

# **SHARK BAY MARINE RESERVES MONITORING PROGRAMME**

**INITIALISATION OF LONG-TERM MONITORING SITES: AUGUST 1996.**

**Data Report MMSP/MW/SBMP-1/1997**

**A collaborative project between CALM Marine Conservation Branch, Geraldton Regional Office  
and Gascoyne District Office**

**Project No. 151/95 - National Ecotourism Programme  
Commonwealth Department of Tourism**

**Prepared by J L Cary and G J Pobar  
Marine Conservation Branch**

**February 1997**



Marine Conservation Branch  
Department of Conservation and Land Management  
47 Henry St.

This report may be cited as:

Cary J and Pobar G J (1997). Shark Bay Marine Reserves Monitoring Programme. Initialisation of long-term monitoring sites: August 1996. Data Report MMSP/MW/SBMP-1/1997. (Marine Conservation Branch, Department of Conservation and Land Management, 47 Henry St., Fremantle, Western Australia, 6160). Unpublished report.

Field notes and general documentation relating to the Shark Bay Marine Reserves Monitoring Programme (SBMRMP) are archived in the following Marine Conservation Branch files:

MMSP/MW/BIO/1997/1  
MMSP/MW/SOC/1997/1  
MMSP/MW/GEN/1997/1

Access to these files and copies of this report are available at:

Marine Conservation Branch  
Department of Conservation and Land Management  
47 Henry St.  
Fremantle, Western Australia, 6160.

Ph: 9-4325100  
Fx: 9-4305408

# CONTENTS

SUMMARY .....	1
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	2
1 INTRODUCTION.....	3
1.1 General .....	3
1.2 Background.....	3
1.3 Aims .....	5
2 SITE SELECTION .....	5
2.1 Impacted sites .....	5
2.2 Control sites.....	5
2.3 Sites of scientific or historic interest .....	5
2.4 Site locations.....	6
3 METHODS.....	6
4 RESULTS.....	6
5 REFERENCES .....	187

## FIGURES

Figure 1	Location map of Shark Bay .....	4
Figure 2	Approximate locations of proposed long-term monitoring sites for the August 1996 field survey.....	7

## TABLES

Table 1	‘Transect’ and ‘non-transect’ sites sampled in the August 1996 field survey.....	8
---------	--	---

## SITE DATA SHEETS

Site SB 10 .....	11
Site SB 15 .....	15
Site SB 65 .....	19
Site SB 66 .....	23
Site SB 67 .....	27
Site SB 75 .....	31
Site SB 22 .....	35
Site SB 20 .....	39
Site SB 36 .....	43
Site SB 38 .....	47
Site SB 45 .....	51
Site SB 57 .....	55
Site SB 55 .....	59
Site SB 31 .....	63
Site SB 32 .....	67
Site SB 27 .....	71
Site SB 60 .....	75
Site SB 50 .....	79
Site SB 54 .....	83
Site SB 28 .....	87
Site SB 95 .....	91
Site SB 104 .....	95

Site SB 105 .....	99
Site SB 127 .....	103
Site SB 121 .....	107
Site SB 125 .....	111
Site SB 128 .....	115
Site SB 131 .....	119
Site SB 132 .....	123
Site SB 98 .....	127
Site SB 120 .....	131
Site SB 141 .....	135
Site SB 195 .....	139
Site SB 180 .....	143
Site SB 182 .....	147
Site SB 184 .....	151
Site SB 186 .....	155
Site SB 190 .....	159
Site SB 139 .....	163
Site SB 147 .....	167
Site SB 148 .....	171
Site SB 112 .....	175
Site SB 110 .....	176
Site SB 14 .....	178
Site SB 300 .....	179
Site SB 19 .....	180
Site SB 29 .....	181
Site SB 39 .....	182
Site SB 48 .....	183
Site SB 36A .....	184
Site SB 53 .....	185
Site SB 99 .....	186

## APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Methodology for the establishment of permanent transects .....	188
Appendix 2 Sampling methodology for the collection of benthic habitat video imagery .....	189

## **SUMMARY**

This data report presents the results of the second field survey of the Shark Bay Marine Reserves Monitoring Programme during August 1996. Fifty sites were visited during this survey, with 41 of these sites being permanent transect sites and nine sites were non-transect sites. Further work, involving the establishment of 15-20 long-term sites and revisiting a few of the heavily impacted sites is planned for April 1997. This survey followed on from the preliminary field survey of the Shark Bay Marine Reserves Monitoring Programme (SBMRMP), conducted in April 1996, during which reconnaissance, trialing of field techniques and the acquisition of biological and physical information was carried out at over 70 sites around the Marine Park to serve as a foundation for the initialisation of long-term monitoring sites (see D'Adamo, Colman and Pobar, 1996; D'Adamo and Pobar, 1996).

