

Sustainable Biodiversity Conservation

Opportunities and Challenges for Western Australia

A Discussion Paper for the Sustainability Policy Unit
Department of Premier and Cabinet

By **Piers Verstegen**

Integrated Sustainability Management (ISM)

and

Institute of Science and Technology Policy (ISTP)
Murdoch University

April 2002

Contents

Executive Summary	3
Acknowledgements	4
Introduction	4

Section I Background

The Global Response - Australia's International Role	7
The Commonwealth Response	13
The WA response	18
Policy, Strategy, and Legislation	18
Conservation Research	19
Privately Owned Protected Areas: Two Western Australian Working Models	21
Other States and Territories	23
The Condition of Western Australia's Biodiversity	26
Causes of Biodiversity Loss in Western Australia	30
Habitat Loss, Degradation and Fragmentation	30
Feral Species	31
Genetically Engineered Species	33
Climate Change	34
Altered Fire Regimes	34
Industry and Settlement Pressures	35

Section II

Opportunities and Challenges for Future Sustainable Biodiversity Conservation in Western Australia

A Sustainability Approach	36
Reinterpretation 1: Increasing our Knowledge	37
Reinterpretation 2: Bioregional Planning	42
Reinterpretation3: Comprehensive Adequate Representative (CAR) Reserves	45
Reinterpretation 4: Integration of Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management	48
Bioprospecting	52
Nature-based and Ecotourism	55
Developing a Western Australian Biodiversity Strategy	58
Improving Reserve Management	59
Biodiversity Conservation Outside the Reserve System	61
Legislative Approaches	62
Other Approaches	65
Private Reserves	66
The Western Australian Wild Country Project	67
The Southwest World Heritage Biodiversity Hotspot	69
Conclusion	71
Bibliography	74

Executive Summary

The conservation of Western Australia's unique and internationally recognised, but critically threatened biodiversity, is fundamental to the sustainable development of the state. The WA State Government is also committed to conserving Western Australia's natural heritage under various legally binding agreements at an international and Commonwealth level.

The current critically threatened state of our biodiversity places Western Australia in a 'biodiversity crisis.' This situation illustrates that historically biodiversity conservation measures in this state have been underemphasised, largely ineffectual, and in some cases misguided. Therefore as a matter of urgency, the Government of Western Australia must simultaneously revise, and significantly strengthen biodiversity conservation measures within its jurisdiction.

In order to achieve the sustainable preservation of biological resources, the State Government of WA must develop integrated strategic actions which comprehensively address the threats to biodiversity, while also ensuring the needs of regional and indigenous communities are not compromised. This will require a revised interpretation of contemporary biodiversity conservation approaches, which must be tailored to produce ecologically, socially, and economically sustainable outcomes for the long term in the state of Western Australia.

An integrated approach to biodiversity conservation by the WA State government will need to include strong community involvement and capacity building initiatives, as well as recognition of indigenous culture and knowledge, and contemporary economic utilisation of biological resources as necessary components of biodiversity conservation. These approaches must be integrated with strengthened traditional legislative approaches to conservation, such as reserve development and legal mechanisms to promote conservation on all land tenures.

Acknowledgements

Thanks are extended to the following for their valuable contribution to this discussion: Prof. Peter Newman and Angas Hopkins, (Sustainability Policy unit, Department of Premier and Cabinet) and Richard McKeller (CALM).

Introduction

Biodiversity generally means the variety of life in all its forms and combinations, including the diversity found among ecosystems, species, and the genetic makeup of these living things. Although self-sustaining and in that sense stable, these three levels of biodiversity are dynamic; the evolution of life involves the creation of new genes, species and ecosystems as well as the loss of others.¹

Western Australia, particularly the South west corner, represents an area uniquely rich in biological diversity. The South West Botanical province² has been internationally recognised as a biodiversity hotspot, one of only 25 in the world.³ The area has been selected by scientists for its high level of species endemism;⁴ however this criterion alone does not constitute a biodiversity hotspot. The second criterion is that the biodiversity in the area is experiencing exceptional loss of habitat, and is therefore being placed at great threat.

¹ Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

² Explanation and further discussion appears in the next section.

³ Myers, N. et.al. 2000.

⁴ An endemic species is that which occurs nowhere else on Earth.

The 1996 State of the Environment Report found that the loss of biodiversity is the single most important environmental issue facing the nation. In more colourful terms, 'What we are doing now to biodiversity is like burning renaissance masterpieces to cook dinner.'⁵ 'Extinctions are happening today at a greater rate than any time in the past 65 million years.'⁶

Biodiversity is vital to our very existence, and the implications of this alarming loss of biological resources and systems are wide and varied. Ecosystems provide humanity with an absolutely indispensable array of services,⁷ maintaining the health of our economy, our environment, our society, and ourselves. 'Biodiversity is our living heritage, providing us with food, clothing, housing, clean air and water, inspiration and spiritual renewal.'⁸

Therefore, 'The conservation of biological diversity provides significant cultural, economic, educational, environmental, scientific and social benefits for all Australians,'⁹ and will be fundamental to the sustainable development of Western Australia.

This paper discusses the present situation in Western Australia with regards to the condition of biodiversity and its management, and explores future directions for sustainable biodiversity conservation in this state.

⁵ Professor EO Wilson quoted in Environment Australia, 2000

⁶ Professor Harry Recher quoted in Environment Australia, 2000

⁷ Ehrlich quoted in Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

⁸ Environment Australia, 2000

⁹ State of the Environment Advisory Council, 1996

Background information is provided on the international and national context of biodiversity conservation, and the current condition of biodiversity in Western Australia is briefly documented. This information is used to inform discussion on the present and possible future sustainable biodiversity conservation activities within the jurisdiction of the State of Western Australia.

This is by no means a comprehensive paper. While effort has been made to provide a complete picture of international and Australian responses to biodiversity conservation, and the condition and pressures on biodiversity in the state of Western Australia, some aspects may be omitted or poorly covered in the interests of brevity. Similarly, many of the issues associated with the future sustainable conservation of biodiversity in this state have only been addressed briefly, some not at all. The paper is intended to generate discussion about the future of biodiversity conservation activities by the Government of Western Australia, and it should be taken as a discussion paper for this purpose only.

The Global Response - Australia's International Role

The degradation and irreversible loss of biodiversity is an issue which knows no political or geographic boundaries. Biodiversity is being severely threatened in all parts of the world,¹⁰ necessitating a truly global approach to its conservation. For over fifty years Australia has played an important role in global multilateral cooperation to promote the conservation of biodiversity in various forms. As a party to a number of international and regional agreements with relevance to the conservation of biodiversity, Australian Governments have an international responsibility to facilitate the preservation of the diversity of the Earth's natural heritage. Australia's obligations under these agreements charge Australian governments to provide adequate measures to sustainably conserve our own biodiversity and ensure the sustainable use of biological resources. Australia is also obliged to contribute to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity on a global scale,¹¹ by 'ensuring that activities within their jurisdiction or control do not cause damage to the biodiversity of other States, or of areas beyond the limits of national jurisdiction.'¹²

In a significant step forward in international environmental law, the International Convention on Biological Diversity, which came into force in 1993, and to which Australia is a party, explicitly integrates the two objectives of conservation and the sustainable use of biological resources.¹³

¹⁰ Australian Academy of Science, 1992

¹¹ Swanson, 1996

¹² Robinson

¹³ Preece et.al., Commonwealth of Australia, 1995

The objectives of the Biodiversity Convention are 'the conservation of biological diversity, the sustainable use of its components and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the utilisation of genetic resources' (Article 1).¹⁴

The importance of this integrated approach to biodiversity conservation was further emphasised at an international level by the Rio Declaration; Agenda 21: Earth's Action Plan.¹⁵ Parties to these two conventions are required to integrate conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity through 'the implementation of national strategies, plans and programs for sectors such as agriculture, fisheries and forestry and for cross-sectoral matters such as land use planning and decision making.'¹⁶ One important provision in the Convention on Biological Diversity which acts to facilitate this integration of preservation and utilisation of biological resources is the agreement that 'States have the sovereign rights to exploit their own biological resources pursuant to their environmental policies.'¹⁷

The emphasis on integration of biodiversity protection measures and biodiversity utilisation practices in this context is not an endorsement of the rationale that biodiversity should only be preserved to the extent that it can be used, and is therefore economically beneficial. Rather, that planning and activities to promote biodiversity protection must be closely integrated with the human processes which act to threaten biodiversity, ie. the utilisation of biological resources. Planning for sustainable use of these resources is

¹⁴ Swanson, 1996

¹⁵ Robinson, N. 1993

¹⁶ Preece et.al., Commonwealth of Australia, 1995

therefore of paramount and international importance in the protection of the diversity of the world's natural heritage.

Of all biodiversity, the least well known and described by scientists both globally¹⁸ and in Western Australia¹⁹ is that which occurs in marine and other aquatic environments. The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (1971), is an important agreement which specifically addresses the conservation of biodiversity in wetland areas, particularly those which form habitat for international migratory bird species.²⁰ Western Australia has identified 9 Ramsar wetlands.

Another important international convention which responds to worldwide concern over the fate of the Earth's cultural and natural heritage is the Convention for the Protection of the World's Cultural and Natural Heritage, or the World Heritage Convention. Australia was among the first nations to ratify this convention, which has now been ratified by more nations than any other environmental convention.²¹ While there is no prescriptive management standards for World Heritage sites, States which have signed the convention recognise that the sites located in their territory constitute a world heritage 'for whose protection it is the duty of the international community as a whole to cooperate.'²² 'The Australian Government takes seriously its international commitment to protect Australia's World Heritage Areas and its obligation to identify, conserve, present and transmit to future

¹⁷ Lesser 1998, Robinson 1993, and others.

¹⁸ Brown, L. et.al. 1998

¹⁹ Environment Western Australia, 1998

²⁰ Ramsar Convention Bureau, 1993

²¹ Environment Australia, 1999

generations Australia's natural and cultural heritage.'²³ There are currently 13 Australian properties on the World Heritage list, with only one of these - Shark Bay - in Western Australia.

Below is a comprehensive list of international conventions and agreements which support the conservation of biodiversity, and to which Australia is a party.²⁴

United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS 1994)
United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, Conventions and Agreements (Rio Declaration and Agenda 21 1992)
Convention on Biological Diversity (1992)
UN Convention to Combat Desertification
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (1992)
Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movement of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal (1989)
Convention on the Protection of Natural Resources and Environment of the South Pacific Region (SPREP 1989)
China Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (CAMBA 1986)
London Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and other Matter (1985)
Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (1980)
Bonn Convention on Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (1979)
Convention on the Conservation of Nature in the South Pacific (Apia 1976)
Japan Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (JAMBA 1974)
Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage (1974)
International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL 1973 / 1978)
Washington Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES 1973)
Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (1971)
International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling (1946)

²² World Heritage Committee, 1975

²³ Environment Australia, 1999

²⁴ adapted from 1996 SOE Report; Environment Australia Website; Worboys, 2001

The International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) is an important international organisation which provides an internationally recognised framework for classifying protected areas based on their management status. All protected areas can be classified using this system which ranges from class 1 protected areas, which are managed under strict protection mainly for science or wilderness protection, to class VI protected areas, which are managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems.

