERINAE                |              |             |     |     |      |     |        |      |      |      |      | ·       | ,   |
| Tapinoma                      | sp20         |             | 2   |     | 1    | 1   |        |      |      |      |      |         |     |
| Iridomyrmex                   | spl          | 4           | -   | +   | 3046 | + * |        |      |      |      |      |         | •   |
| Iridomyrmex                   | sp6          |             |     |     | +    | ,   | 20     | +    |      |      |      | +       |     |
| Iridomyrmex                   | sp7          | ļ 1         | 39  | 109 | 4    | 59  | 43     |      |      |      |      | 19      |     |
| Iridomyrmex                   | sp10         | 7           | 96  | 138 | 57   |     | +      | 1260 | 5118 | 589  | 2087 | 717     | 169 |
| Iridomyrmex<br>Iridomyrmex    | sp16         | 4           | 5   |     | 1    | +   | 1      |      |      |      |      |         |     |
| Iridomyrmex                   | sp31<br>sp35 | . 2         |     | 10  |      |     | + _ '  |      |      |      |      |         |     |
| Iridomyrmex                   | sp48         | '           | +   | 18  | 1    |     | 5<br>4 |      |      | +    |      | +       | +   |
| Iridomyrmex                   | sp50         | 1 1         |     | +   |      | 1   | *      |      |      |      | 5    |         |     |
| Íridomyrmex                   | sp74         |             |     | +   |      |     |        |      |      |      | 3    |         |     |
| FORMICINAE                    |              |             |     |     |      |     |        |      |      |      |      |         |     |
| Paratrechina                  | sp45         |             |     |     | 1    |     | 2      |      |      |      |      |         |     |
| Camponotus                    | sp8          |             |     |     | 5    | 2   |        | +    | +    | I    |      |         |     |
| Camponotus                    | sp19         |             | 2   |     | 1    |     |        | I    |      | 1    |      | . [     |     |
| Camponotus<br>Camponotus      | sp21         |             | 4   |     |      |     | +      | ŀ    |      |      |      | 1       |     |
| Camponotus<br>Camponotus      | sp22<br>sp23 | +           | +   |     | +    | 1   | 1      | +    | ļ    | + ·  |      | 1       | +   |
| Camponotus                    | sp23         | *           | Ŧ   |     | 1    | 1   | +      | -    |      | ſ    | Í    | _       |     |
| Camponotus                    | sp29         |             |     | .   | ļ    | +   | +      | +    |      | -    | +    | 9       |     |
| Camponotus                    | sp30         | ]           |     |     |      | +   | Ţ      | 1    | 4    | 1    | + ,  | +,,     |     |
| Camponotus                    | sp40         | 14          | +   | +   |      | 65  | 7      | + 1  | 4    | 1    | 1    | 11      | +   |
| Camponotus                    | sp42         |             |     | ļ   |      | +   | 1      |      |      |      |      | ļ       | + 2 |
| Camponotus                    | sp57         |             | l   | -   | +    | 1   | -      | 1    |      |      | i    | I       | 4   |
| Camponotus<br>Calomyrmex      | sp64         |             |     |     |      |     |        | į    | 1    | +    | +    | ļ       |     |
| Daiomyrmex<br>Opisthopsis     | sp62<br>sp49 |             |     |     |      |     | _ ]    | -    | +    | +    | ļ    | +       | +   |
| Polyrachis                    | sp49<br>sp39 | 2           | +   |     |      | +   | 1      |      | _    |      | 1    | 2       |     |
| Polyrachis                    | sp60         |             | 7   | +   |      | +   |        | + +  | 2    | . 1  | +    | }       |     |
| olyrachis                     | sp63         |             |     | -   | -[   | [   |        | 7    | +    | + +  | 2    | ŀ       |     |
| Polyrachis                    | sp70         |             |     |     |      |     | 1      | -    | . [  | 7    | ۷    | +       |     |
| lelophorus                    | sp2          | +           | +   | 5   | 1    | +   | 1      | l    | +    | 2    | +    | 2       | +   |
| delophorus                    | sp34         | +           | +   | 2   |      |     |        | į    | j    | -    |      | -       | ,   |
| delophorus<br>Prolasius       | sp41         | +           | +   |     |      |     | 1      | -    |      | 1    |      | +       |     |
| Prolasius<br>Prolasius        | sp52         |             |     | Į   | 1    | 1   | 1      |      | +    | 2    | 1    |         |     |
| Plagiolepis                   | sp59<br>sp53 | ,           |     | l   | 1    | .   | ]      | 1    |      |      | -    |         | 1   |
| Stigmacros                    | spss<br>sps4 |             | ļ   |     |      | 1   | 1      | l    | İ    | 1    | 1    |         |     |
| Formicinae indet              | sp54<br>sp65 |             |     | -   | l    | 1   | İ      |      |      |      |      | +       |     |
|                               | ~~~          |             |     | t t | 1    |     |        |      | 1    | 1    | 1    | +       | 1   |

between the two major vegetation types but other factors influenced the distribution of springtails (Collembola) (P Greenslade unpublished data).

#### Methods

In July 1984 ants were sampled at twelve (12) study sites in the seven areas shown in Figure 3 (Appendix II). At site a 25 x 20 m plot was marked out within which were set 10 pitfall traps in a line with 2 m spacing. Each trap consisted of a 43 mm diameter plastic specimen jar containing preservative (alcohol/glycerol 70/30 v/v). At sites 1 to 11 traps were set for 5 days but were set for only 3 days site 12. For approximately 30 minutes during daylight, each site in an area defined by the plot was actively searched for ants; all species found were collected. Ants active on foliage were collected by beating the branches of shrubs and trees onto a white beating tray, low vegetation was sampled using a sweep net and nests were excavated using a shovel or trowel. Some sites were also searched at night but there was little activity due to low nocturnal temperatures. Ants were sorted to species level but have not as yet been identified or referred to species codes in the W A I T collection. ants captured in 'pitfall traps' were counted by species. Those taken by hand collection were identified and additional species were included in the site lists.

Table 3 gives the number of ants of each species captured in pitfall traps at the twelve (12) study sites. Also shown are the species collected by hand. Pitfall trap data was used to obtain pitfall species richness, mean species per trap and dominance index (Table 4). The latter is a measure of the concentration of individuals in one or a few dominant species. This index was determined using the following relationship:

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} \left(\frac{n_i}{N}\right)^2$$

where  $n_i$  is the number of individuals of the ith species captured at each site,

and N is the total number of ants captured at the site.