The SBMRMP was coordinated by the Marine Conservation Branch (MCB) of the Department of Conservation and Land Management (CALM) and conducted in collaboration with CALM's Midwest Region and Gascoyne District offices. Funding was obtained from the Commonwealth Department of Tourism, under a National Ecotourism Programme grant (Project No. 151/95).

The main objective of this survey was to establish a long-term monitoring programme and provide baseline quantitative benthic habitat data along re-locatable transects to enable changes to the key conservation attributes of the Marine Park to be detected before unacceptable or irreversible impacts occur. Position-fixing of each transect was achieved by differential GPS to better than 3 m accuracy. High quality video footage was taken along three 50 m transects per site.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

### ***Direction***

Keiran McNamara - Director, Nature Conservation Division, CALM.

Dr Chris Simpson - Manager, Marine Conservation Branch (MCB), Nature Conservation Division, CALM.

Greg Leaman - Manager, Midwest Region, CALM.

### ***CALM Regional/District collaboration***

Geraldton Region - Ron Shephard, Programme Leader, Nature Conservation.

Gascoyne District - Paul Brown, District Manager; Brad Barton, Operations Officer.

Pilbara Region - Chris Muller, Regional Manager; Fran Stanley, Reserves Management Officer.

Exmouth District, Doug Myers, District Manager; Andy Darbyshire, Marine Operations Officer.

Field Team Leader - Greg Pobar, MCB.

### ***Resources***

Funding for the Shark Bay Marine Reserves Monitoring Programme is from the following sources:

\$50,000 through Commonwealth Department of Tourism - National Ecotourism Programme (Category - Baseline Studies and Monitoring, Infrastructure Projects, Regional Ecotourism Planning; Project reference number - 151/95).

CALM resources:

Funding           \$10 000

People            157 person days

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 General

This data report presents details relating to the second survey of the Department of Conservation and Land Management's *Shark Bay Marine Reserves Monitoring Programme (SBMRMP)*. The survey was conducted during August 1996 and involved the initialisation of 41 long-term monitoring sites within the Shark Bay Marine Park. The initialisation of more long-term monitoring sites to complement these sites will be undertaken in April 1997. Background information and data from the preliminary survey of the SBMRMP, conducted in April 1996, is detailed in D'Adamo, Colman and Pobar (1996) and D'Adamo and Pobar (1996). The locality and boundaries of Shark Bay Marine Park, Hamelin Pool Marine Nature Reserve and Shark Bay World Heritage Area and surrounds are shown in Figure 1.

The field survey was coordinated by the Marine Conservation Branch of CALM (Principle contact: Dr Chris Simpson, Manager, Marine Conservation Branch) and conducted in collaboration with the Geraldton Regional Office (Contact: Ron Shephard) and the Gascoyne District Office (Contact: Paul Brown).

Greg Pobar (Marine Conservation Branch) was the Field Team Leader and coordinated all activities in the field.

Other CALM field staff included Jeremy Colman and Nick D'Adamo from the Marine Conservation Branch, Peter Dans and Kevin Crane from the Swan Region (Marine Operations Group - Swan), Ron Shephard from the Geraldton Regional Office, Paul Brown and Brad Barton from the Gascoyne District Office, Fran Stanley from the Karratha District Office, Andrew Darbyshire from the Exmouth District Office and Eleanor Bruce of the Geography Department of the University of Western Australia.

## 1.2 Background

The SBMRMP is an integration of two projects: (i) *Baseline Studies and Monitoring of Visitor Sites in the Shark Bay Marine Park* (Project No. 151/95, granted under the *National Ecotourism Programme* by the Commonwealth Department of Tourism in 1995) and (ii) *Habitat Mapping for Shark Bay Marine Reserves Programme* funded by CALM's World Heritage Area funds. Although technically separate, there is considerable overlap in these two projects. As a result, some of the objectives of the 'Baseline Studies' project directly service the requirements of the 'Habitat Mapping' project.