Another more recent international initiative to aid the conservation of biodiversity has been instigated among the world's scientific community. The identification of international biodiversity 'hotspots' by scientists is a response to the realisation that

The number of species threatened with extinction far outstrips available conservation resources, and the situation looks set to become rapidly worse. This places a premium on identifying priorities. How can we support the most species at the least cost? One way is to identify 'biodiversity hotspots' where exceptional concentrations of endemic species are undergoing exceptional loss of habitat. As many as 44% of all species of vascular plants and 35% of all species in four vertebrate groups are confined to 25 hotspots comprising only 1.4% of the land surface of the Earth. This opens the way for a 'silver bullet' strategy on the part of conservation planners, focussing on these hotspots in proportion to their share of the world's species at risk.²⁵

The South West Botanical Province in Western Australia has been identified as such a biodiversity hotspot,²⁶ the only one in Australia.²⁷ The botanical province covers an area of approximately 309 840km² running from Shark

²⁵ Myers, N. et.al. 2000

²⁶ Conservation council of WA 2001 (b); Myers, N. et.al. 2000

Bay to Point Culver. This area is biogeographically an island, which has been isolated from the rest of the landmass by desert, giving rise to a high level of endemism among the biota of the region.²⁸ Diversity, including the presence of relict Gondwanan flora and fauna, is also high in the province - a result of its temperate and relatively humid climate and ancient soils.²⁹

A second site in Western Australia of international importance to biodiversity - this time for biological research - is the Perth Zoo. The Zoo is internationally recognised as a provider of high quality scientific research which contributes to conservation of biodiversity both in Australia and overseas. Breeding programs at the Perth Zoo have allowed hundreds of individuals representing endangered species to be re-introduced in conservation reserves throughout Australia, while other conservation research has aided biodiversity preservation efforts overseas, particularly New Zealand.³⁰

²⁷ Myers, N. et.al. 2000

²⁸ Environment Western Australia, 1998

²⁹ Conservation Council of WA, 2001 (b); Environment Western Australia, 1998

³⁰ Perth Zoo Website available at www.perthzoo.wa.gov.au

The Commonwealth Response

International obligations to preserve biodiversity, and scientific data indicating that an alarming loss of biodiversity is occurring on the Australian continent and surrounding waters has led to a variety of responses from the Commonwealth of Australia.

The National Strategy for the Conservation of Australia's Biological Diversity, and the National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development together form a policy basis for the conservation of biodiversity, and the sustainable utilisation of Australia's biological resources. A legislative framework for biodiversity conservation at a national level is provided by the *Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*.

The National ESD Strategy holds the protection of biological diversity and the maintenance of essential ecological processes and life-support systems as one of its three core objectives. The other core objectives of this strategy are to enhance individual and community wellbeing and welfare by following a path of economic development that safeguards the welfare of future generations, and to provide for equity within and between generations.³¹

³¹ Commonwealth of Australia, 1996, National Strategy for the Conservation of Australia's Biological Diversity

The goal of the National Biodiversity Strategy is 'to protect biological diversity and maintain ecological processes and systems.' It provides an integrated framework of actions to achieve this goal and to strengthen conservation efforts across Australia.³² The strategy covers six main areas:

- Conserving biological diversity across Australia
- Integrating biological diversity conservation and natural resource management
- Managing threatening processes
- Improving our knowledge
- Involving the community
- Australia's international role

The Strategy acknowledges that 'Australia needs a comprehensive approach to bridge the gap between current efforts, and the effective identification, conservation and management of Australia's biological diversity,' and also that 'of fundamental importance to the successful conservation of biological diversity is incorporation of the concept in all relevant decision making and management processes.'³³

More recently, many of the Strategy objectives are being addressed through the Natural Heritage Trust. 'The Trust is a major Commonwealth Government initiative to conserve, repair and replenish Australia's natural Capital Infrastructure. The Trust aims to facilitate practical on-ground

³² State of the Environment Advisory Council, 1996

³³ Commonwealth of Australia, 1996, National Strategy for the Conservation of Australia's Biological Diversity

biodiversity conservation in Australia.³⁴ The Trust was established under the *Natural Heritage Trust of Australia Act, 1997*, and \$1.5 billion will be provided for the conservation of Australia's natural capital over six years from 1997.³⁵

The Trust focuses on five key environmental themes with funding provided for various programs to support each theme: (only those programs with relevance to Western Australia are mentioned)

- Biodiversity;
 - The National Reserve System Program
 - The Endangered Species Program
 - The World Heritage Areas Management and Upkeep Program
- Land and water degradation;
 - The National Landcare Program
 - The National Land and Water Resource Audit
 - The National Forest Inventory
 - The National Feral Animal Control Program
 - The National Weeds Program
- Vegetation;
 - The National Bushcare Program
 - The Farm Forestry Program
- Rivers and Wetlands;
 - The National Rivercare Program
 - The National Wetlands Program
 - The National River Health Program
 - Waterwatch Australia

³⁴ ANZECC, 2001

³⁵ *ibid.*

- Coasts and Oceans; (programs provided by Coasts and Clean Seas)

- The National Clean Seas Program
- The Coastcare Program
- The Marine Species Protection Program
- The Coastal and Marine Planning Program
- The Marine Protected Areas Program
- The Introduced Marine Pests Program
- The Fisheries Action Program
- The Marine Waste Reception Facilities Program

Other Commonwealth Government Strategies with relevance to the Conservation of biological diversity are:

National Parks and Reserves Scheme

National Rangelands Strategy

National Weeds strategy

National Ecotourism Strategy

Intergovernmental Agreement on the Environment

National Forestry Policy Statement

Commonwealth Ocean Rescue Program

There is also a variety of legislation at the commonwealth level which pertains in some way to the conservation of biodiversity:

Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999

Natural Heritage Trust of Australia Act, 1997

Endangered Species Protection Act, 1992

World Heritage Properties Conservation Act, 1983

Protection of the Sea (prevention of pollution from ships) Act, 1983

Wildlife Protection (Regulation of Exports and Imports) Act, 1982

Antarctic Marine Living Resources Conservation Act, 1981

Whale Protection Act, 1980

Antarctic Treaty (Environmental Protection) Act, 1980

National Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act, 1975

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Act, 1975

Australian Heritage Commission Act, 1975

Environmental Protection (Impact of Proposals) Act, 1974

Greening Australia, and the One Billion Trees campaigns, together with the Farm Forestry Program are Commonwealth Government initiatives which have arisen in direct response to loss of vegetation. These capacity building campaigns aim to facilitate collaborative projects between government, conservation groups and the community.³⁶ Australia has also developed a range of policy initiatives to encourage and build capacity in communities to address land degradation. The Australian 'Landcare' model of community based action has been so successful it is being internationalised through the International Secretariat for Landcare based in Victoria. The program has been adapted in establishing 'Landcare South Africa' and interest in the movement from many other countries is growing.³⁷

³⁶ State of the Environment Advisory Council, 1996

³⁷ Nat report on desertification convention (need REF)

The WA response

Policy, Strategy, and Legislation

Until recently, the West Australian State Government has responded to the biodiversity crisis in a relatively ad-hoc way. The main government department which oversees the management of biodiversity under direct State control is the Department of Conservation and Land Management, formed under the *Conservation and Land Management Act of 1984* (WA). This act combined with the *Wildlife Conservation act of 1950* (WA), and the *Environmental Protection Act of 1986* (WA) currently provide the primary legislative basis for the State's management of Biodiversity.³⁸ Other Western Australian Legislation with relevance to biodiversity conservation includes the *Fish Resources Management Act*, the *Soil and Land Conservation Act 1945*, the *Land Administration Act 1997*, the *Agriculture and Related Resources Protection Act 1976*, the *Sandalwood Act 1929* and the *Local Government Act, 1995*.

The Department of Conservation and Land Management (CALM) manages more than 8.5 per cent, or 23 million hectares of the Western Australian land area in the form of various reserves including: national parks, conservation parks, regional parks, nature reserves, State forest and timber reserves which are vested in the Conservation Commission of Western Australia, and marine parks and marine nature reserves which are vested in

³⁸ Environment Western Australia 1998

the Marine Parks and Reserves Authority³⁹. This reserve system plays a vital role in the conservation of biological diversity in this state. The Department of CALM manages lands and waters for the conservation of biodiversity at ecosystem, species and genetic levels, including management for the renewable resources they provide, and for the recreation and visitor services they can sustainably support.⁴⁰

Implementation of the National Biodiversity Strategy in Western Australia also involves contributions from a range of policy and program initiatives. These include the Managing the Rangelands and New Horizons policies including commitments to comprehensive, adequate and representative (CAR) reserve systems on the rangelands and marine waters respectively; the State Salinity Strategy, with biodiversity conservation as a goal, and emphasis on vegetation protection and revegetation, the Western Shield and Western Everlasting programs which address threatened fauna and flora recovery respectively, as well as the Wetlands Conservation Policy for WA, and Perth's Bushplan.⁴¹

Under Perth's Bushplan, 'Bush Forever is an implementation plan and whole-of-government initiative designed to identify, protect and manage regionally significant bushland in order to achieve a sustainable balance between conservation of our bushland and development in metropolitan Perth. [This strategy] fulfils the government's commitment to prepare a strategic plan for the conservation of bushland on the Swan Coastal Plain

³⁹ CALM website

⁴⁰ *ibid.*

⁴¹ Australia and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council, 2001

portion of the Perth Metropolitan Region, as identified in the Urban Bushland Strategy (Government of Western Australia, 1995).⁴² The WA Government has committed up to \$100 million over ten years from 2000 to achieve this aim.⁴³

In line with other States and Territories in Australia, and requirements under the National Biodiversity Strategy, the Government of Western Australia is currently preparing a State Biodiversity Strategy to provide a comprehensive framework for the conservation of biological resources in the State. Also currently under development is new biodiversity conservation legislation to replace the ageing *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950 (WA)*.

Conservation Research

Western Australia has a number of active research institutions where ongoing research into the various faculties associated with biodiversity conservation takes place. In the main, the research being done in this state is directed towards identifying and filling the gaps in our scientific understanding of our biodiversity, and the ecological systems that support it. Three prominent institutions for biodiversity research include the Perth Zoo, the WA Museum, and the Botanical Gardens and Parks Authority combined with the Kings Park Herbarium.

⁴² State of Western Australia, 2000

⁴³ *ibid*

Various universities also contribute significantly to the biodiversity research taking place in the state. The most active of these is the University of Western Australia, Murdoch University, and Curtin University, each with strategic links to Marsupial Cooperative Research Centre at the Perth Zoo.

Privately Owned Protected Areas: Two Western Australian Working Models

Privately owned conservation estates also play an important role in the protection of Western Australia's biodiversity. Two unique WA initiatives showcasing very different approaches to private conservation estates are the Australian Wildlife Conservatory, and the Bush Brokers scheme.

The Australian Wildlife Conservatory (AWC) is a non profit independent organisation which is committed to saving Australia's wildlife from extinction. The AWC was set up by environmental philanthropist Martin Copley, and currently the conservatory owns or leases 450 000 hectares across six properties which are managed for the primary aim of conservation of native Australian Wildlife.⁴⁴ The Principal objective of the organisation is 'To make a practical on-the-ground contribution to the conservation of Australia's natural heritage, in particular to the conservation of native fauna in the wild.'⁴⁵ To achieve this objective, the AWC creates reserves to protect habitat and threatened species, and establishes new populations where threatened species have become locally extinct. Five reserves have been created in Western Australia under this plan, where public access is

⁴⁴ Australian Wildlife Conservatory website.

encouraged. Karakamia in the Perth hills; Paruna, linking the Walyunga and Avon National Parks; Faure Island in the Shark Bay World Heritage Property; Mount Gibson, and Mornington Station in the Kimberley.