Data from the hand collections was used only in obtaining total species richness for each sampling site.

The presence/absence data for the 12 study sites was also used in a principal components ordination (Figure 4 - Appendix II) in which sites were grouped or separated on the basis of similarity in species composition.

### Results

The vegetation and soils sampled at the 12 sites in July '84 are described in Table 5. The life form/density classes of Muir (1977) have been used to describe vegetation structure.

The density of mulga trees (live trees/hectare) and the distribution of the forms (described in table 1) in relation to landform and soil type at each of the study sites examined in July 1984. Table 3:

|                           |                       |     |                |                    | .1.                             |                 |                    |           |   |  |  |                                  |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Н                         |                       |     |                | ÷                  | 7                               |                 | :                  |           |   |  |  |                                  |
| t<br>G                    |                       |     |                |                    | +                               |                 |                    | + .       | +                                       |  |  |                                  |
| present<br>E F            |                       |     |                |                    |                                 |                 | . •                |           |   |  |  |                                  |
|                           |                       |     |                |                    |                                 |                 |                    |           |   |  |  |                                  |
| forms                     |                       |     |                |                    | +                               |                 |                    |           |   |  |  |                                  |
| t fo                      |                       |     |                | +                  | . ,                             |                 |                    |           |   | +  |  |                                  |
| Mulga<br>B C              |                       |     |                |                    |                                 | * ****          |                    |           | *.                                      | ı.   | + .                                      | +                                |
| Σ̈́α                      |                       | +   | ٠.             |                    | , ,                             | · .             |                    |           |   | +  | • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |                                  |
| A                         | +                     | +   |                |                    | +                               | +               | +                  |           |   |  | <u></u>                                  | +                                |
| (e)                       |                       |     |                |                    |                                 |                 |                    |           |   |  |  |                                  |
| lensity<br>per hectare)   |                       |     | •              |                    | ·<br>·<br>·                     |                 |                    |           |   |  | •  |                                  |
| ity<br>he                 |                       |     |                |                    |                                 | • .             |                    |           |   |  |  |                                  |
| Tree density stems per he | 940                   | 920 |                | 20                 | 620                             | 340             | 089                | 720       | 260                                     | 1200   | 420                                      | 1340                             |
| Tree c                    | 76                    | 6   |                | Ħ,                 | 9                               | ĸ)              | 9                  |           | 7                                       | 12   | 4  | 13                               |
|                           |                       |     |                | •                  |                                 |                 |                    | · · · .   |   |  |  |                                  |
| (live                     |                       |     |                |                    |                                 | •               |                    |           |   |  |  |                                  |
|                           |                       |     |                |                    |                                 |                 |                    |           |   |  |  |                                  |
| 1                         |                       |     |                |                    | E                               |                 |                    |           |   | Ę  |  | j                                |
|                           |                       |     |                |                    | loam                            | <u> </u>        | ву                 | _         |   | loam   | ame.                                     | E                                |
| 9                         | ındy                  |     |                | ing                | ndy loam                        | clay            | clay               | loam      | = .                                     | ndy loam   | loam                                     | loam                             |
| type                      | , sandy               |     |                | acking             | sandy loam                      | ndy clay        |                    |           | = =                                     | ı sandy loam<br>avel   |  | lay loam                         |
|                           | ow,<br>loam           | =   |                | cracking           | llow sandy loam                 | sandy clay<br>n | sandy              | clay      | = =                                     | low sandy<br>gravel  | y clay                                   | ,clay                            |
| Soil type                 | ow,<br>loam           | =   |                |                    | Shallow sandy loam              |                 | sandy              |           | =                                       | Shallow sandy loam<br>and gravel   |  | Deep, clay loam                  |
|                           | Shallow,<br>clay loam | =   |                | Deep cracking clay | Shallow sandy loam              | Deep sandy clay | sandy              | clay      | = | Shallow sandy<br>and gravel  | Sandy clay                               | ,clay                            |
|                           | Shallow,<br>clay loam | =   |                |                    | Shallow sandy loam              | Deep<br>  loam  | Deep sandy<br>loam | clay      | = = =                                   | Shallow sandy<br>and gravel  | Sandy clay                               | Deep, clay                       |
|                           | Shallow,<br>clay loam | =   |                | Deep<br>  clay     | Shallow sandy loam              | Deep<br>  loam  | Deep sandy<br>loam | clay      | = = =                                   | Shallow sandy<br>and gravel  | Sandy clay                               | Deep, clay                       |
| Soil                      | Shallow,<br>clay loam | =   |                | Deep<br>  clay     | Shal                            | Deep<br>  loam  | Deep sandy<br>loam | Deep clay | = =                                     | Shallow sandy<br>and gravel  | Sandy clay                               | Deep, clay                       |
| Soil                      | Shallow,<br>clay loam | =   | ove)           | Deep<br>  clay     | Shal                            | Deep<br>  loam  | Deep sandy<br>loam | Deep clay | п п                                     | Shallow sandy<br>and gravel  | Sandy clay                               | Deep, clay                       |
|                           | Shallow,<br>clay loam | =   | ergrove)       | Deep<br>  clay     | Shal                            | Deep<br>  loam  | Deep sandy<br>loam | Deep clay |   | outwash plain, and gravel all to quaternary  | ertiary outwash sandy clay grove and ove | Deep, clay                       |
| Soil                      | Shallow,<br>clay loam | =   | Intergrove)    | Deep<br>  clay     | Shal                            | Deep<br>  loam  | Deep sandy<br>loam | Deep clay | ·                                       | outwash plain, and gravel all to quaternary  | ertiary outwash sandy clay grove and ove | Deep, clay                       |
| Soil                      | ow,<br>loam           | =   | (Intergrove)   |                    | Lower slopes Shallow sandy loam |                 | sandy              | clay      |   | ash plain, Shallow sandy intergrove, and gravel o quaternary                                     | y outwash Sandy clay and                 | ,clay                            |
| / Landform Soil           | Shallow,<br>clay loam | =   | (Intergrove)   | Deep<br>  clay     | Shal                            | Deep<br>  loam  | Deep sandy<br>loam | Deep clay |   | Broad outwash plain, Shallow sandy grove and intergrove, and gravel proximal to quaternary creek | ertiary outwash sandy clay grove and ove | Valley, outwash plain Deep, clay |
| Soil                      | Shallow,<br>clay loam |     | 3 (Intergrove) | Deep<br>  clay     | Shal                            | Deep<br>  loam  | Deep sandy<br>loam | Deep clay |   | outwash plain, and gravel all to quaternary  | ertiary outwash sandy clay grove and ove | Deep, clay                       |