The SBMRMP is being undertaken in three phases. Phase I, which has been completed, comprised a review of the current state of knowledge, in relation to monitoring information requirements, and the preliminary exploratory field survey of April 1996. Phase II involved designing the monitoring programme and the preparation of the field program report SBMRMP-03/96 includes this. Phase III establishes the long-term monitoring locations and initialises the monitoring programme. This report presents the results of the August 1996 field programme of Phase III. Further field surveys to complete Phase III will be conducted in April 1997.

The objective of the *Baseline Studies and Monitoring of Visitor Sites in the Shark Bay Marine Park* project was to establish and initialise a monitoring programme to ensure that recreation and tourism activities are ecologically sustainable. Quantitative and qualitative biological information was obtained using video and still photography from relocatable transects throughout the Shark Bay Marine Park. The location of sites was fixed to better than 3 m accuracy with a differential GPS. Video footage and photographs taken during the August field survey were archived for future reference and held with the Marine Conservation Branch. These data will complement data collected during the preliminary survey of the SBMRMP conducted in April 1996 (see D'Adamo, Colman and Pobar, 1996; D'Adamo and Pobar, 1996).

The key objectives of the *Habitat Mapping for Shark Bay Marine Reserves Programme* were to validate, spatially and biologically, CALM's existing GIS habitat maps of the Shark Bay area. The habitat information gathered during this field survey will contribute to the 'Habitat Mapping' project by providing data on habitat type at accurately fixed positions. These data will complement data collected.



The SBMRMP is linked to the recommendations of the *Shark Bay Marine Reserves Draft Management Plan 1994* relating to the research and monitoring required to ensure that activity in the Bay is consistent with its World Heritage, Marine Park and Marine Nature Reserve status (see Figure 1). The *Shark Bay Marine Reserves Management Plan 1996-2006* is currently being finalised by CALM for the National Parks and Nature Conservation Authority.

### **1.3 Aims**

The aims of the August 1996 survey are separated into primary and secondary objectives, as follows.

#### ***Primary aims***

- The initialisation of re-locatable long-term monitoring sites to provide baseline ecological data from which the potential impacts of recreational and commercial usage can be monitored and managed.
- The establishment of scientific control sites having ecological attributes that are representative of the major habitats in the Marine Park and which will be used to provide information on the natural variation of key attributes of the ecosystem.
- The opportunistic collection of still photographs and video footage of major habitat types and visually dominant flora and fauna of the Shark Bay region.

#### ***Secondary aims***

- The opportunistic determination of the biological accuracy of existing GIS habitats.
- The opportunistic collection of salinity and temperature profile data as a contribution to studies of the circulation of Shark Bay.

## **2 SITE SELECTION**

### **2.1 Impacted sites**

Site observations during the April 1996 preliminary survey (D'Adamo, Colman and Pobar, 1996) enabled direct impacts from common activities such as fishing and diving to be determined. The results of a 1993 visitor survey (presented in CALM's GIS user survey habitat maps) were also used to guide the selection of the sites, and are therefore important to the selection of permanent long-term monitoring sites.

In site selection, highest priority was assigned to sites subjected to tourism/recreational pressures and additional sites have also been selected on the basis of commercial usage, in cognisance of the fact that proper management of the Marine Park must account for the inter-connectivity of regions and the overall suite of pressures, both current and predicted.

In the Shark Bay Marine Park there are large expanses of mono-specific floral habitats such as seagrass meadows. Although there may be mono-specificity in the flora of these regions their faunal populations can show significant diversity and these regions have therefore been considered in the long-term monitoring programme.

### **2.2 Control sites**

A number of sites were established as 'control' sites. These sites have ecological attributes that are representative of habitat types in the Marine Park that are likely to have minimal impacts and which can therefore provide information on natural variation. The results of long-term monitoring at sites subjected to recreational and/or commercial pressures will be assessed in the context of natural variation at the control sites. This is a fundamental requirement for effective management.

Much of the Shark Bay Marine Park is largely free of human activity or impact and hence finding control sites was not difficult, particularly in view of the large areas of similar habitat types around the Park. The exception is that of coral reef habitat, which is only recorded at relatively few locations, and there is variability of species composition at each location.