A very different approach to private conservation is taken by the Bush Brokers scheme. This scheme to protect bush on private land has involved collaboration between the Soil and Water Conservation Council, Worldwide Fund for Nature (WWF), and the Real Estate Institute of Western Australia. The scheme procures areas of native bushland and then on sells them to buyers who are interested in conserving the ecology of the areas. Properties where buyers can establish dwellings and live are favoured as this provides a management presence in the areas. 'It's all about marketing bush for it's conservation values and selling it to green buyers ... We give people nice big gardens to tend - right where we need a bit of gardening done'⁴⁶

⁴⁵ *ibid.*

⁴⁶ Kieth Bradby, Soil and Water Conservation Council, quoted by Australian Broadcasting Commission, 1999

Other States and Territories

States and Territories in Australia who have developed their own Biodiversity Strategies or similar initiatives are outlined below:⁴⁷

- **Australian Capital Territory** - The ACT Nature Conservation Strategy (ACT Government, 1998), provides a framework for biodiversity conservation and the Maintenance of Ecological Processes.
- **Victoria** - The strategy Victoria's Biodiversity (Department of Natural Resources and the Environment 1997) takes a bioregional approach, and emphasises systematic prevention or reduction of the causes of biodiversity loss. It focuses on direct, ecologically sustainable management of public lands and waters by government agencies in association with resource-based industries, and on cooperative management of biodiversity on private land in partnership with landholders, the community, and local government. The Victorian State Government have also provided funding for a revolving fund program Victoria's Trust For Nature. Under this program, bushland is bought and then protected through covenants preventing clearing, before being on-sold.
- **New South Wales** - The NSW Biodiversity Strategy (NSW National Parks and Wildlife service 1999) commits all New South Wales Government agencies to working cooperatively towards conserving the

⁴⁷ This information on Australian States biodiversity planning activities has been summarised and appropriated from ANZECC, 2001.

States terrestrial biodiversity. An aquatic biodiversity strategy is also being prepared to complement the existing State strategy. Under this biodiversity strategy, the NSW State Government has provided funding of \$5.268 million over three years from 1998 for new projects to implement the priority actions in the strategy.

- **South Australia** - The South Australian Government, with assistance from the Commonwealth Government through the Natural Heritage Trust, is producing a series of regional biodiversity plans to assist in the management and rehabilitation of habitats. The plan aims to integrate with other natural resource management plans to provide a regional context for conservation; provide guidance for management and conservation programs; increase community understanding and action, and provide a regional framework for assessing proposals.

- **Tasmania** - The Tasmanian State Biodiversity committee has guided the development of a whole-of-government Nature Conservation Strategy to protect Tasmania's natural diversity and maintain ecological processes and systems. An aim of the strategy is to establish a way of doing business that ensures our social and economic needs go hand in hand with the needs of our natural systems.

- **Northern Territory** - The Northern Territory Parks Masterplan, the Strategy for the Conservation Through the Sustainable Use of Wildlife in the Northern Territory of Australia, the Strategy for the Conservation of Species and Ecological Communities Threatened With Extinction in the

Northern Territory of Australia, and the Strategy for the Conservation of Biological Diversity of the Wetlands of the Northern Territory of Australia have been developed by the Northern Territory Government in response to loss of biodiversity and other ecological issues.

The Condition of Western Australia's Biodiversity

As has been discussed in previous sections of this report, the South West Botanical Province in Western Australia, occupying nearly 310 thousand square kilometres and extending from Shark Bay in the North to Point Culver in the South has been internationally recognised by scientists as containing some of the most severely threatened biodiversity on Earth.

The problem of this alarming and irreversible loss is closely related to our lack of understanding of the biological diversity which occurs in Western Australia. 'Our Knowledge of the biodiversity in Western Australia is limited. Many species have not been scientifically described or named and the conservation status of many species is unknown. There is no comprehensive catalogue of ecosystems or ecological communities or of their conservation status. Knowledge of the genetic diversity within species is almost non-existent.'⁴⁸

Due to the lack of scientific and other knowledge about the condition of biodiversity among ecosystems and subspecies genetics, especially in marine and other aquatic environments, we must to some extent rely on what we know about terrestrial species as an indicator of biodiversity in general. This indicator points to the severity of the biodiversity crisis in this state. 25 plant species are presumed extinct, and 321 plant species are currently classified as threatened in Western Australia. 'Among the 149 known species of terrestrial mammals, 10 species are extinct and 31 taxa are

⁴⁸ Environment Western Australia, 1998

threatened. The greatest number of extinctions has occurred in the Wheatbelt region.⁴⁹ Ecosystems are also threatened or have already been lost, particularly in the Wheatbelt and Swan Coastal Plain regions, where vegetation communities, wetlands, and riverine ecosystems are under greatest threat.⁵⁰

With the exception of vertebrates, the biodiversity of Western Australian inland waters, some of the most biologically rich and ecologically important habitats on Earth,⁵¹ is particularly poorly known.⁵² Western Australia's wetlands provide a number of vital ecological, economic, and social functions, such as acting as biological filters for increasingly polluted runoff waters, providing habitat for a great diversity of fauna and flora, as well as allowing a range of other cultural uses such as leisure, irrigation, and fire fighting.⁵³

Nearly ten years ago, an assessment done by Western Australian wetland ecologists recognised that land use changes such as land filling, clearing and development, and altered hydrologic regimes associated with changes in drainage patterns and groundwater abstraction had severely affected approximately 70 percent of the wetlands on the Swan Coastal Plain.⁵⁴ Today the situation is even more desperate for the biodiversity of wetlands in the state. 'Up to 80 per cent of wetlands on the Swan Coastal Plain have

⁴⁹ *ibid.*

⁵⁰ *ibid.*

⁵¹ Balla, S. 1994; Boulton and Brock, 1999

⁵² Environment Western Australia, 1998

⁵³ Boulton and Brock, 1999

⁵⁴ Balla, S. 1994

already been destroyed and what remains is often degraded or under threat.⁵⁵

If we move our focus from the coastal plain into the wheatbelt and rangelands of Western Australia, we are confronted with an equally bleak outlook on the condition of wetlands and watercourses. Over 70 percent of Australia's reported dryland salinity occurs in Western Australia;⁵⁶ the net affects of replacing deep rooted native vegetation with shallow rooted crops throughout the West Australian wheatbelt resulting in rising groundwater, and causing nearly all wheatbelt watercourses⁵⁷ and approximately one third of the states rivers to be severely degraded as a result of salinisation.⁵⁸

The reserve system is currently far from sufficient to effectively protect the state's terrestrial biodiversity. 'Currently 56 per cent of vegetation types identified in Western Australia are not represented in existing conservation reserves. A further 31 per cent are poorly represented in the reserve system.'⁵⁹ Many vegetation types occur primarily on private land tenures, where weak legislation and increasing socio-economic pressures in rural areas place these remaining ecological communities at great threat of land clearing.⁶⁰ Marine biodiversity is even poorly represented in Western Australian reserves, with only one marine nature reserve, and 6 marine parks, compared with 1217 terrestrial protected areas of various forms.⁶¹

⁵⁵ The Labour Party of Western Australia

⁵⁶ *ibid.*

⁵⁷ Environment Western Australia 1998

⁵⁸ The Labour Party of Western Australia

⁵⁹ Hopkins quoted in Environment Western Australia, 1998

⁶⁰ The Conservation Council of Western Australia, 2001.

⁶¹ Worboys, 2001

Many Western Australian reserves are also severely affected by 'dieback.' Approximately 15 percent of the forested region of the South-West Land Division is infested, with some national parks having between 60 and 70 percent of their area infested.⁶²

⁶² Environment Western Australia 1998

Causes of Biodiversity Loss in Western Australia

Industrialised societies impose various different pressures on the biological systems which support them. Many of these pressures are causes of the extreme loss of biodiversity that Western Australian ecosystems are experiencing today. Some of the causes, such as clearing and feral species have remained the same for two hundred years or since European settlement, however today we also face new and poorly understood threats to biodiversity such as genetically engineered species, and human-induced climate change.

On a broad level, there are a number of fundamental aspects of our society that act to threaten the survival of biological systems. These are highly complex issues such as human population size, affluence and technology, as well as economic growth and globalisation, poverty, and knowledge deficiency. It is vital that we tackle these broader issues to achieve sustainable relationships between society, our economy, and the environment, however, for the purposes of biodiversity conservation, we must begin to examine and confront the more direct causes of the current biodiversity crisis.

Habitat Loss, Degradation and Fragmentation

Habitat loss and degradation together with habitat fragmentation are the main processes which are placing biodiversity under severe threat all over

the world.⁶³ In Australia, at a national level, land clearing is the single process which is causing the most habitat loss, degradation and fragmentation, and for this reason, clearing of native vegetation has been recognised the single greatest threat to Australia's terrestrial biodiversity.⁶⁴ Western Australia is no different from the rest of the continent in this respect. Land clearing is still taking place in rural areas, including the burning and overgrazing of vegetation leading to desertification and salinisation over an alarming area.⁶⁵ Many illegal land clearing activities in this state remain unreported, making an accurate assessment of the rate of land clearance in the state impossible. 'Many reports of unnotified clearing come from the Shires of Gingin, Three Springs, Harvey, and Mullewa. Often these illegal acts occur in areas of low agricultural viability, where biodiversity values are often highest.'⁶⁶

Feral Species

Another issue causing significant threat to biodiversity is the release and spread of feral plants and animals into biological systems. A large number of alien species of plants, animals, and microorganisms that have been introduced into Western Australia over the years have caused significant damage to biodiversity.⁶⁷ Uncontrolled populations of feral goats, rabbits, and horses pose a particularly severe threat to the biodiversity of pastoral regions in Western Australia. 'Pastoral activities, particularly the increase in

⁶³ Myers. et.al, 2000; Alpin et.al. 1995

⁶⁴ Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

⁶⁵ Environment Western Australia, 1998

⁶⁶ Conservation Council of Western Australia, 2001

⁶⁷ Commonwealth of Australia, 1996

watering points, and pastoral modification have increased the suitability of pastoral lands for feral goats, rabbits, and horses.⁶⁸ There is now more feral goats in Western Australia than there are people.⁶⁹ In some pastoral areas, these animals are encouraged by farmers as they can be sold on overseas markets for a higher price than sheep. Goats however, have a far greater environmental impact than sheep, posing severe threats to biological diversity in the West Australian rangelands.

Some of the most serious threats from feral animals are from introduced predators, particularly foxes and cats. 'European foxes can sustain relatively high numbers by preying on rabbits, carrion, or invertebrates while driving indigenous species to extinction.'⁷⁰ The distribution of mammal extinctions in southern Western Australia are consistent with the distribution of the red fox, and feral cats which can occur as self-sustaining wild populations.⁷¹ Also, almost all extinct or endangered native Australian mammals occur in a 'critical weight range' of between 35g and 5500g.⁷² This range is consistent with the preying range of cats and red foxes.

Another introduced species, the fungus *Phytophthora cinnamomi* or 'dieback' is having a devastating effect on the species-rich shrublands and jarrah forest in the south of Western Australia.⁷³ 'The arrival and spread of *P. cinnamomi* in Western Australia is now seen to be a biological disaster of global significance given the richness and high degree of endemism of the

⁶⁸ *ibid.* see also Landsberg, et.al. 1997

⁶⁹ Angas Hopkins, pers.comm.