TABLE 4. Trap days, total captures, mean species per trap and dominance index for ant sampling using pitfall traps and total species richness including species collected by hand, for each of the twelve (12) mulga study sites.

|                        | Study Sites |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                        | į           | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | õ     | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12    |
| Pitfall trap/days      | 5           | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 3     |
| Total captures         | 52          | 186   | 288   | 3126  | 298   | 285   | 1264  | 5128  | 644 2 | 2129  | 882   | 179   |
| Hean species per trap  | 1.90        | 2.90  | 2.56  | 1.88  | 4.47  | 4.50  | 1.7   | 2.0   | 3.6   | 3.5   | 6.8   | 1.45  |
| ± standard deviation   | 0.74        | 1.70  | 1.11  | 1.11  | 1.82  | 1.90  | 1.1   | 0.71  | 0.71  | 1.1   | 1,4   | 0.68  |
| Oominance index        | 0.135       | 0.328 | 0.378 | 0.949 | 0.377 | 0.331 | 0.996 | 0.998 | 0.838 | 0.980 | 0.664 | 0.891 |
| Total species richness | 19          | 26    | 16    | 11    | 31    | 28    | 11    | 12    | 18    | 20    | 33    | 12    |

Table 5. Description of vegetation and soil type at each of 12 sites in which the ants were sampled in July 84. Life-form/density classes follow Muir (1977).

| Sample site | Area | Habitat Description  |
|-------------|------|--|
| <b>1</b>    |      | Grove of <u>Acacia aneura</u> low forest A over open <u>Plechtrachne schinzii</u> hummock grass and open herbs and low grass on shallow sandy loam.                    |
| 2           | . 1  | As for sample site 1   |
| 3 .         | 1    | Intergrove between sites 1 & 2, sparse Plectrachne hummock grass   |
| 4           | 2    | Acacia aneura scrub over low bunch grass and ephemeral herbs on deep cracking clay loam. Abutting <u>Cassia</u> dwarf scrub C on shallower soils.                      |
| 5           | 3    | Weakly defined grove of <u>Acacia aneura</u> and <u>Eucalyptus setosa</u> low forest A over <u>Triodia pungens</u> open hummock grass on shallow sandy loam and scree. |
| 6           | 4    | Clump of <u>A aneura</u> low woodland B over short bunch grassland on deep sandy clay loam. Scattered clumps of hummock grass <u>Plectrachne schinzii</u> .            |
| 7           | 4    | Grove of <u>A aneura</u> low forest A over dwarf scrub C over open herbs on deep sandy loam. Abutting <u>Plectrachne schinzii</u> hummock grassland on intergroves.    |
| 8           | 5    | Acacia aneura low woodland A over open herbs and grasses on deep loam. Grazed and heavily trampled.  |
| 9           | 5    | As for site 8 but less heavily used by stock.  |
| 10          | 6    | Clump of <u>Acacia aneura</u> low forest B over <u>Triodia pungens</u> hummock grassland on shallow loam and gravel on drainage channel.                               |
| 11          | 6    | Grove of $\underline{A}$ aneura low forest B over open dwarf scrub C over open herbs and grasses on shallow sandy loam.  |
| 12          | 7    | Grove of $\underline{A}$ aneura low forest $A$ over open herbs and grasses on deep cracking clay loam.   |

During the sampling period 64 species of ants were collected from the 12 study sites. These are shown in Table 3. Fifty-two species (81.2%) were captured in pitfall traps on аť least one of the study sites. Of the remaining ants Podomyrma sp 61, Calomyrmex sp 62 and Polyrachis sp 70 forage on open ground, but occupied the tree trunks and deadwood. Other species including <u>Crematogaster</u> sp Iridomyrmex sp 31874, Camponotus spp 23, 29, 64 & Polyrachis sp 60 and Melophorus sp 41 were inactive and most were excavated from nests. Both Polyrachis sp 60 Melophorus sp 41 nest on the open edges of groves and in the intergroves where there is high insolation. Polyrachis is the 'mulga ant' which arranges mulga phyllodes over the turret-like entrance of the nest; it has been collected whilst foraging in October and November. Melophorus sp 41 is a yellow 'honeypot' ant, the repletes of which were dug from nests in July '84. It has been collected in open spaces whilst active in October, November and January. In these ants were active during daylight with air temperatures over 40°C.

### Species Richness

The highest total richness was 33 species, recorded at site 11, and the lowest was 11 species at sites 4 and 7. The values for pitfall species richness and mean number of species per trap follow the same trends as total richness. Two factors appear to influence the number of species

of these is the density of the mulga canopy. 0ne present. not clear using the canopy cover This relationship is Muir (1979). In table 6 the sites are grouped into classes for percentage crown cover dividing the 30-70% forest category of Muir (1977) into two classes. Table 6 shows that high ant species richness occurred on undisturbed sites with 11-30% and 31-50% canopy cover. Lower associated with sites with canopy cover density less than 10% and over 50%. At those sites with over 50% canopy cover, low species richness was possibly related to low ant abundance but this was not the case with sites than 10% crown density. Low richness in sparse mulga stands may be related to low productivity whilst in mulga forest low insolation may reduce the number of species.

The second factor which appears to affect species richness is disturbance. Sites 8 and 9 (not included in table 6) were both on Juna Downs station and grazed by stock. The sites were on opposite sides of a fence line and site 8 was more heavily trampled than site 9. More than 20 species would have been expected from this woodland area (canopy cover 11-30%) but total richness recorded for site 8 was only 12 species and site 9 had 18 species. This loss of richness was associated with high ant abundance. Values for dominance index ranged from 0.135 (low dominance) at site 1 to 0.998 at site 8. Generally high dominance index values were

Table 6. The total ant species richness and mean number of pitfall-trapped indviduals at ungrazed sites grouped into four classes on the basis of mulga canopy cover.

|                         | Mulga canopy cover |        |                 |        |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|--|--|--|
|                         | 0-10%              | 11-30% | 31-50%          | 51-70% |  |  |  |
|                         |                    |        |                 |        |  |  |  |
| Sites                   | 3, 4               | 6      | 1, 2, 5, 10, 11 | 7,12   |  |  |  |
| Total species richness  | 11-16              | 28     | 19-33           | 11-12  |  |  |  |
| Mean no. of individuals | 1707               | 285    | 709             | 747*   |  |  |  |
|                         |                    |        |                 |        |  |  |  |
|                         |                    |        |                 |        |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> numbers trapped at site 12 scaled to captures per 5 days

associated with sites with sparse or dense mulga canopy cover (ie sub-optimal habitats) and with disturbed sites. Conversely, undisturbed sites in preferred habitats were not strongly dominated by a few species. The high dominance index values recorded for dense mulga stands may be a seasonal characteristic related to low ground insolation. Increased activity in scarce species during the warmer times of year may reduce the dominance of a few winter-active species.