### **2.3 Sites of scientific or historic interest**

Certain sites were selected on the basis of their intrinsic value in either a scientific or historical sense.

## 2.4 Site location

Fifty sites were visited during the survey, with 41 of these sites being permanent transect monitoring sites ('transect' sites) and nine sites as non-transect monitoring sites ('non-transect' sites). Site locations are shown in Figure 2. The *Transect data sheets* present the differential GPS latitude and longitude for the three transects set at each 'transect' site. For the 'non-transect' sites the GPS readings are found in the *Habitat data sheets* and Table 1.

## 3 Methods

The 'transect site' was selected randomly after a broad visual surveillance of the benthic habitat. Three permanent 50 m transects were then established to allow monitoring of spatial and temporal changes in benthic community composition. The transects were set parallel to each other approximately 100 m apart. Transects were permanently marked using star pickets at each end. At some sites the substrate was too hard to use star pickets and steel rods were used instead and at a few sites it was not possible to use any form of marker. A 50 m fibreglass scaled and weighted rope marked the transect line across the seabed between the star pickets. The position of the start of each transect was recorded using differential GPS, providing an accuracy of better than 3 m. The sessile benthic community along each transect was then recorded using a high quality video camera (Blaupunkt CC894 camcorder in a stingray SR-700 housing) with a 20 mm lens. The video was held 50 cm above the benthic community. For eg. in a seagrass meadow the video was held 50 cm above the seagrass canopy.

Appendix 1 describes the method for establishing permanent 'transect' sites and appendix 2 describes the sampling method used for the collection of benthic video imagery. Appendix 3 describes how to use the underwater video system.

The video sampling method was developed by the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) to monitor the status of coral dominated benthic communities by detecting and quantifying major spatial and temporal changes in the percentage cover of sessile benthos (Christie *et al.* 1996). This survey technique is more suitable than the time-consuming line intercept transect method as it is faster to carry out in the field and requires no extensive field identification and taxonomic knowledge. It also provides a permanent record of benthic habitats which can be later analysed in a variety of different ways. This method is designed for identifying change and for highlighting impacts that may result from recreational and commercial usage.

At new sites (i.e., not previously visited during the preliminary survey of April 1996) recordings of benthic composition using the video transect technique was complemented with general information on the major benthic community types (eg. seagrass meadows, coral reef etc.). The visually dominant species and the nature and extent of impacts (if present) were recorded either by direct observation from the boat (ie. by viewfinder and/or remote video), or by divers taking general video footage and still photographs.

At each 'transect' site habitat data and related observations were recorded onto data sheets. The written data was then transferred to pre-formatted data sheets on the lap-top computer on the same day as collected. The following data sheets were used at each 'transect' site.

1. *Transect data sheet* - with differential GPS latitude and longitudes for each of the 3 transects at each site.
2. *Long-term monitoring site data sheet* - a site map which includes vessel location, transect locations and other features of interest.
3. *Habitat data sheet* - describes the habitat at the site including dominant species and notes any impact or activity at the site.
4. *Video data sheet* - gives the video time codes for each transect at each site.

At the 'non-transect' sites the only information recorded was on the *Habitat data sheets*.

## 4 Results

Each 'transect' site has data recorded on four data sheets; the *Transect data sheet*, *Long-term monitoring site data sheet*, *Habitat data sheet* and *Video data sheet*. The sites appear in the order shown in Table 1.

Each 'non-transect' site has data recorded on a *Habitat data sheet* only.

The Hi-8 video tapes, plus VHS, duplicates with the permanent transect data are stored at the Marine Conservation Branch in Fremantle.



**Table 1 ‘Transect’ and ‘ non-transect’ sites established in the August 1996 field survey. The latitude and longitudes presented for the ‘Transect’ sites are for ‘Transect’ 1.**