⁷⁰ Environment Western Australia, 1998

⁷¹ Burbidge and Friend, undated, Environment Western Australia, 1998

⁷² Burbidge and Friend, undated

flora of south-western Western Australia.⁷⁴ Other plant diseases affecting biodiversity in the south west include *Armillaria inteobubalina*, and *Cryptodiathrorthe melanocrespida*.⁷⁵ Little is known about the extent or severity of these diseases.

Genetically Engineered Species

The spread of genetically engineered (GE) organisms into the natural environment is also a serious threat to biological diversity.⁷⁶ Genetically modified organisms bring great potential risks to biodiversity, particularly the displacement or genetic modification of unmodified species.⁷⁷ 'Many scientists are concerned about this emerging technology since the insertion of foreign genes into the host genome disrupts the genetic blueprint of that host organism with unpredictable consequences.'⁷⁸ It is estimated that the majority of future canola, cotton, lupin and pea crops will be genetically engineered so that they are insect or herbicide resistant.⁷⁹ This situation could have a potentially devastating effect on the biodiversity of Western Australia, where many of these crops are grown. The threat of 'super pests' and 'super weeds' as a result of evolutionary and genetically engineered resistance to pests and pesticides is a very real threat to biodiversity.⁸⁰

Climate Change

⁷³ Commonwealth of Australia, 1996

⁷⁴ Environment Western Australia, 1998

⁷⁵ *ibid.*

⁷⁶ Commonwealth of Australia, 1996; Krockenberger, 2000; Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

⁷⁷ Commonwealth of Australia, 1996.

⁷⁸ Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

⁷⁹ *ibid.*

Human-induced climate change is also beginning to take its toll on biological systems of Western Australia. Climate change is predicted to have severe implications for some terrestrial ecosystems where relatively small temperature changes may result in extensive loss of habitat and consequently extinction of species.⁸¹ Aquatic and marine ecosystems are also likely to be directly affected by sea level rises associated with climate change, causing loss of habitat and affecting breeding grounds for birds.⁸²

Altered fire regimes

Fire is one of the most significant modifiers of habitat in Australia. 'Research has shown that the disappearance of mammals from the deserts of Western Australia coincided with the depopulation of the area by Aborigines, and the subsequent change in the fire regime.'⁸³ Fire is a necessary part of many Western Australian ecosystems, however it can also be very damaging if areas are subjected to inappropriate fire regimes. 'Fires of high or low intensity that are either too frequent, or insufficiently frequent can lead to loss of native species, communities and ecosystems.'⁸⁴ Regular fuel reduction burning in the south west forests has in many cases been inappropriate in frequency and intensity, causing alterations to the

⁸⁰ *ibid*; Krockenberger et.al. 2000

⁸¹ Commonwealth of Australia, 1996

⁸² *ibid*; Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

⁸³ Burbidge and Friend, undated

⁸⁴ Commonwealth of Australia, 1996

biodiversity of the only wet sclerophyll forest remaining in the world, particularly the soil-borne organisms such as fungi.⁸⁵

Industry and Settlement Pressures

Industry and settlement in various forms also have adverse impacts on biodiversity. While those industries which directly use biological resources such as farming and fishing and tourism have the greatest direct affect, most other industries as well as urbanisation contribute to a serious pressure through pollution, habitat destruction and modification, resource extraction etc.. The uncontrolled spread of urbanisation and its effect on wetlands of the swan coastal plain through land filling and pollution has already been discussed in this paper. Widespread use of pesticides associated with agriculture and other industries is another example of industrial pressure on biodiversity.⁸⁶

⁸⁵ Syme and Bougher, 1998, Tony Pedro, South Coast Environment Group (SCEGS) pers.comm. Angus Hopkins, pers.comm.

⁸⁶ Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

Opportunities and Challenges for Future Sustainable Biodiversity Conservation in Western Australia

A Sustainability Approach

As we review various biodiversity conservation initiatives on an international, national and state level, we begin to see some common themes emerge. Although not all of these themes are present in all policy initiatives, together they form a conceptual framework for the approach taken in contemporary biodiversity conservation. The themes are:

- Integration of biodiversity conservation and natural resource management
- Bioregional planning
- Community, especially indigenous involvement
- Conserving Biodiversity 'in situ'
- The development of comprehensive adequate reserve (CAR) systems
- Equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the utilisation of genetic resources
- Improving our knowledge of biological systems

While these well-established concepts provide a useable framework for strategic planning for biodiversity conservation, it is felt that the successful and sustainable preservation of biodiversity requires a fundamental shift in the interpretation some of these themes by planners. Sustainability planning involves identifying and challenging those ethics and discourses which

underpin and perpetuate unsustainable relationships between humans and the non human world. These ethics and discourses are often intrinsic to our perceptions of the world, and therefore difficult to identify.

The development of the Western Australian biodiversity strategy should be seen as an exciting opportunity to begin a process of reinterpreting and redefining the established mechanisms of contemporary biodiversity conservation to work towards truly sustainable and equitable outcomes for the communities and biodiversity of Western Australia.

Reinterpretation 1: Increasing our Knowledge

One redefinition which is particularly important is that of the goal that we must improve our knowledge of biodiversity in order to best facilitate its conservation. This statement itself is not brought into question; however the interpretation of this objective in contemporary biodiversity conservation initiatives has been consistently mono-disciplinary and dogmatic. Contemporary biodiversity conservation theory has largely been informed by an incomplete and solely scientific understanding of biological systems, superimposed by a fundamental mismatch between the way natural systems function and the way governmental systems are designed to manage them.⁸⁷ This situation has produced biodiversity conservation initiatives which in some instances can act to perpetuate the very processes which threaten our biological heritage⁸⁸.

⁸⁷ Sandlund, O. 1992

If we are to conserve our biodiversity in the most sustainable manner, we must improve our knowledge of that which we wish to conserve. To achieve this end, the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC) last year⁸⁹ released the document *Biodiversity Conservation Research - Australia's Priorities* 'to act as a guide to research and funding bodies throughout Australia.' Two thirds of the highest priority research areas were areas of purely scientific research. The remaining priority research areas were identified using scientific knowledge as the basis for determining where other forms of research, such as social and economic enquiry are required.

While a comprehensive scientific understanding of ecological systems is of importance, it should be recognised that a solely scientific understanding of the natural world - an incompletable one at that - forms only one component of the knowledge required to conserve biological systems. The reductionist⁹⁰ and anthropocentric⁹¹ viewpoint which is modern western science is one which offers at best an incomplete understanding of natural environments and the relationships between human culture and environmental nature. Any discourse which is solely informed by such a limited understanding necessarily embodies the fundamental precepts of western anthropocentrism, and mechanistic reductionism, and thereby in some cases will act to perpetuate the unsustainable relationships which are

⁸⁸ Newkirk 1994; Shiva 1997

⁸⁹ 2001

⁹⁰ Western Science seeks to reduce systems into their component pieces in order to understand them; see also Newkirk, 1994

⁹¹ Human-centered, See also Zimmerman et. al. eds, 1998

informed by these precepts, and currently exist between industrialised societies and the natural environment.

It is therefore necessary that we must diversify both the types of knowledge and the subjects of the knowledge which we use to inform our methods of biodiversity conservation. 'Sustainable management of living natural resources demands that the scientifically derived biological and ecological information is combined with profound knowledge of local socio-economic and cultural structures. The output from scientific work may be more easily applicable in practical management if scientists evaluate their results in a wider social and cultural context.'⁹²

'Political systems must also be incorporated into our attempts to understand the ways in which we deal with the environment, as politics and political systems are a crucial element in our framework for exploring the interaction of human and biophysical systems.'⁹³ This will require a multi-disciplinary approach to improving our knowledge of biodiversity conservation. 'The many aspects involved in conservation and sustainable management demand holistic approaches and collaboration between professions...'⁹⁴ Therefore, policy makers need to include economists, political, natural and social scientists as well as indigenous knowledge in planning and management teams. This will require multi-disciplinary and multi-sectorial teamwork in the development of biodiversity conservation projects and programs.

⁹² Sandlund et.al., 1992

⁹³ Alpin et.al., 1995

⁹⁴ Sandlund et.al., 1992

One alternative mechanism for understanding the nature of biological systems including the interaction of human culture with them is that of indigenous knowledge systems. Unfortunately however, as Langton, researcher for the Centre for Indigenous Natural and Cultural Resource Management (CINCRM), and foundation professor of Australian Indigenous studies at the University of Melbourne notes:

‘Aboriginal people and their land management traditions have been rendered invisible in Australian landscapes by ‘science fictions’ that arise from the assumption of superiority of Western knowledge over indigenous knowledge systems, the result of which is, often, a failure to recognise the critical relevance of these latter to sustainable environmental management.’⁹⁵

Indigenous knowledge and cultural understanding of the Australian environment should form an indispensable element of biodiversity conservation knowledge, and the way that knowledge informs biodiversity management techniques. Aboriginal culture has been an intrinsic and interactive component of Australia’s ecological systems for thousands of generations,⁹⁶ this culture, and the knowledge associated with it therefore forms an essential component of that which must be protected and drawn upon to inform the development of sustainable protection mechanisms.

Langton, on biodiversity conservation explains;

‘[Aboriginal knowledge] brings different and applicable views of the world to the task of conserving biodiversity. Indigenous knowledge exists wherever there are living Aboriginal customary systems, and wherever this is the case, Aboriginal and western systems knowledge are parallel, co-existing, but different, ways of knowing. Scientific

⁹⁵ Langton, 1998

⁹⁶ see also, *ibid.*

descriptions of nature and precepts of the natural world cannot subsume traditional ways of knowledge.'

Collaborative projects between Aboriginal people and colonial conservationists are not merely annexing traditional systems of knowledge, but rather, interacting with them, and thus the outcomes are neither absolutely the result of scientific thought nor that of Aboriginal thought.⁹⁷ Dodson, who served as the first Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commissioner,⁹⁸ explains this concept clearly:

'What I am suggesting is the need for integrating the views, approaches, and experience of indigenous peoples into national strategies for environmental and conservation management. What I am suggesting is a partnership ... between Western knowledge and 'scientific' approaches to land and environmental management, and indigenous knowledge and approaches. Such a partnership, I suggest, should provide the basis for sound, sustainable environmental management and protection'⁹⁹

Therefore, the objective of increasing our knowledge for better biodiversity conservation should be redefined to mean:

- Diversifying the types of knowledge which we use to inform biodiversity management activities to include indigenous forms of knowledge and academic disciplines other than that of the biological and environmental sciences.
- Diversifying the subjects of the knowledge we use to inform biodiversity management activities to include, in addition to scientific or other knowledge of biological systems and their components, the study and better

⁹⁷ *ibid.*

⁹⁸ *ibid.*

⁹⁹ Dodson, 1996

understanding of the relationships between ecological systems, economic systems and social systems, particularly the understanding of indigenous culture as an inseparable component of ecological systems.