Figure 4 (Appendix II) shows the grouping of sites obtained by principal components analysis. Two clusters involving ten (10) sites are identified and two sites remain ungrouped. An environmental/vegetation **examination** of the characteristics suggests that two (2) dominant processes control the distribution of ant species. the These are related factors of site soil moisture and soil texture and the dominance of either hummock grasses (Triodia Plectrachne) or bunch grasses and forbs in the ground layer. Sites 1, 2, 3 and 5 occur on slopes or elevated outwash plains with shallow and sandy soils. These sites relatively dry and hummock grasses predominate over bunch grasses and forbs.

Site 6 was unusual in that it was dominated by a species of Rhytidoponera instead of the usual Iridomyrex spp. . Although located in an area of short bunch grassland clumps of Plectrachne also occurred with the mulga. The soil was loamy

but relatively dry.

Site 11 had the combination of shallow, sandy loam but with bunch grasses and forbs in the ground layer. This was not observed at other sites. The groves of low forest were narrow and the intergroves relatively broad. These conditions appear to be optimal for ants.

Thus within the mulga-dominated communities of of the study area, two (2) minor habitats were recognised on the basis of ant species composition. Broadly, these divisions also appear to be applicable to other faunal groups.

## 4.2 Invertebrate fauna

The only survey of invertebrates in the study area (with the exception of the ant sampling conducted in July 1984) was a survey of ants (Formicinae), termites (Isoptera) and springtails (Collembola) conducted at West Angelas in December 1979 (Integrated Environmental Services, 1980). Much of the data on ants was published in Majer (1983a, 1983b) and also in Dunlop et al, (in press). Analysis of the termite and springtail populations has not been previously presented in an open publication.

The West Angelas study was based on intensive collections in eight (8) one hectare plots, four (4) of which were in habitats with a dominant mulga stratum. The remainder were

in hummock grassland habitats. In table 7 the sampled mulga habitats are described using the vegetation life-form density classes of Muir (1977).

Table 7. Description of vegetation (life form density classes) in mulga habitats sampled for invertebrates

### Sample Plot

# Vegetation Description

- Mulga Acacia aneura low woodland B over open

  Triodia pungens hummock grassland on stoney

  scree slope with some outcropping rock.
- Mulga <u>Acacia aneura</u> low forest A over
  <u>Triodia pungens</u> hummock grassland on shallow sandy loam.
- c Mulga Acacia aneura woodland A over Triodia

  pungens hummock grassland on sandy loam.
- d similar to C.

Termites were collected during searches of three (3) hours duration in daylight and one (1) at night. During the searches foragers were collected, mounds were opened, the ground surface scraped to reveal galleries, living and dead wood was inspected and leaf litter was raked. In all cases an attempt was made to collect specimens of the soldier caste of any species detected as these were necessary for reliable identification. The number of colonies of each species detected in the plot was recorded.

Three techniques were used to sample springtails. Surface-active species were collected in twenty-five pitfall traps (18 mm internal diameter tubes containing 5 ml of alcohol/glycerol, 70/30 v/v). Traps were set for 7 to 8 days.

Approximately six (6) litres of leaf litter was collected from each plot and transported in sealed polyethylene bags to the laboratory. Here the litter which was dry in the field was moistened to activate quiescent individuals. Springtails were then extracted over a one-week period in eight Berlese funnels.

Thirteen (13) soil cores were sampled from each plot and placed in sealed tins. These were sent to the laboratory and the springtails extracted using a multiple canister heat extractor. All termites were identified by Mr D H Perry of Victoria Park, (W A) and springtails by Ms P Greenslade of

Australian Museum. Listed in Table 8 are thirty the South eight (38) species of Collembola collected from at least one of the four (4) mulga habitats. Many of these were also recorded from hummock grassland sampling sites with only one Lepidocrytoides sp 2, showing a strong association species, with mulga. The exotic springtail Cryptopygus thermophilus present in the West Angelas area but was not present in was the Mulga habitats. This indicates that the latter essentially undisturbed ecosystems.

Representatives of Entomobryidae and Neanuridae were more abundant in mulga habitats than in hummock grassland. due to a relationship with the relatively deep leaf litter under mulga stands. No relationship between Collembola species composition and vegetation type was found. In this respect they differ from ants (Majer 1983a, b) and termites for which distinct communities were identified with hummock grassland and mulga woodland. In table 9 twelve species of termite recorded from at least one (1) of (12)the mulga habitats sampled are listed. One Tumulitermes tumuli appears to be restricted mulga habitats and is known to feed on Acacia litter <u>Drepanotermes</u> <u>columellaris</u>. Some wood-feeding species were also more or less confined to mulga including <u>Heterotermes</u> occiduus, Schedorhinotermes <u>intermedius</u> and <u>Nasutitermes</u> <u>centraliensis</u>. Species of underground dwelling or building <u>Drepanatermes</u> were found in mulga on friable soil (plots C & D).