Site number	Site name	Dominant factor in site selection	latitude and longitude	Habitat	Video Footage
‘Transect’ sites			DGPS		
SB 10	Surf Point	Recreation site	26° 07.448’ S 113° 10.975’ E	Coral reef	Yes
SB 15	Sea Cages	Aquaculture site	26° 00.796’ S 113° 13.154’ E	Sand	Yes
SB 65	Homestead Bay	Landing site	26° 00.013’ S 113° 11.701’ E	Limestone platform	Yes
SB 66	Saunters Patch	Scientific interest	25 <sup>0</sup> 59.038’ S 113 <sup>0</sup> 11.331 E	Coral reef	Yes
SB 67	Egg Is.	Recreation site	25° 53.981’ S 113° 09.216’ E	Coral reef	Yes
SB 75	Sandy Point Reef	Recreation site, sanctuary zone	25° 43.580’ S 113° 05.289’ E	Coral reef	Yes
SB 22	Bellefin Flats	Control site	25° 57.119’ S 113° 16.048’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 20	Herrisson Flats	Recreation site	25° 58.599’ S 113° 19.451’ E	Seagrass	yes
SB 36	Fork Flats	Control site	26° 18.110’ S 113° 37.496’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 38	White Island	Recreation site	26° 27.360’ S 113° 46.151’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 45	Three Bay Island	Recreation site	26° 33.303’ S 113° 39.094’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 57	Pearl Beds	Scientific interest	26° 15.914’ S 113° 29.579’ E	Pearl beds in seagrass	Yes
SB 55	Kangaroo Island	Control site	26° 19.207’ S 113° 30.145’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 31	Slope Island-nth platform	Industrial, salt island	26° 04.592’ S 113° 24.515’ E	Limestone platform	Yes
SB 32	Slope Island -nth seagrass	Industrial, salt island	26° 04.514’ S 113° 24.396’ E	Coral reef	Yes
SB 27	Useless Inlet North	Control site	26° 04.311’ S 113° 20.857’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 60	Lefebre Island	Scientific interest	26° 13.899’ S 113° 30.422’ E	Seagrass/coral	Yes
SB 50	Double Island	Control site	26° 24.874’ S 113° 37.358’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 54	Boat Haven	Recreation site	26° 17.428’ S 113° 29.634’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 28	Useless Inlet South	Industrial site	26° 10.269’ S 113° 21.969’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 95	Aquaculture site A	Proposed aquaculture site	25° 59.312’ S 113° 32.371’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 104	Outer Big Lagoon	Control site	25° 46.758’ S 113° 26.283’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 105	Broadhurst Reef South	Recreation site	25° 38.091’ S 113° 22.330’ E	Coral reef	Yes
SB 127	Peron East Coast	Control site	25° 35.554’ S 113° 32.198’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 121	Guichenault Point	Scientific interest	25° 34.457’ S 113° 33.602’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 125	Pearl Farm	Aquaculture site	25 <sup>0</sup> 46.594’ S 113 <sup>0</sup> 41.252 E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 128	Pearl Farm Control	Control site	25 <sup>0</sup> 47.035’ S 113 <sup>0</sup> 41.862’ E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 131	Monkey Mia	Recreation site	25° 47.315’ S 113° 43.044’ E	Seagrass	Yes

**Table 1 cont. 'Transect' and 'non-transect' sites established in August 1996 field survey. The latitude and longitudes presented for the 'Transect' sites are for 'Transect' 1.**

Site number	Site name	Dominant factor in site selection	Longitude and Latitude	Habitat	Video footage
'Transect' sites			DGPS		
SB 98	Inshore Denham	Control site	25° 55.905' S 113° 31.330' E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 120	80 Acres	Recreation site	25° 32.741' S 113° 31.708' E	Limestone	Yes
SB 141	Herald Gut	Scientific interest	25° 45.869' S 113° 47.439' E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 195	Lharidon Bight	Scientific interest	26° 00.962' S 113° 48.507' E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 180	Herald Loop	Scientific interest	25° 53.484' S 114° 04.696' E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 182	Faure Bank East	Control site	25° 59.161' S 114° 04.944' E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 184	Faure Bank West	Control site	25° 58.772' S 114° 04.103' E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 186	Hamelin Pool East	Control site	26° 00.074' S 114° 07.991' E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 190	Hamelin Pool West	Control site	26° 00.035' S 113° 57.268' E	Seagrass	Yes
SB139	Gladstone Bay	Scientific interest	25° 57.929' S 114° 13.410' E	Seagrass	Yes
SB147	Gladstone Jetty	Control site	25° 57.105' S 114° 13.992' E	Seagrass	Yes
SB 148	Gladstone marker	Control site	25° 56.146' S 114° 08.773' E	Seagrass	Yes
'Non-transect' sites			GPS		
SB112	Cape Peron Flats East	Proposed aquaculture site	25° 27.500' S 113° 32.800' E	Sand	No
SB 110	Gudrun wreck	Scientific and historic interest	25° 25.501' S 113° 31.521' E	Wreck habitat	Yes
SB 14	Sunday Is.	Recreation site	26° 07.40' S 113° 14.15' E	Limestone	Yes
SB 300	Bent stick	Fishing site	25° 57.198' S 113° 20.656' E	Sand/coral patches	No
SB 19	'001'	Fishing site	25° 58.875' S 113° 13.688' E	Coral	No
SB 29	Useless Inlet Reef	Scientific interest	26° 10.900' S 113° 20.840' E	Coral	No
SB 39	White Island flats	Fishing site	26° 28.730' S 113° 46.400' E	Limestone reef	Yes
SB 48	Baudin Island	Scientific interest	26° 31.080' S 113° 39.540' E	Seagrass	No
SB 36A	Fork Flats Reef	Fishing site	26° 18.242' S 113° 37.565' E	Limestone/coral	Yes
SB 53	Kangaroo Is-southern fringe	Fishing site	26° 19.320' S 113° 30.150' E	Mixed seagrass	Yes
SB 99	Six mile flats	Fishing site	25° 52.202' S 113 ° 26.620' E	Coral	No