Reinterpretation 2: Bioregional Planning

It is widely held that the best way to manage ecological systems for biodiversity conservation is to divide them into 'Biogeographical regions' or areas defined by the ecological communities which exist within them.¹⁰⁰

'Regional Planning in which environmental characteristics are a principal determinant of boundaries is considered to be of major importance if biological diversity conservation is to succeed.'¹⁰¹

In recent years a significant effort has been made to describe and classify more precisely the main bioregions of Australia. The mechanisms for achieving this have been the Interim Biogeographical Regionalisation of Australia (IBRA), a classification of terrestrial bioregions, and the Interim Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia (IMCRA), a classification of marine bioregions. The IBRA system identified 80 bioregions,¹⁰² with 26 appearing in Western Australia.¹⁰³

The spatial regionalisation of ecological systems into discrete bioregions is a management tool used for the purposes of conservation. While in some

¹⁰⁰ Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001; ANZECC, 2001; Commonwealth of Australia, 1996; Swanson, 1996, and others

¹⁰¹ Commonwealth of Australia, 1996

¹⁰² Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

¹⁰³ Environment Western Australia, 1998

cases the identification of bioregions can be an excellent guide for the development of management plans with the objective of biodiversity conservation, in many cases a bioregional analysis will fail to provide the most appropriate basis for the spatial organisation of biodiversity management. This is the case for the following reasons:

Areas defined primarily on environmental characteristics usually do not reflect the spatial characteristics of the cultures and cultural processes which affect those regions. For example, the indigenous peoples of Australia had, and in some places still have a relationship with the landform of Australia which is in most cases fundamentally mismatched with scientifically described bioregions. These peoples see themselves and their culture as a part of the ecology, part of the geology, part of the landscape.¹⁰⁴ In western science's terminology; a keystone species. But the range of this 'keystone species' which is Aboriginal culture, and the ecological and evolutionary effect of the land stewardship so intrinsic to this culture is not bounded by the edge of the mallee scrub bioregion, or the high water mark, or the Swan Coastal Plain, or any other scientifically described regionalisation of the natural environment. Dodson elaborates succinctly;

There is another dimension that invests land with meanings and significance - which transforms land and environment into landscape, and into 'country'. That other dimension is culture. Culture is what enables us to conceive of land and environment in terms that are different to conventional European notions.¹⁰⁵

¹⁰⁴ Langton, 1998

¹⁰⁵ Dodson, 1996

Contemporary Australian colonial landuse patterns and socio-spatial associations are similarly ignored by such environmentally determined regionalisation. Landuse patterns requiring integrated biodiversity planning such as the West Australian Wheatbelt Region or the WA salmon fishing industry transcend the boundaries of various bioregions and their correspondently different management regimes. Conversely, intensive landuses such as mining and settlement would increase the variation of environmental impact within a bioregion to a level where an overall bioregional approach to conservation in the area would be problematically generalised in its application.

More layers of spatial planning which are not recognised by the identification of bioregions, are areas of local government jurisdiction, and land use planning based on areas defined by catchments. Many councils are already actively involved in biodiversity conservation, using a variety of mechanisms including Local Agenda 21 Plans and integrated environmental management programs, often in rural areas on basis defined by catchments.¹⁰⁶ The *National Local Government Biodiversity Strategy*¹⁰⁷ argues that 'local government, either as regional groupings of as individuals, is the most appropriate level of government to deliver the best outcomes for biodiversity conservation at the lowest cost with the highest level of community involvement and support.' Regionalisation of lands for the purposes of State Government biodiversity conservation activities needs to be sympathetic to these forms of landuse planning. A purely bioregional analysis is not.

¹⁰⁶ Australian Local Government Association, 1999

The problem of loss of biodiversity is not simply an environmental problem, it includes economic, cultural and societal issues, thus the classification of management areas on environmental grounds alone is incompatible with the goal of integrating the management of all of these aspects. Therefore, it is felt that bioregional planning for biodiversity conservation should be redefined to reflect the spatial arrangements of landuse and other cultural elements of the environment: Bioregionalism should become *bioculturalism*. *Biocultural* regions need to be flexible, and reflect that there is no specific boundaries to ecological systems, or indigenous cultural systems based on tribal territories, while specific legislative boundaries do exist in the case of local government jurisdiction and modern patterns of land tenure and usage. Any regionalisations therefore need to be treated as flexible and potentially variable, to be used for some purposes, but not others¹⁰⁸.

Reinterpretation3: Comprehensive Adequate Representative (CAR) Reserves

The high conservation value of CAR reserve systems is well established,¹⁰⁹ and there is no contention that this approach to biodiversity conservation is a valuable model. A lengthy discussion of the well established benefits of the development of CAR reserve systems is not possible or intended here; suffice to say that the systematic identification and protection of CAR

¹⁰⁷ *ibid.*

¹⁰⁸ see also Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

¹⁰⁹ Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001; Worboys et.al. 2001; Krockenberger, 2000; Alpin et.al. 1995 and others.

reserve areas should remain an important focus for biodiversity conservation initiatives in Western Australia. Some assessments have shown protected areas to be the most cost-effective solution to the problem of biodiversity maintenance,¹¹⁰ while the Biological Diversity Advisory Council¹¹¹ disagrees, advocating regional natural resource planning at local government level as the lowest cost biodiversity conservation measure, with the highest level of community involvement.¹¹² In any case, Western Australia's contribution to the National Reserve System needs to be completed, and the marine reserve system in this state, which compared to its terrestrial counterpart is in its infancy, must be greatly expanded.¹¹³

CAR reserve systems should not however be viewed as the holy grail of biodiversity conservation in Western Australia as they have been the focus of such initiatives in other areas. The concept of a CAR reserve system providing a 'silver bullet' solution for conservation planners is flawed for a variety of reasons.

Firstly, the idea that we can define one area which represents the biodiversity of a much larger area is ecologically naive. All ecosystems are unique, even if some of them appear very similar from an incomplete scientific perspective. Indeed even a scientific perspective tells us that if vegetation assemblages and soil associations are similar over wide areas, the

¹¹⁰ Krockenberger 2000.

¹¹¹ in conjunction with the Australian Local Government Association, and supported by Environment Australia with disclaimer: 'The views and opinions... are not necessarily those of Environment Australia' No other information could be found on the 'Biological Diversity Advisory Council'

¹¹² Australian Local Government Association in conjunction with Biological Diversity Advisory Council, 1999

¹¹³ Krockenberger, 2000

genetic diversity of species within those areas may be enormous, and cannot be captured in a 'representative' section of the landscape. Witness the examples of Rock Wallabies, Velvet Worms, and Barramundi cited in *Australia: State of the Environment 1996*¹¹⁴ for their enormous genetic diversity found within ecologically similar areas. This variation in genetic diversity is what provides resilience in ecosystems,¹¹⁵ so concentration of conservation effort on 'representative' areas at the expense of other areas will act to further erode the resilience of the ecosystems we wish to protect.

Secondly, the places where we most need our biodiversity conserved, ie where it provides us with the services which we most depend on such as air and water purification, are diffuse and decentralised. Witness the vegetation fringing the creeks in the Peel-Harvey region protecting the estuary from eutrophication by effecting nutrient removal, the remnant vegetation in the wheatbelt mitigating salinity by lowering groundwater and providing refuge for the insects that pollinate our crops, and the seagrass beds in our bays and estuaries proving breeding grounds for our local fisheries. None of these examples of biodiversity can be captured in representative areas, by nature and necessity their distribution is scattered. These examples represent keystone ecosystems which form a crucial part of the interface between human activity and environmental functionality, thus we require a different framework from that of environmentally determined representation to best approach their protection.

¹¹⁴ State of the Environment Advisory Council, 1996 (ch.4 p38)

¹¹⁵ Environment Western Australia 1998; Knox et.al. 1995 and others (after Krebs, 1985)

Therefore, we must broaden the framework which informs our decisions on how to most affectively allocate biodiversity conservation resources. Of course a simplistic economic assessment will tell us that due to resource limitations we can either - choose one - conserve the biodiversity within small areas very effectively, or conserve the biodiversity within large areas rather less effectively. To continue with this (oversimplified) approach, it is suggested that we need to try to strike a balance between these extremes, rather than simply focusing on the small areas. Yencken and Wilkinson, in their recent book *Resetting the Compass - Australia's Journey Towards Sustainability* write:

Historically, Australian governments have sought to achieve nature conservation through the establishment and expansion of national Parks and Reserves. This continues to be a necessary and important action. It cannot however be the only action since approximately 500 million hectares, or two-thirds of the continent is managed by private landholders and most of the rest of the publicly owned land is not managed primarily for conservation¹¹⁶.

Reinterpretation 4: Integration of Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management

It has been recognised and supported at international, national, and state levels, that the successful integration of biodiversity conservation with sustainable economic development of biological resources will be vital in the sustainable conservation of the biodiversity of this state.¹¹⁷ This is a complex goal in itself, but one which is intrinsic to the achievement of a

¹¹⁶ Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001 after Young et.al., op.cit; Commonwealth of Australia, 1996

sustainable relationship between Australian people, our economy, and the environment.

One interpretation of how this objective can be met is simply by finding new uses for ecological resources. 'Developing new approaches to using wild biological resources can help ensure their survival.'¹¹⁸ It may seem strange to attempt to conserve biological resources by finding new ways to use them, but on the other hand, people and the economic frameworks which guide them tend to value the parts of the environment that they derive economic gain from, or otherwise use. So if sustainable uses can be found for biological resources, particularly economically beneficial sustainable uses, it follows that those resources should be better conserved. This simplistic and economically determined argument is however variously flawed within the context of growth-imperative globalised economies such as that of Western Australia.

While in some cases commodifying aspects of the environment can prompt increased natural area conservation and promote increased economic independence of regions, in many cases, particularly where there is a lack of legislative control and/or ethical consideration associated natural resource exploitation, the reverse situation can arise. Historically the latter has been the rule rather than the exception; the exploitation or utilisation of natural resources under developed economies has very rarely seen the conservation of those resources. Conversely, the resources have been unsustainably

¹¹⁷ Biodiversity Convention and Rio Declaration; National Biodiversity and ESD Strategies; Environment Western Australia.

¹¹⁸ Sandlund, et.al. 1992

depleted as a result of uncontrolled and in many cases unethical exploitation of nature, and commonly also culture. Furthermore, Clem Tisdell, Professor of Economics at The University of Queensland argues in his recent book *Biodiversity, Conservation, and Sustainable Development* that:

Commercial use of species, in a system designed to reflect economic values fully, is selective. It favours survival species and varieties of greatest commercial value, to the detriment of those of smaller or of no apparent economic value. Interference in natural systems is designed solely with commercial ends in mind. Such an approach to nature conservation is likely to be at odds with the land ethic, the ethical responsibility of humankind to conserve ecosystems as a whole [for their intrinsic value].¹¹⁹

Nevertheless, it is a well documented theory that the development of uses for environmental resources, if managed correctly, could lead to their conservation.¹²⁰ Western Australian resource management however will have to undergo a paradigm shift if governmental facilitation of environmental utilisation is to produce a culturally respectful means of protecting our environment. A reversal of the idea that conservation is best achieved in areas which are 'protected' from development, and that economic development of our environment necessarily leads to its deterioration, is a difficult concept given the legacy of destructive exploitation and culturally displacing relationships between our environment and economy. This is an ecologically and culturally problematic legacy which continues to inform corporate behaviour and governmental management in this state, and if not confronted will continue to do so.

¹¹⁹ Tisdell, 1999

¹²⁰ Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

Another less controversial interpretation of the objective of integrating biodiversity conservation and natural resource management is that we must incorporate biodiversity planning into our existing natural resource management activities. This is a much more important goal for the State of Western Australia to achieve, as this successful and complete integration on an industry as well as individual impact level will be vital in achieving sustainable natural resource management practices in this state. It may be argued that the successful achievement of this should be a precursor to the development of new uses for biological resources with the aim to conserve those resources.