Table 8. List of Collembola collected from four Mulga Acacia aneura communities and from hummock grassland at West Angelas (P Greenslade unpublished data).

| •                        |            |       |     |     |           |
|--------------------------|------------|-------|-----|-----|-----------|
| SPECIES                  |            | SITES |     |     |           |
|                          |            |       |     |     | 1         |
|                          |            |       | _   |     | Hummock   |
| SMINTHURIDAE             | 2          | 6     | 7 . | 8   | grassland |
| <u>Sphaeridia</u> sp     | +.         | +     | +   | +   | +         |
| Sminthurinus sp          |            | +     |     | +   | +         |
| <u>Aneuempodialis</u> sp |            |       |     | +   |           |
| *Corynephoria sp 1       | +          |       |     |     | +         |
| Corynephoria sp 2        | ,          | +     |     |     |           |
| *Corynephoria sp 3       |            |       | +   | +   | +         |
| *Corynephoria sp 4       |            |       | +   |     |           |
| Corynephoria sp 6        |            |       | •   | +   | •         |
| Corynephoria sp 7        |            |       |     | + , |           |
| ENTOMOBRYIDAE            | •          |       |     |     |           |
| Lepidobrya sp            |            |       | +   |     | +         |
| Acanthurella sp          |            | . +   | +   | +   | + -       |
| Lepidosira sp            |            | +     | +   | +   | +         |
| Drepanura sp             |            |       | + . | +   | +         |
| Entomobrya sp            |            |       |     | +   | +         |
| Willowsia sp             | :          | +     |     |     | +         |
| *Lepidocyrtoides sp 1    | <b>+</b>   | +     |     |     |           |
| Lepidocyrtoides sp 2     | +          | +     | +   | +   |           |
| Lepidocyrtoides sp 3     | +          | +     | +   | +   | +         |
| Lepidocyrtoides sp 4     | <b>+</b> . |       |     |     | +         |
| ISOTOMIDAE               |            |       |     |     |           |
| Acanthomorus sp          | +          | +     | +   | +   | +         |
| Proisotoma brisbanensis  |            | + '   |     |     | +         |
| Folsomides sp 1          | +          | +     | +   | . + | +         |
| *Folsomides sp 2         | +          |       | +   | +   | +         |
| Folsomides sp 3          | + .        | +     | + . | +   | +         |
| *Folsomides sp 4         | +          | +     | +   | +   | +         |
| Folsomides sp 5          | +          | +     | +   | +   | +         |
| Folsomides sp 6          | +          |       |     |     | + '       |
| Folsomides sp 7          |            | +     | +   | +   | .+        |
| Folsomides sp 9          | 1 1 -      | +     | ٠   |     | •         |
| HYPOGASTRURIDAE          |            |       | •   | •   |           |
| <u>Xenylla</u> sp        |            |       |     | +   |           |
| NE ANURI DAE             |            |       |     |     |           |
| Odontella sp 1           |            | +     |     |     | t         |
| *Odontella sp 2          |            | +     |     |     |           |
| cf Ceratrimeria sp       | •          | + .   | +   | +   | +         |
| Pseudachorutella sp      |            | + -   |     | •   | +         |
| Pseudachorutes sp        |            |       | +   |     | +         |
| *Probrachystomella sp    |            | +     |     | +   | +         |
| Eronrachagromerra ab     |            | •     |     |     |           |

\*Subclavontella sp 1
Brachystomella sp

<sup>\*</sup> new species at time of survey

Table 9. List of Isoptera collected from four mulga

Acacia aneura communities and from hummock

grassland at West Angelas (D H Perry,

unpublished data).

SPECIES

SITES

|  |        | · |   |            | Hummock   |
|--|--------|---|---|------------|-----------|
|  | 2      | 6 | 7 | 8          | grassland |
| RHINOTERMITIDAE                        |        |   |   |            |           |
| <u>Heterotermes</u> <u>occiduus</u>    | +      |   |   |            |           |
| Schedorhinotermes interm               | nedius | + | + | +          | +         |
| TERMITIDAE                             |        |   |   |            |           |
| Tumulitermes dalbiensis                | +      |   |   |            | +         |
| Tumulitermes tumuli                    | +      | + | + | +          |           |
| Tumulitennes recaluus                  |        | + |   |            | +         |
| <u>Tumulitermes</u> sp nov a           | +      |   | , | +          | +         |
| <u>Tumulitermes</u> sp nov c           | +      | + |   |            |           |
| Nasutitermes centraliens               | is     | + | • |            |           |
| Drepanotermes columellar               | is     |   | + |            |           |
| Drepanotermes corax                    | ,      |   |   | +          | +         |
| <u>Drepanotermes</u> <u>diversicol</u> | or     |   |   | <b>+</b> ' |           |
| Drepanotermes rubriceps                |        |   |   | +          | +         |

## 4.3 The Vertebrate Fauna

The herptile, bird and small mammal faunae of the study area are documented by Muir (ed, 1983). Mulga communities are probably the preferred habitat of about thirty (30) of the region's hundred or so herptile species. However few of them are restricted to mulga habitats, many being more closely associated with the friable substrates on which mulga stands occur eg <u>Delma</u> <u>nasuta</u>, <u>Ctenophorus</u> <u>isolepis</u>, <u>Ctenotus</u> helenae, Ctenotus duricola, Omolepida branchialis, Varanus brevicauda, Rhamphotyphlops spp, Pseudonaja modesta and Vermicella approximans. Other species are associated with trees (arboreal) or deadwood including Gehyra variegata, Pogona minor, Cryptoblepharus plagiocephalus and Varanus caudolineatus. Reptiles which appear to be more or less confined to mulga habitats in the area are <u>Diplodactylus</u> granariensis and Ctenotus pulcher, Diplodactylus schomburgkii.

Many species of birds have been observed in mulga habitats in the area, primarily because of their mobility. However as a basis for conservation it is pertinent to describe the typical assemblage of species.

The common passerine insectivores are the Thornbills

Acanthiza robustirostris and A. uropygialis, Desert Flyeater

Gerygone fusca mungi, Variegated Wren Maluris lamberti,

Rufous Whistler Pachycephala rufiventris, Red-capped Robin

Petroica goodenovii and Hooded Robin Petroica cucullata. The Grey Shrike Thrush <u>Collurincla</u> <u>harmonica</u> is common in better-watered stands of mulga in incised valleys and gorges and close to creek lines. The Grey Fantail is a scarce migrant which inhabits mulga. These birds include individuals οf the races alisteri of south-eastern Australia, albicauda of arid central Australia, and preissii of south-western Australia. The Western Flyeater fusca is also a migratory visitor to the mulga lands of the south-eastern Pilbara (Johnstone 1983b).

The rare Grey Honeyeater Lacustroica whitei is not uncommon in the mulga communities around West Angelas. Spiny-cheeked Honeyeater <u>Acanthagenys</u> rufogularis and Singing Honeyeater Meliphaga virescens are the most frequently observed honeyeaters.

of the seed-feeders the Zebra Finch <u>Poephila guttata</u> is common and the Bourke Parrot <u>Neophema bourkii</u> is locally abundant eg in the vicinity of Giles Point. The Bustard <u>Otis australis</u> is frequently observed in mulga/bunch grassland habitats. The mulga woodlands of the study area are inhabited by the Grey Butcher-bird <u>Cracticus torquatus</u> with the Pied Butcher-bird <u>Cracticus nigrogularis</u> occupying other habitats.