# **SITE DATA SHEETS**

## 5 References

Christie C A, Bass D K, Neale S J, Osborne K and Oxley W G (1996). Surveys of sessile benthic communities using the video technique. Long-term monitoring of the Great Barrier Reef. Standard Operational Procedure Number 2. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, Queensland.

D'Adamo N, Colman J G and Pobar G J (1996). Shark Bay Marine Reserves Monitoring Programme. Data Report SBMRMP-02/96. Preliminary Field Survey: 15-22 April 1996. (Marine Conservation Branch, Department of Conservation and Land Management, 47 Henry St, Fremantle, 6160). Unpublished report.

D'Adamo N and Pobar G J (1996). Shark Bay Marine Reserves Monitoring Programme. Field Programme Report SBMRMP-01/96. Preliminary Field Survey: 15-22 April 1996. (Marine Conservation Branch, Department of Conservation and Land Management, 47 Henry St, Fremantle, 6160). Unpublished report.

Department of Conservation and Land Management (1994). Shark Bay Marine Reserves Draft Management Plan (1994). Department of Conservation and Land Management for the National Parks and Nature Conservation Authority, Perth, Western Australia, 6000. Unpublished report.

## Appendix 1

### Establishment of permanent 'transect' sites

The following sequence describes the basic field procedure that was followed to establish three permanent transects at each site. The entire procedure took between 2 and 4 hours, depending on *in situ* conditions, enabling at least two sites to be visited per day.

- The boatman and two divers conducted a general survey of the site from the tender (a Zodiac inflatable). Observations of the benthic habitats were made either by using the viewfinder from the vessel or by in-water observations on snorkel, using the manta-tow technique or underwater scooter. The boatman and divers then proceeded back to the main vessel to decide on the size, location and alignment of the transect grid.
- The tender was then equipped with three transect kits, with this activity coordinated by the field officer onboard the main vessel. Each kit comprised of a porous plastic crate attached to a rope (with a buoy attached to the end) of length chosen to suit the approximate depth of the respective transects, and with each crate containing two star pickets, a scaled 50 m line, a mallet, a picket driver and an underwater writing slate, all fastened to the inside of the crate. The specifications for the respective kits (rope length and contents) were tailored to suit each of the three respective transects (nominally called Transects T1, T2 and T3).
- The boatman transported the three transect kits and the two divers to the start point of Transect T1.
- The two divers provided the boatman with confirmation of the path that they will traverse to establish the three transects including where they wanted to be retrieved after establishing the three transects.
- The kit for Transect T1 was lowered over the side of the tender to the seabed.
- The two divers then entered the water at this site and descended to the seabed. If there was a problem at this stage they ascended to the surface and informed the boatman of the problem. If there were no problems then the divers were to proceed with the establishment of Transect T1, followed by Transects T2 and T3.
- After the two divers descended to the bottom at the start point of Transect T1, the boatman left Transect T1 and progressively dropped the two remaining transect kits at the start points of Transects T2 and T3, respectively.
- From this time onwards the boatman kept a watch on the transect zone in which the divers operated in order respond to requests for assistance, such as the delivery of equipment, towing of divers or retrieval of divers.
- While the two divers were establishing the transects the boatman returned to the main vessel and took delivery of the cameras. The boatman then waited for a signal from the divers.
- After establishing the transects the divers signalled to the boatman. The boatman then retrieved the two divers and returned them to the start point of Transect T1, where the divers were given the video recorder and stills camera. The divers descended to the bottom and then proceeded to acquire video footage and selected still photography along the three respective transect alignments. The sampling methodology for the collection of benthic habitat video imagery is detailed in Section 2.2.2.
- While the divers were videoing the boatman returned to the main vessel where the fourth field officer boarded the tender with the differential GPS and then proceeded to fix the positions of the start points of transects T1, T2 and T3. After the positions were fixed the boatman returned the field officer to the main vessel.
- After the divers had completed videoing they signalled to the boatman pick them up.
- The divers then decommissioned each transect by fastening the scaled lines, mallets and drawing sheets into their respective crates.
- Upon receiving a signal from the divers the boatman then retrieved the two divers and proceeded to retrieve the three transect kits.
- The boatman and divers then returned to the main vessel.