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for development proposals is one tool which may be used by the government to integrate conservation objectives into natural resource based industries from the very start of their operations. EIA assessment can be a useful tool for screening development proposals for compatibility with the goal of biodiversity conservation, however critics argue that insufficient attention is paid to ecosystem protection and preservation in EIA processes, and frequently question the reliability of EIA's to predict the full environmental impacts of development, especially where cumulative impacts of projects occur. 'A project-by-project assessment can easily fail to predict indirect impacts caused by other sectors.'¹²¹ This is especially important for the sustainable management of natural resources, since sustainability depends on remaining within prescribed limits of resource tolerance and standards of ecological

¹²¹ Preece, et.al. 1995

integrity. 'Overall, therefore, EIA processes are valuable and perhaps necessary in conserving biodiversity, but far from sufficient.'¹²²

Many West Australian natural resource industries have made attempts on various levels at incorporating biodiversity conservation into their activities. One of these industries is the bauxite mining and alumina smelting industry which Alcoa is engaged in this State. Mining leases cover a large proportion of the jarrah forest, and the industry is dealt with under the Mining Act, and State Agreements acts (ALCOA, 1961; Worsley, 1973).¹²³ 'Working arrangements' developed between CALM and mining companies have seen the integration of CALM biodiversity conservation objectives into the mining operations, particularly the rehabilitation of mine sites. While this represents an integration of biodiversity conservation objectives into the management of bauxite mining, the integration has not been holistic in its application, as it primarily influences Alcoa's mine rehabilitation, with a much lessened influence on the company's other operations and emissions (notwithstanding Alcoa's ISO 14001 accreditation), or that of other components of the Alumina smelting industry

Bioprospecting

The emerging industry of 'bioprospecting,' the search for new chemicals or genetic material in living things that will have some medical or commercial use,¹²⁴ is an example of a new use of biodiversity which could lead to it's

¹²² Buckley, 1993 quoted in Preece, et.al. 1995

¹²³ Commonwealth of australia, 1999

¹²⁴ McGhee, 2000

conservation. Western Australia's high biodiversity and level of endemism means the region has huge potential for bioprospecting. Advocates claim bioprospecting can lead to the protection of biodiversity by merit of the fact that otherwise unprotected biodiversity may contain genetic material highly valuable to the bioprospecting industry. The activity of bioprospecting in some areas, particularly developing economies, is also seen as an opportunity for the sustainable generation of income and funding for purposes such as conservation and health care.

Pharmaceutical companies and agribusiness involved in bioprospecting use indigenous knowledge about the properties of native plants as a precursor to screening, and this is happening with little regard for the protection of indigenous intellectual property, and with no equitable sharing of profits.¹²⁵ One potentially lucrative foray of the bioprospecting industry into Western Australia which has already taken place, is the discovery by American researchers of a substance in the root of the Western Australian Smoke Bush which has killed some HIV strains in the laboratory. However, '...the rights to this plant have been sold with no regard for the traditional owners of the knowledge of this plant.'¹²⁶

It is intended that indigenous intellectual rights are protected under the International Convention on Biological Diversity, Article 8J, which states that signatories will 'Subject to national legislation, ... encourage the equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of indigenous knowledge.' The key clause here is 'subject to national legislation,' referring to legislation

¹²⁵ McGhee, 2000; Fraser, 2000; Agarwal, 1996; McAfee, 1996 and others

which upholds indigenous rights over traditional knowledge. Presently Australia has no such legislation, a situation which effectively disempowers this article of the biodiversity convention.¹²⁷ Thus, as a matter of urgency, Australian governments should seek to develop legislative protection for indigenous intellectual property rights.¹²⁸

Another issue associated with bioprospecting is the potentially ethically and environmentally problematic nature of the genetic engineering industry which uses genetic resources 'mined' from ecological systems. A lengthy debate on genetic engineering is inappropriate at this junction; however the following should be noted. Many of the genes discovered through bioprospecting find their way into food or other crops such as cotton, soya, rice etc...¹²⁹ It has been widely recognised, as noted earlier, that release of genetically engineered organisms into the environment poses a serious threat to biodiversity. Thus, it seems counterproductive, and indeed counterintuitive to support an industry on the basis that it *may* contribute to the conservation of biodiversity, when the products of that industry pose a serious threat to that which we must conserve.

Therefore, if the West Australian Government is to support bioprospecting, a workable and ethical model must be developed which recognises indigenous intellectual rights and ensures the equitable distribution of any benefits arising from the use of indigenous intellectual property. There must also be adequate protection from overexploitation of bioresources, or the

¹²⁶ McGhee, 2000.

¹²⁷ Lesser, 1998.

¹²⁸ see also Krockenberger, 2000.

degradation of environments in the extraction of those resources, especially on public lands. The precautionary principle should be closely adhered to in any such development planning. Such a model would have to ensure maximum benefit to local communities, and could take the form of agreements between local communities, bioprospectors, and conservation authorities.

One such model exists in Costa Rica, where the National Institute of Biodiversity (a private non profit), Diversa (a San Diego biotech firm), and the Ministry of Environment and Energy (the Costa Rican government agency that oversees biodiversity) signed multiple agreements. This arrangement has seen Diversa invest in DNA analysing labs in Costa Rica, and train locals to use the equipment, which is also being used as a research tool for a biodiversity inventory for conservation purposes. The Ministry of Environment and Energy receives 10 percent of the bioprospecting budget and 50 percent of any royalties, and this revenue can only be used for conservation. Intellectual property rights were not an issue in Costa Rica, perhaps because Costa Rica has few indigenous peoples.¹³⁰

¹²⁹ McAfee, 1996.

¹³⁰ Pw, 2000

Nature-Based and Ecotourism

The tourism industry, Australia's largest and fastest growing industry, is one which is increasingly becoming a major user of biological resources.¹³¹ Therefore, strategically integrating biodiversity conservation with the future needs of the nature-based and ecotourism industry will be vital in achieving the sustainability of this sector. The Western Australian environment has been recognised as the drawcard for tourism in the state, and the biodiversity of the state is considered to provide Western Australia with a distinct advantage for tourism.¹³² It is suggested that conservation can benefit from tourism, through information and experiences which lead to better understanding, and through generation of additional funds.¹³³ From a purely conservation-economics perspective, the main goal of nature-based and ecotourism on protected lands and national parks is to recoup the management costs of those areas from tourism dollars earned.¹³⁴ In addition to this though, 'A properly managed reserve system offers sustainable sources of income and employment in regional Australia.'¹³⁵

It has also been recognised however, by the 1996 Australian State of the Environment Report, that

Tourism has significant effects - both positive and negative - on many natural and cultural places. It can lead to better management, renewed cultural activity and increased understanding, but it can also result in pollution, vandalism, and cultural exploitation and debasement.¹³⁶

¹³¹ Preece, et.al. 1995

¹³² Western Australian Tourism Commission, 1994

¹³³ Newsome et.al. 2002; Preece, 1995; WA Tourism Commission, 1994

¹³⁴ Tisdell, 1999

¹³⁵ Krockenberger, 2000.

¹³⁶ State of the Environment Reporting Council, 1996

Preece, et.al. (1995) in *Two Way Track*, a report to the Biodiversity Unit of the Department of Environment, Sport and Territories maintains:

All of tourism must be ecologically sustainable, and that, for this to be successful, it must contribute to the long-term maintenance of ecosystems and species. Simply to 'minimise impacts' is not enough since, with tourism growth, this will result in incremental damage and inevitable environmental deterioration. There can be no environmental deterioration if the industry is to be ecologically sustainable.

Puckridge and Ried offer that:

All resource utilisation, however well managed, imposes environmental costs. In practice, such costs are most effectively minimised by separating exploitative activities and sites of high conservation value. The multi-use concept rejects this simple and effective approach.¹³⁷

Therefore, if the inevitable expansion of nature based and ecotourism is to be sympathetic and indeed facilitative to the conservation of biodiversity in Western Australia, there must be integrated and multilateral management of this industry by government. Also, 'given that tourism in Australia is largely dependant on and a major user of natural resources and biodiversity, it is recommended that it be identified specifically as a sector in policies that deal with biodiversity, conservation, ESD and the environment, where it is not already identified.'¹³⁸ The tourism industry has significant potential for delivering the requisite funding for conservation activities; however the challenge for government lies in developing mechanisms which act to channel these tourism dollars into the provision of conservation outcomes. It is recommended that innovative funding mechanisms be investigated to

¹³⁷ Puckridge and Reid, 1992 quoted in Figgis, 1999.

¹³⁸ Preece et.al. 1995

cover conservation costs, as well as the costs of research, market analysis and environmental management of nature based and ecotourism operations.

Required accreditation of tourism operations is a powerful tool at the disposal of the government for promoting sustainability among this industry. The 'Green Globe' Accreditation system is perhaps the most widely recognised and credible accreditation system for nature based and ecotourism, ideally however, all tourism activities should be accredited for their efforts to minimise the ecological impact of their operations.

Developing a Western Australian Biodiversity Strategy

It is important that the Government of Western Australia has a clear and well defined strategic plan for it's response to the biodiversity crisis in the state. The development of the West Australia Biodiversity Strategy needs to become a priority for government, and this can be seen as an opportunity for the development of an innovative and powerful strategic direction for biodiversity conservation and the management of industries which utilise West Australian biological resources.

Western Australia is in one respect in a fortunate position to be developing its biodiversity strategy at this time. The experience of other states in implementing their biodiversity strategies should be drawn upon to inform the most appropriate strategic approach for Western Australia.

It is vital that the future West Australian Biodiversity Strategy has a strong legislative support framework which allows for substantial powers of prosecution for environmental offences committed by individuals as well as organisations. The legacy of failed or ineffectual environmental prosecutions which have taken place in Western Australia under *The Environmental Protection Act* (WA) is testimony to the insufficiency of this legislation for the sustainable protection of biodiversity in this state.¹³⁹ The Commonwealth of Australia add that 'Western Australian [environmental] legislation lags behind both the current state of knowledge and national and international government agreements.'¹⁴⁰

Therefore, the new legislation which is currently being developed by the Western Australian State Government to replace the *Wildlife Conservation Act of 1950* (WA) should be closely linked to the objectives of the future Western Australia Biodiversity Strategy, and must provide for significant prosecutions for the committal of environmental offences.

Improving Reserve Management

Reserve management could be improved in many parts of Western Australia. Most of the protected areas in this state are managed according to International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) category I, II, or III protected areas, meaning that they are managed with the primary objectives of, respectively, science and wilderness protection, ecosystem

¹³⁹ Angas Hopkins pers.comm.

¹⁴⁰ Commonwealth of Australia, 1999

conservation and recreation, and the conservation of specific natural features.¹⁴¹ 'As an agency with integrated responsibilities, [CALM] manage lands and waters for the conservation of biodiversity at ecosystem, species, and genetic levels...'¹⁴²

CALM management of protected areas has frequently come into question by scientists and conservation organisations, maintaining that management activities, especially those relating to prescribed burning in Southwest National parks such as the Fitzgerald and the Shannon, are incompatible with the management objectives of biodiversity conservation.¹⁴³ Management plans for CALM managed areas are widely varied in their levels of commitment to biodiversity conservation by merit of the fact that they vary widely in their ages and levels of review, and very different people with different objectives have been involved in their development, reflective of various community involvement in different regions and at different times.