Table 10 shows the distribution of small mammal species in several broadly defined mulga-dominated habitats. Three (3)

Table 10. Small ground-living or scansorial mammals recorded from mulga <u>Acacia aneura</u> dominated habitats in the study area.

| Scrub aver |                | lland Low w | roodland Den | se low   |  |
|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------|--|
| bunch gras | sland (A or B) | (A ar       | · 8) (gr     | aved)    |  |
|            | over but       | ich aver    | hummock woo  | bns/book |  |
|            | grassla        | nd grass    | land (A      | ar 8)    |  |

# MAMMALS

| Antechinus rosamondae              |          |              | <del>†</del> |     |
|------------------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-----|
| <u>Mingaui timealeyi</u>           |          |              | +            |     |
| <u>Sminthopsis</u> macroura        | +        | <del>!</del> | +            | t · |
| <u>Sminthopsis</u> <u>ooldea</u>   |          |              | +            | ÷   |
| <u>Planigale</u> <u>maculata</u>   |          |              | + .          |     |
| <u>Planigale</u> of <u>ingrami</u> | ÷        |              |              |     |
| <u>Pseudomys hermannsburgensis</u> | +        | <del>†</del> | ÷            | ÷   |
| Hus musculus                       | <b>+</b> | . +          | ŧ            | +   |

and Planigale maculata are closely associated with a ground layer of hummock grass (Dunlop & Sawle, 1983). Sminthopsis macroura is found in mulga but its preferred habitat appears to be bunch grassland. The only specimen of Planigale ingrami from the Pilbara was collected from low bunch grassland abutting mulga scrub on cracking clay. This allopatric specimen may represent a new taxon endemic to the south-eastern Pilbara. The only small mammal apparently confined to mulga-dominated vegetation in the area is the Ooldea Dunnart Sminthopsis ooldea. Of the four (4) native rodents recorded from the region only the Sandy Inland Mouse Pseudomys hermannsburgensis occurs in the mulga (Dunlop & Sawle 1983).

The Red Kangaroo <u>Megaleia rufa</u> is common in mulga abutting plains vegetated with bunch grassland. Euros <u>Macropus robustus</u> inhabit slopes vegetated with mulga. Most of the region's intermediate-sized mammals are probably extinct although there have been two recent sightings of Rabbit-eared Bandicoots <u>Macrotis lagotis</u> from the south-eastern Pilbara.

Bats have been netted over pools in mulga-dominated vegetation. The species captured were the smaller sized bats of the region including <u>Eptesicus pumilis</u>, <u>Scotorepens greyii</u>, <u>Mormopterus</u> of <u>beccari</u>, <u>Nyctophilus geoffroyii</u> and <u>Chalinolobus gouldii</u>. This observation may reflect the small

size of available tree hollows in mulga habitats.

#### 5.0 CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT

In relation to the mulga communities of the south-eastern Pilbara the following conservation objectives for land-use planning have been considered.

- 1) Extension of the Hamersley Range National Park to:
  - a) reserve stands of mulga-dominated vegetation which represent the major habitat variations,
  - b) incorporate complete mulga watersheds, (where possible)
  - c) include wildlife habitats not adequately represented in the HRNP,
  - d) establish scientific reference areas to monitor the impact of increased fire frequency, grazing and mining in the region, and
  - e) include in the reserve, where possible, any other scenic landscape features which enhance the aesthetic value of the additional area.
- 2. Delineation of management priority zones in areas required for other land uses. Within these zones specific design or management practices will be required to

ameliorate impact on the mulga ecosystem. Activities within management priority zones should be addressed in Environmental Review and Management Plans for proposed mineral developments and in applications for pastoral leases.

Management zones are indicated in Figure 5 (Appendix II). These include a proposed extension to the Hamersley Range National Park, a scientific reference area (fire exclusion zone) inside the present boundaries of the HRNP, and a mosaic of management priority areas within the region.

# Proposed Extension to the Hamersley Range National Park

extension proposed for the HRNP encompasses three east-west trending valleys vegetated relatively with undisturbed mulga communities. These valleys are contiguous with small areas of mulga and riverine habitat inside present HRNP. The proposed boundaries take in the entire watersheds of the valleys and part of the headwater of Turee Creek East Branch. Thus the mulga drainage systems within the extension not be should affected bу development activities outside the Park boundaries. The ridges, particular the Twin Peaks Ridge, have some of the best examples in the area of mulga on slopes in incised and valleys. The Twin Peaks Ridge is also an outstanding landscape feature, and together with the inclusion of Mt Meharry (the highest point in WA) would add significantly to

the scenic value of the proposed extension.

The habitats of wildlife not recorded from the Park are present in the extension. Populations of Ooldea Dunnart Sminthopsis ooldea and Lerista neander will almost certainly be added to the species conserved in the Park. Additional areas of habitat for rare species such as the Pebble-mound Mouse Pseudomys chapmani, Ghost Bat Macroderma gigas, Grey Honeyeater Lacustroica whitei and Pilbara Olive Python Liasis olivaceus barroni would also be conserved by the extension. Unfortunately it is not possible to include a representative area of tall mulga forest within the proposed boundaries, nor is the habitat of Planigale of ingrami protected.

The proposed extension takes into account our present knowledge of future mining needs in the area. No major ore body is located within the proposed extension although the current Cliffs International Inc exploration camp lies just within the south-eastern corner. The town site proposed for future Cliffs International Inc and Goldsworthy Mining Pty Ltd operations is located just north of the Governor and is not affected. Both companies would be mining close to the proposed boundaries. At present the entire area is taken up by leases for mineral exploration.

## Scientific Reference Areas

July 1984 an extensive area in the south-eastern sector of the HRNP was traversed in search of tracts" of woodland and hummock grassland which had not been recently disturbed by fire. However almost the entire signs of burning within the previous 4-5 years. One useful exception was an area of grove and intergrove mulga north and west of Turee Creek East Branch. This area, currently within HRNP boundaries, is representative of mulga vegetation on tertiary outwash plains; the results of the ant suggested that the area is rich in species and more or less undisturbed. There was however evidence of intrusion cattle probably from Juna Downs station. The hills surrounding the outwash plain had been recently burnt the mulga had not been affected.

Ιt recommended that this area bе established as a scientific reference area and managed to exclude wildfire. Further, should the proposed HRNP extension be endorsed then the reference area (with a fire management priority) should be extended to include the southern half of the additional could be used not only in monitoring the area. This zone ecological impact of increasing fire frequency, but also the peripheral effects of mining and grazing.