- Data recording and field notes were processed onboard the main vessel. Field notes were written into pre-formatted data file sheets and stored electronically.

## Appendix 2

### Sampling methodology for the collection of benthic habitat video imagery

This sampling technique is adapted from the AIMS Standard Operating Procedure No. 2 (Christie *et al.*, 1996). The steps required for preparation of the underwater housing and video camcorder are included in Appendix IV. The recording of data for each transect was carried out according to the following steps:

- Record the site number, date, transect number, and recorder's name on the in-water data sheet (located on the top of the housing).
- The camcorder was set to **autofocus** and a panoramic shot was taken of the start of the transect, then the star picket, The camera was held in a horizontal position and turned slowly clockwise, videoing the immediate surroundings and ending at the initial view. The top of the star picket was videoed to record the site number and transect number written on the white plastic cap. The STBY button was pressed
- The start time code was recorded on the data sheet. The REC button was pressed and the base of the star picket was videoed for a few seconds. It was then moved along the scaled rope, kept approximately 10 cm in from the right hand side of the field of view. The housing lens was kept parallel to the substrate or benthic community at a distance of 1 m.
- The transect line was followed keeping the housing at the set height of 1 m. The swimming speed was adjusted so that it was constant and the diver covered approximately 10 m every minute using the scaled rope as a guide Therefore to swim a 50 m transect took approximately 5 minutes however an error of +1minute was considered acceptable. The transect was revideoed if this error was exceeded. At the end of the transect the base of the star picket was videoed for a few seconds and then the STBY button was pressed.
- The finish time code was recorded on the data sheet.
- If video recording along a transect was aborted for any reason, or if there was considerable variation in the height or speed of the recorder, then the entire transect was re-sampled, beginning again from the start point of the transect.
- Once all three transects at a site were completed and the tape was viewed and checked back on the vessel and full details were recorded on the main video transect data sheet. Any repeated or incompleated transects, or situations where transects were recorded out of order or with false starts were noted on the data sheets.
- On average a total of four sites were recorded on each 90 min Hi8 tape. The tape and tape cover were clearly labelled (using a permanent marker) with the designated code numbers, the site number and date of recording.
- The Hi-8 tapes were duplicated in VHS format. The Hi-8 tapes are archived in the Video Transect Cabinet at the MCB in Fremantle. The tapes have been duplicated.

**DISTRIBUTION LIST**

**SHARK BAY MARINE RESERVES MONITORING PROGRAMME. ESTABLISHMENT OF LONG-TERM  
MONITORING SITES: AUGUST 1996. Data Report MMSP/MW/SBMP-2/1997**

Kieran McNamara, Director, Nature Conservation Division, CALM  
Dr Chris Simpson, Manager, Marine Conservation Branch, CALM  
Greg Leaman, Manager, Midwest Region, CALM  
Ron Shephard, Program Leader, Nature Conservation, Midwest Region, CALM  
Paul Brown, Manager, Gascoyne District, CALM  
Chris Muller, Manager, Pilbara Region, CALM  
Doug Myers, Manager, Exmouth District  
Commonwealth Department of Tourism  
Alan Biggs, Chief Executive Officer, Shire of Shark Bay