CALM also have policy statements which inform the management of protected areas. These policies evolve over time in response to newly acquired scientific knowledge. 'CALM Policy is continuously developed and modified in light of an informal process [which involves] CALM staff working closely with their counterparts in other State Government Departments. ... The present informal process should be formalised with

¹⁴¹ Yencken and Wilkinson, 2001

¹⁴² CALM website, accessed 3/4/2002

¹⁴³ Tony Pedro, South Coast Environment Group (SCEGS) pers. comm. Angus Hopkins, pers. comm. also see Langdon, 1998

formal review.’¹⁴⁴ CALM policy statements have also been criticised by the Commonwealth of Australia for the fact that they ‘separate ecosystem components such as water, soil, flora and fauna. [CALM] should develop policy statements on the ecosystem level.’¹⁴⁵

A system of accreditation for protected area management practices is recommended. This could be used both for CALM managed lands, and for privately managed conservation reserve, and would incorporate a regular public review process. A relatively long phase-in period would be required as the large number of CALM management plans would have to be reviewed with the objective of strengthening biodiversity conservation management objectives. The Department of CALM itself may also require significant structural changes to further the objective of biodiversity conservation on reserved land.

Biodiversity Conservation Outside the Reserve System

For the reasons outlined earlier, as well as the fact that ‘Conservation Reserves, comprising just over 5% of Australia, are insufficient to provide an adequate representation of this country’s biological diversity,’¹⁴⁶ ‘Conservation outside the reserve system will need to increase substantially if many species and plant communities are to survive.’¹⁴⁷ This will require a comprehensive effort to identify important ecological habitats outside

¹⁴⁴ Commonwealth Of Australia, 1999

¹⁴⁵ *ibid.*

¹⁴⁶ Worboys et.al. 2001 after CSIRO, 1996

¹⁴⁷ Environment Western Australia, 1998

current and planned future reserve systems. Identification of these areas should be based on a broadly defined framework as described above. Conservation outside reserves is a complex enterprise from a management point of view, as it involves all types of land tenure which support all forms of landuse. Land tenures in Australia include freehold, perpetual lease, pastoral lease, town reserve, State forest, Aboriginal reserve, and recreational reserve, in addition to national park, and nature reserve¹⁴⁸.

Legislative Approaches

In the past, freehold landholders have enjoyed extensive rights, and had few responsibilities with respect to environmental conservation on their properties. This has changed during the past few decades, as dramatic restrictions in some jurisdictions have been placed on landholders rights with respect to the clearing of native vegetation.¹⁴⁹ These legislative government interventions into landholders property rights have been based on two foundations;¹⁵⁰

First, Land management practices on one property can have serious environmental consequences on other properties, i.e. land clearing causing down-catchment salinisation.

Second, Environmental impact such as clearing of native vegetation causes a loss of public benefits associated with biodiversity and amenity values.

¹⁴⁸ Commonwealth of Australia, 1999.

¹⁴⁹ Worboys et.al. 2001

¹⁵⁰ *ibid.*

Presently Western Australia has no legislation preventing clearing on private land,¹⁵¹ a situation which deeply concerns many conservationists. The Conservation Council of Western Australia believes that 'the Government of Western Australia should bring into effect an immediate moratorium on land clearing'¹⁵² in order to mitigate the real and rapid loss of habitat occurring in the state as a result of this activity.

This action alone would significantly increase the level of biodiversity conservation possible outside reserve systems. If areas of remnant vegetation are legally protected from clearing, then the investment of effort in their restoration under community based initiatives such as Landcare is far more attractive to governmental support. 'It is a Matter of Serious Concern that WA may miss out on funding for Landcare Projects under the Commonwealth's National Action Plan on Salinity and Water Quality. Under this plan, funding is contingent on each state having in place legislation that prohibits clearing of native vegetation.'¹⁵³ One legal mechanism for the Minister for Agriculture to implement a land clearing moratorium is through section 22 (2b) of the *Soil and Land Conservation Act, 1945* (WA).¹⁵⁴

Another legislative approach which can be taken to effect environmental conservation on private land tenures is the introduction of a 'duty of care' for landholders. Western Australia, South Australia, Victoria, and Queensland have enshrined in legislation the notion that a landholder has a

¹⁵¹ Conservation Council of Western Australia, 2001 (a)

¹⁵² *ibid.*

¹⁵³ *ibid.*

duty of care, and that this duty of care provides the foundation for acceptable land stewardship.¹⁵⁵ It has been recommended by the Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia that this duty of care be defined as follows; ‘...landholders have a duty of care to manage the land in their charge in a way that is ecologically sustainable, given the particular geographic location, and based upon latest scientific information.’¹⁵⁶

In a legislative sense, this duty of care defines the point where the responsibility for private investment in public good conservation activities may end, and public responsibility for investment should begin. This means that financial assistance for conservation activities on private land would normally only be available for environmentally necessary activities that go beyond the landholders duty of care. ‘Financial assistance would not be paid to landholders to meet their duty of care for sustainable land management.’¹⁵⁷

Legislative restrictions which seek to minimise the environmental impacts of landholders on their lands can be an effective tool for biodiversity conservation on private lands, however, in many cases this approach can be very difficult to police, and can be met with staunch opposition from landholders who believe that such restrictions constitute an erosion of what they have been led to believe are their property rights¹⁵⁸.

¹⁵⁴ *ibid.*

¹⁵⁵ The Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia, 2001.

¹⁵⁶ *ibid.*

¹⁵⁷ *ibid.*

¹⁵⁸ *ibid.*

Other Approaches

While the top down command and control approach is one component of the conservation of biodiversity on private land, another model which can be very successful in influencing landholders to abate the environmental impacts of their landuse is based on the formation of working relationships between landholders and with government. These types of relationships can take on various forms, for example funding arrangements which help landholders meet the costs of fencing off bush remnants or creek lines from stock. There has been an increasing emphasis on cost-sharing and providing landholders with incentives to adopt best-practice land management.¹⁵⁹

Community based and capacity building partnerships such as Landcare, can be powerful mechanisms for effecting biodiversity preservation across catchments and regions. Moderate funding has been provided for a number of landcare programs by state and federal governments, and all have recieved very strong community support. In his 1995 publication, Alpin *et.al.* estimated that about 30 percent of all farmers were involved.¹⁶⁰ He explains:

‘The principles of Total Catchment Management are fundamental to landcare, and plan, preparation and action has come from the bottom up. Landcare involves education as well as action... Perhaps the single most important achievement of all the activities under landcare is not the millions of trees planted or the square kilometres of soil erosion controlled, but the tens of thousands of ordinary Australian’s who have volunteered to take action for the environment.’

¹⁵⁹ Worboys, et.al. 2001

¹⁶⁰ Alpin et.al. 1995

The accreditation of pastoralist environmental management systems under ISO 14001 or similar is another avenue currently being investigated for encouraging landholders to integrate the conservation of biodiversity into their management practices.¹⁶¹ ISO standards tend to be based on qualitative measures of minimum environmental performance, without providing prescriptive solutions to meeting environmental performance criteria. This does not act to empower pastoralists, who often require guidance on how to interpret and achieve set environmental targets.¹⁶² It is considered that environmental management accreditation of some form for pastoralists is an area well worth further consideration by the Western Australian government.

Private Reserves

Privately owned conservation reserves such as the Australian Wildlife conservatory and the Bush Brokers models should also be actively encouraged by government for their potentially valuable contribution to biodiversity conservation in the state. No single model exists for privately owned protected areas. Internationally, these lands vary dramatically, filling a wide variety of conservation niches.¹⁶³ The benefits from these diverse areas can also be substantial, the most appealing economic attribute of private reserves being their potential profitability. 'Especially when engaged in ecotourism, [private] reserves represent a livelihood strategy capable of

¹⁶¹ Angas Hopkins, pers.comm.

¹⁶² *ibid.*

¹⁶³ Langholz, 2001

both economic and ecological viability'.¹⁶⁴ Economic benefits from private reserves are not confined to landowners, but also extend to governments in the form of costs avoided

A variety of mechanisms exist which can be used by governments to facilitate such enterprises. Economic measures include direct financial assistance such as fund sharing arrangements, as well as providing relief from tax, shire rates, stamp duty and land tax for those organisations or individuals who can demonstrate their commitment to conservation objectives. It is suggested that the accreditation of management systems would be appropriate for this purpose. Many internationally recognised accreditation systems exist for ecotourism operations¹⁶⁵ and for environmental management in general¹⁶⁶ which could be adopted for the purposes of assessment of private reserve management.

The Western Australian Wild Country Project

One initiative which aims to comprehensively increase biodiversity conservation on land outside reserve systems is the Western Australian Wild Country Project, a current initiative of the Wilderness Society. The Wild Country idea is based on a similar and highly successful initiative developed in North America called the Wildlands Project. The Wildlands manifesto states:

¹⁶⁴ *ibid.*

¹⁶⁵ Green Globe, Green Leaf etc.

¹⁶⁶ ISO 14001 series of standards, and others.

‘The Idea is simple: to stem the disappearance of wildlife and wilderness we must allow the recovery of whole ecosystems and landscapes in every region of North America. Allowing these systems to recover requires long-term design. The design must rest on the spirit of social responsibility.’¹⁶⁷

The Wilderness Society have developed this concept into a vision for protecting Australia’s wilderness plants and animals ‘into - and beyond - the 21st. century.’

Like it’s American Counterpart, it is big-picture thinking: an audacious, positive and visionary environmental plan beyond any previously attempted in Australia. this is a unifying program to protect and link Australia’s wilderness and restore degraded lands.

It offers a vision for whole-of-environment land management systems, embracing all land tenures. It is about finding a path for restoring large areas of the Australian continent to healthy self-perpetuating natural systems where human activity is compatible with the health of the land.

It offers a way of restoring and maintaining the inalienable links between Australia’s indigenous inhabitants and the land, and will involve a significant shift in the relationship between many non-indigenous Australians and the environment.¹⁶⁸

The first phase of the wild country project in Western Australia is still in it’s very early stages of development. It is proposed to include a large area of land extending from the South East of the state where a large tract of unreserved bushland has been identified, through to the established South West National Parks and the Walpole Wilderness area. The Wild Country project would join these areas by protecting and restoring ecological communities on all land tenures, based on community support and indigenous participation. This exciting project should be supported as much

¹⁶⁷ The Wilderness Society, 2000

¹⁶⁸ *ibid.*

as is possible by the State Government of Western Australia. It represents a holistic approach to biodiversity conservation which has been lacking in this state.

The Southwest World Heritage Biodiversity Hotspot

The International recognition of Western Australia's Southwest Botanical Province as a biodiversity hotspot containing an 'exceptional concentration of endemic species undergoing an exceptional loss of habitat'¹⁶⁹ should be seen by Australian Governments as an excellent opportunity to increase our Australian World Heritage by recommending the site for World Heritage listing.

The South West region, including the human and ecological communities within it, stands to benefit immensely from such an initiative. In the case of other Australian World Heritage sites such as the Tasmanian Wilderness, Kakadu National Park and the Great Barrier Reef, World Heritage Listing and the promotions associated with it has resulted in greatly increased tourist visitation to the area from overseas and within Australia.¹⁷⁰ Increased tourism, if managed sustainably, would lead to sustainable employment opportunities, and increased income for the area.