## Management Priority Areas

These include most of the major drainage basins and outwash plains in the area together with the headwaters of key catchments (eg Turee Creek East Branch). Within all priority areas steps should be taken to prevent the impediment of natural surface drainage. Design features developed for the construction of the Newman to Port Hedland National Highway (Dames & Moore 1984) should have application in the development of roads servicing new mining and townsite areas. Structures other than roads may also produce significant drainage shadows.

The Coondewanna Flats is a priority area which is now located on Juna Downs station. Stocking rates and management practices in this area should be carefully regulated to prevent further deterioration in this rangeland. Such controls should also conserve the scenic amenity of the Mt Robinson-Governor area through which the new National Highway passes.

The priority area encompassing Wanna Munna Flats and the mulga west of Giles Point has been disturbed by intense mineral exploration. However the area contains mulga formations and wildlife habitats (dense forest A, short bunch-grassland) which could not be included in the proposed extension. The possibility of establishing an Ophthalmia Range reserve which includes the scenic Mt Newman and Giles

Point peaks and some of the surrounding mulga lands should be explored. This area, and locations such as Weeli Wolli Springs, should be included in a regional system of nature reserves.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Beard J S (1975). Pilbara Explanatory Notes to Sheet 5, 1:1,000,000 series. Vegetation Survey of Western Australia. University of Western Australia Press, Nedlands.

Dames & Moore (1984). Perth - Darwin National Highway Newman to White Springs. Draft Environmental Review & Management Programme and Environmental Impact Statement. Prepared for Main Roads Dept, W A.

Davies S J J F (1970). A list of plants and animals found at Mileura, Western Australia. Lat 26° 22'S, Long 117° 20'E. CSIRO Division of Wildlife Research. Technical Memorandum 3, 25 pp.

Dunlop J N, Majer J D, Morris C J and K J Walker (in press). A preliminary assessment of minesite rehabilitation in the Pilbara Iron Ore Province. Mulga Research Centre Annual Report no 8.

Dunlop J N & Sawle Maryanne (1983). The small mammals of the eastern Pilbara and the Hamersley Range National Park. National Parks Authority of W A Bulletin no 1: 26-30.

Fox J E D (1984). Fire and its effect on mulga (Acacia aneura) in Western Australia. Section 13-C, Working Papers, 2nd International Rangeland Congress, Adelaide 1984.

Fox J E D & Dunlop J N (1983a). Mulga Study National Highway Project, Report no 2, Mulga Research Centre, WAIT.

Fox J E D & Dunlop J N (1983b). Mulga Study National Highway Project, Report no 5, Mulga Research Cerntre, WAIT.

Greenslade P J M & Thompson C H (1981). Soils, vegetation and ant distributions in the Cooloola-Noosa River area, Queensland. In: Vegetation Classification in Australia Ed. A N Gillison and D J Anderson (CSIRO and ANU Press, Canberra).

Integrated Environmental Services (1979). An ecological appreciation of the West Angelas Environment, Western Australia. An internal report: Cliffs International Inc.

Johnstone R E (1983a). Herpetofauna of the Hamersley Range National Park. Nat Parks Authority of W A Bulletin no 1:7-11.

Johnstone R E (1983b). Birds of the Hamersley Range National Park. Nat Parks Authority of W A Bulleton no 1: 12-15.

Majer J D (1983a). The ant (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) fauna of the Hamersley Range National Park and nearby West Angelas area. Nat Parks Authority of W A Bulletin no 1: 31-36.

Majer J D (1983b). Ants: Bioindicators of minesite rehabilitation, land use and land conservation. Environmental Management, 7: 375-383.

Muir B G (1977). Biological Survey of the Western Australian Wheatbelt, Pt 2. Vegetation and habitat of Bendering Reserve. Rec West Aust Mus Suppl 3.

Muir B G ed (1983). A fauna survey of the Hamersley Range National Park, Western Australia 1980. Nat Parks Authority of W A, Bulletin no 1.

Porter B D (1983). Environmental Monitoring: Ophthalmia Dam, East Pilbara W A; Murdoch University.

Rossbach M H & Majer J D (1983). A preliminary survey of the ant fauna of the Darling Plateu and Swan Coastal Plain near Perth, Western Australia. J Roy Soc W A, 66: 85-90.

#### APPENDIX I

FLORA OF MULGA ACACIA ANEURA DOMINATED COMMUNITIES IN THE STUDY AREA

PTERIDOPHYTA

ADIANTACEAE

Cheilanthes tenuifolia (Burm.f) Sw

MONOCOTYLEDONES

POACEAE

Amphipogon caricinus F. Muell

Aristida anthoxanthoides (Domin.) Henr.

Aristida contorta F. Muell.

\* Aristida obscura Henr.

Cenchrus ciliaris L.

Chrysopogon fallax S. T. Blake

Cymbopogon bombycinus (R. Br.) Domin.

Cymbopogon procerus (R. Br.) Domin.

Dactyloctenium radulans (R. Br.) Beauv.

Digitaria brownii (Roem. & Schult.) Hughes

Enneapogon caerulescens (Gaud.) N. T. Burb.

Enneapogon pallidus (R. Br.) Beauv.

\* Enneapogon polyphyllus (Domin.) N.T. Burb.

Eragrostis eriopoda Benth.

Eragrostis falcata (Gaud.) Benth.

Eragrostis japonica (Thunb.) Trin.

Eriachne benthamii (Domin.) Hartley

Eriachne mucronata R. Br.

Eulalia fulva (R. Br.) Kuntze

Paraneurachne muelleri (Hack.) S. T. Blake

\* <u>Paspalidium clementii</u> (Domin.) C.E. Hubbard

<u>Plectrachne schinzii</u> Henr.

Themeda australis (R. Br.) Stapf.

<u>Triodia pungens</u> R. Br.

## DICOTYLEDONES

## PROTEACEAE

Grevillea berryana Ewart & White

Grevillea nematophylla F. Muell.

Grevillea striata R. Br.

Hakea rhombalis F. Muell.

Hakea suberea S. Moore

## SANTALACEAE

Anthobolus leptomerioides F. Muell.

Exocarpos sparteus R. Br.

Santalum acuminatum (R. Br.) DC.

Santalum lanceolatum R. Br.

## LORANTHACEAE

Amyema fitzgeraldii (Blakely) Danser

Amyema gibberulum (Tate) Danser

Amyema miquellii (Lehm. ex Miq.) Tiegh.

Amyema sanguineum (F. Muell.) Danser

Lysiana casuarinae (Miq.) Tiegh.

Lysiana spathulata (Blakely) Barlow

#### AIZOACEAE

\* Trianthema glossostigma F. Muell.

## PORTULACACEAE

Portulaca oleracea L. Purslane

#### CHENOPODIACEAE

Chenopodium melanocarpum (J. M. Black) J. M. Black

Dysphania myriocephala Benth.

- \* <u>Dysphania kalpari</u> (P. Wilson)
- \* Enchylaena tomentosa R.Br.

  Maireana georgei (Diels) P.G.Wilson

  Maireana sedifolia (F.Muell.) P.G. Wilson

  Maireana triptera (Benth.) P.G. Wilson

  Rhagodia priessii Moq.

  Rhagodia spinescens R.Br.

#### AMARANTHACEAE

Amaranthus mitchellii Benth.

- \* Gomphrena brachystylis F. Muell.

  Gomphrena canescens R. Br.
- \* Gomphrena cunninghamii (Moq.) Druce
  Ptilotus aervoides (F. Muell.) F. Muell

<u>Ptilotus carinatus</u> Benl.

Ptilotus drummondii (Moq.) F. Muell.

<u>Ptilotus exaltatus</u> Nees.

<u>Ptilotus gaudichaudii</u> (Steud.) J. M. Black

Ptilotus gomphrenoides F. Muell. ex Benth.

Ptilotus helipteroides (F. Muell.) F. Muell

Ptilotus obovatus (Gaud.) F. Muell.

Ptilotus polystachyus (Gaud.) F. Muell.

Ptilotus rotundifolius (F. Muell.) F. Muell.

NYCTAGINACEAE

<u>Boerhavia diffusa</u> L.

GYROSTEMONACEAE

Codonocarpus cotinifolius (Desf.) F. Muell.

CAPPARACEAE

Capparis lasiantha R. Br. ex DC

<u>Capparis spinosa</u> L.

<u>Cleome viscosa</u> L.

MI MOSOIDEAE

Acacia aneura F. Muell. ex Benth.

Acacia farnesiana (L.) Willd.

<u>Acacia kempeana</u> F. Muell.

<u>Acacia marramamba</u> Maslin

Acacia monticola J. M. Black

<u>Acacia pachyacra</u> Maiden & Blakely

Acacia pruinocarpa Tindale

Acacia aff. rhodophloia Maslin

Acacia sclerosperma F. Muell.

Acacia stowardii Maiden

Acacia tetragonophylla F. Muell.

Acacia victoriae Benth.

Cassia desolata F. Muell.

Cassia helmsii Symon.

Cassia aff. leurssenii Domin.

Cassia nemophila A. Cunn. ex Vogel

Cassia notabilis F. Muell.

Cassia oligophylla F. Muell.

Cassia pleurocarpa F. Muell.

CAESALPINIOIDEAE

Crotalaria novaehollandiae D.C.

Gastrolobium grandiflorum F. Muell.

Indigofera georgei Pritzel

Indigofera monophylla D.C.

Rhynchosia minima D.C.

Sesbania cannabina (Retz.) Poir.

Swainsona canescens (Benth.) F. Muell.

Swainsona microcalyx J. M. Black

Tephrosia bidwillii Benth.

Tephrosia sphaerospora F. Muell.

#### ZYGOPHYLLACEAE

Kallstroemia platyptera (Benth.) Engl.

Tribulus occidentalis R. Br.

\* Tribulus terrestis L.

#### EUPHORBIACEAE

Adriana tomentosa Gaud.

Euphorbia atoto Forst.

Euphorbia australis Boiss.

## SAPINDACEAE

Dodonaea petiolaris F. Muell.

## TILIACEAE

- \* Corchorus parviflorus Domin.
- \* Corchorus walcottii F. Muell.

#### MALVACEAE

\* Abutilon cryptopetalum (F. Muell.) F. Muell. ex Benth.

Abutilon cunninghamii Benth.

Abutilon fraseri (Hook.) Hook. ex Walp.

Malvastrum americanum (L.) Torr.

Sida calyxhymenia Gay ex DC.

## STERCULIACEAE

Keraudrenia integrifolia Steud.

#### VIOLACEAE

Hybanthus aurantiacus (F. Muell. ex Benth.) F. Muell.

MYRTACEAE

Eucalyptus microtheca F. Muell.

Eucalyptus setosa Schauer

HALORAGACEAE

\* <u>Haloragis gossei</u> F. Muell.

OLEACEAE

Jasminium lineare R. Br.

ASCLEPIADACEAE

Cynanchum floribundum R. Br.

Sarcostemma australe R. Br.

CONVOLVULACEAE

Polymeria ambigua R. Br.

Porana sericea (Gaud.) R. Muell.

BORAGINACEAE

Heliotropium heteranthum (F. Muell.) Ewart & Davies

Trichodesma zeylanicum (Burman) R. Br.

CHLOANTHACEAE

Dicrastylis cordifolia Munir

- Newcastelia cephalantha F. Muell.
- Spartothamnella teucriiflora (F. Muell.) Mold.

## SOLANACEAE

- \* Solanum centrale J. M. Black

  Solanum lasiophyllum Dun. ex Poir
- \* Solanum sturtianum F. Muell.

## ACANTHACEAE

\* <u>Dipteracanthus corynothecus</u> (F. Muell. ex Benth) Bremek ex Ba

### MYOPORACEAE

Eremophila exilifolia F. Muell.

Eremophila fraseri F. Muell.

Eremophila foliosissima Kraenzlin

<u>Eremophila goodwinii</u> F. Muell.

Eremophila latrobei F. Muell.

Eremophila leucophylla Benth.

Eremophila maculata (Ker-Gawl.) F. Muell.

<u>Eremophila punicea</u> S. Moore

#### CAMPANULACEAE

Wahlenbergia sp.

## RUBIACEAE

Canthium latifolium F. Muell. ex Benth.

Canthium lineare E. Pritz.

## CUCURBITACEAE

Mukia maderaspatana (L.) M. J. Roem.

## GOODENIACEAE

Scaevola sp.

ASTERACEAE

Bidens bipinnata L.

Brachycome ciliaris (Labill.) Less.

<u>Centipeda</u> sp.

Denotes a plant species recorded for the first time in July 1984.

# APPENDIX II

FIGURES 1-5

