Planning and Management for the region would also be improved with assistance from the Commonwealth Government. The protection and

¹⁶⁹ Myers, N. et.al. 2000

¹⁷⁰ Environment Australia, 1999

management of many of Australia's World Heritage properties has involved a cooperative approach between the Commonwealth and State Governments; 'A major focus of Commonwealth Government assistance for World Heritage Properties has been the provision of resources for strengthening management and improving interpretation and visitor facilities.'¹⁷¹

The establishment of the Southwest botanical Province as a World Heritage property for its outstanding biodiversity values also protects the area under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act, 1999* (EPBC act). The EPBC act imposes substantial civil and criminal penalties on a person who commits an unlawful action, and provides automatic protection for World Heritage Properties by ensuring that environmental impact assessment processes are undertaken for proposed actions that will or are likely to have a significant impact on the World Heritage values of the property.¹⁷²

The EPBC Act also gives the Commonwealth Minister for the Environment and Heritage the power to declare other properties as 'Declared World Heritage Properties' even if they have not been World Heritage Listed, thus granting them protection under the EPBC act. This can occur where:

- The property has been nominated for, but not yet inscribed on the World Heritage List; or

¹⁷¹ Environment Australia, 1999

¹⁷² *ibid.*

-the property has not been nominated for World Heritage Listing but the Minister believes that the property contains World Heritage values that are under threat.¹⁷³

This being the case, in the event that the South West Botanical Province is not nominated for World Heritage Listing, it is suggested that the area should be at least recommended to the Commonwealth Minister the Environment and Heritage for inclusion as a 'Declared World Heritage Property' on the basis that the area contains World Heritage values that are under threat. This would afford protection of the area under the *Environmental Protection and Biodiversity act 1999*.

Conclusion

The State of Western Australia is presented with a number of opportunities and challenges by the biodiversity crisis now affecting Western Australian ecosystems, industry and community. The current situation with regards to the loss of biodiversity in the state is desperate. Western Australia's unique biodiversity is internationally exceptional in its variety and endemism; however our biological species and systems are also some of the most threatened in the world. The 1996 State of the Environment Report found that the loss of biodiversity is the single most important environmental issue facing the nation.

¹⁷³ Environment Australia 1999

Biodiversity loss is not only an environmental issue. Many aspects of the Western Australian economy and social fabric are fundamentally dependant on biological resources. One increasingly important example is that Western Australian biodiversity is considered to provide the State with a distinct advantage for tourism, Australia's biggest and fastest growing industry. The agriculture, fisheries and biotechnology industries are also directly dependant on the biological resources of this state, as is human health and wellbeing.

Biodiversity is lost or threatened by a wide variety of processes. Some of these processes such as feral species, or habitat destruction through land clearing have been occurring since European settlement. Other processes which affect biodiversity today, such as climate change and the effects of genetically engineered species, are contemporary issues which are poorly understood and unfamiliar to traditional biodiversity conservation planning.

The complex interplay between the processes of social, economic, and ecological systems has historically been difficult to govern. To develop a sustainable response to the biodiversity crisis, it will be necessary for the State of Western Australia to adopt a committed and integrated approach to biodiversity conservation, which addresses each of these processes, and the relationships between them.

This integrated approach must be informed by the evolving principles of sustainable development and an increased multidisciplinary understanding of biological systems, particularly the relationships between those systems

and the Western Australian economy and society. Development of such a response will take time, and will require many of the precepts of traditional and contemporary Australian biodiversity conservation to be redefined in the context of continuing development of sustainable, equitable and workable solutions to the biodiversity crisis.

Significant international and Australian responses to the loss of biodiversity have set the stage for a committed approach to biodiversity conservation from the Western Australian Government. Most other Australian States and Territories have developed biodiversity strategies to meet their international and national obligations under the International Convention on Biological Diversity and the National Strategy for the Conservation of Australia's Biological Diversity. The Government of Western Australia must therefore begin a strengthened and reviewed approach to biodiversity conservation in this state by developing the Western Australian Biodiversity Strategy to define an innovative and sustainable approach to the conservation of Western Australia's biological heritage.

Bibliography

- Alpin, G. et.al. 1995 *Global Environmental Crises - An Australian Perspective* Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- Agarwal A. and Nairn, S., 1996, *Pirates in the Garden of India* New Scientist, October (pp 14-15)
- Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC) 2001 *Review of the National Strategy for the Conservation of Australia's Biological Diversity* Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.
- Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC) 2001 *Biodiversity Conservation Research - Australia's Priorities* Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.
- Australian Academy of Science, 1992, *Biological Diversity - Its future conservation in Australia. Proceedings of the Fenner Environment Conference 1992* Department of the Environment, Sport, and Territories, Commonwealth of Australia.
- Australian Local Government Association and the Biological Diversity Advisory Council, 1999, *National Local Government Biodiversity Strategy* Environment Australia.
- Balla, S. 1994, *Wetlands of the Swan Coastal Plain Volume 1 - Their Nature and Management* Department of Environmental Protection Western Australia, and the Western Australian water authority
- Bougher, N. and Syme, K. 1998 *Fungi of Southern Australia* University of Western Australia Press, Perth.
- Boulton, A.J. and Brock, M.A. *Australian Freshwater Ecology: Processes and Management*. Gleneagles Publishing, South Australia.
- Brown, L. et.al., 1998 *Vital Signs 1998 1999 - The Environmental Trends that are Shaping Our Future* Earthscan Publications Ltd, London.
- Burbidge, A. and Friend, T. undated, *The Disappearing mammals* Landscape, pp30-34
- CSIRO 1996, *Looking After our Land: a Future for Australia's Biological Diversity* Division of Wildlife and Ecology, Canberra.
- Commonwealth of Australia, 1998, *A Full Repairing Lease - Inquiry into Ecologically Sustainable Land Management* Report No. 60, Industry Commission, Canberra.

- Commonwealth of Australia, 1996 *The National Strategy for the Conservation of Australia's Biological Diversity* Commonwealth Department of the Environment, Sport and Territories.
- Dodson, M. 1996 *Indigenous Peoples, Social Justice and Rights to the Environment* in Sultan et.al. *Ecopolitics IX Conference; Perspectives on Indigenous Peoples Management of the Environmental Resources*, Darwin, 1995 Northern Land Council, Darwin.
- Environment Australia, 2000, *Biodiversity - Natures Variety, Our Heritage, Our Future A Guide to Using and Protecting Australia's Biodiversity* Environment Australia, Department of Environment and Heritage, Canberra
- Environment Australia, 1999, *Australia's World Heritage* Department of the Environment and Heritage, Canberra, ACT.
- Environment Western Australia, 1998, *State of the Environment Report* Department of Environmental Protection, Perth, Western Australia
- Fraser, B. 2000, - *The Ethics of Bioprospecting by Pharmaceutical Companies* Lust For Life, May 2000.
- Figgis, P.1999, *Australia's National Parks and Protected Areas: Future Directions, A Discussion Paper* Australian Committee for IUCN, Sydney.
- Knox, B. et.al. 1995 *Biology* McGraw-Hill, Sydney.
- Krebs, C.R. 1985, *Ecology: The Experimental Analysis of Distribution and Abundance* Harper and Row, New York
- Krockenberger, M. et.al., 2000, *Natural Advantage: A Blueprint for a Sustainable Australia* Australian Conservation Foundation.
- Landsberg, J. et.al. 1997 *The Effects of Artificial Sources of Water on Rangeland Biodiversity* Final Report to the Biodiversity Convention and Strategy Section of the Biodiversity Group, Environment Australia. CSIRO Division of Wildlife and Ecology, Environment Australia and CSIRO.
- Langholz, J. 2001 *Perils and Promise of Privately Owned Protected Areas* Bioscience Magazine, December 2001
- Langton, M. 1998 *Burning Questions - Emerging Environmental Issues fir Indigenous Peoples in Northern Australia* Centre for Indigenous Natural and Cultural Resource Management (CINCRM), Northern Territory University, Darwin.

- Lesser, W. 1998 *Sustainable Use of Genetic Resources under the Convention on Biological Diversity - Exploring Access and Benefit Sharing Issues* CAB International.
- McAfee K. 1996 *Who will benefit from UNCTAD'S 'biotrade'* Third World Resurgence No 77/78 (pp2-3)
- Myers, N. et.al. 2000 *Biodiversity Hotspots for Conservation Priorities* Nature, Volume 403, 24 February 2000, available online at: www.nature.com Macmillian Magazines Ltd.
- Newkirk, I. 1994 *Science Is....* in *The Soul of Nature: Visions of a Living Earth*, eds M. Tobias and G. Cowan, Continuum, New York.
- Newsome, D. et.al. 2002 *Natural Area Tourism - Ecology, Impacts and Management* Channel View Publications, Clevedon.
- Preece, N. et.al., 1995, *Two Way Track - Biodiversity Conservation and Ecotourism: an Investigation of Linkages, Mutual Benefits and Future Opportunities* Commonwealth of Australia Biodiversity Series, Biodiversity Unit Paper No.5.
- Puckridge, J. and Reid, J. 1992 *Innaminka Regional Reserve: a Mismanaged Multiple Land-Use Reserve* paper presented to the Australian Rangelands Society 7th Biennial Conference, Cobar, NSW, 5-8 October, 1992
- Pw, 2000 *Partnerships With All Species* Whole Earth, Fall, 2000
- Ramsar Convention Bureau, 1993 *The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands: Its History and Development* Ramsar Convention Bureau, Gland, Switzerland.
- Robinson, N. (ed) 1993 *Agenda 21: Earth's Action Plan Annotated* ICUN Environmental Policy and Law Paper No. 27, The Commission on Environmental Law of ICUN - The World Conservation Union
- Sandlund, O. et.al. 1992 *Conservation of Biodiversity for Sustainable Development* Scandinavian University Press, Norway.
- Shiva, V. 1997 *Western Science and its destruction of Local Knowledge* in *The Post-Development Reader* Compiled by M. Rahnema et.al. Zed Books, London
- State of the Environment Advisory Council, 1996 *Australia, State of the Environment, 1996* CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood Victoria.
- State of Western Australia, 2000, *Bush Forever, Final Report, Volume 1.- Policies, Principles and Processes* Western Australian Planning Commission.

Swanson, T. 1996, *Global Action for Biodiversity - An International Framework for Implementing the Convention on Biological Diversity*. Earthscan Publications, London.

The Parliament of the commonwealth of Australia, House of Representatives Standing Committee on Environment and Heritage, 2001 *Public Good Conservation: Our Challenge for the 21st century - September 2001* Commonwealth of Australia.

Tisdell, C. 1999, *Biodiversity, Conservation and Sustainable Development - Principles and Practices With Asian Examples* New Horizons in Environmental Economics, Edward Elgar, UK and USA.

West Australian Tourism Commission, 1994, *Western Australia's Natural Advantage* State of Western Australia.

Worboys, G. et.al. 2001, *Protected Area Management - Principles and Practice* Oxford University Press, Melbourne Australia.

Yencken, D. and Wilkinson, D. 2001 *Resetting the Compass - Australia's Journey Towards Sustainability* CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria

Electronic References

Australian Broadcasting Commission, 1999 *Bush Brokers* Radio National Earthbeat series interview transcript with Kieth Bradby and Allan Bell 28/8/1999. Available online at www.abc.net.au/rn/science/earth/Stories/s48198.htm accessed 29/3/2002

Australian Wildlife Conservatory website, available at www.australianwildlife.org accessed 30/3/2002

Conservation Council of WA, 2001 (a) *Lnd Clearing Shame - Time for Immediate Government Action* Available online at: <http://members.iinet.net.au/~conswa/> accessed 22/3/2002

Conservation Council of WA, 2001 (b) *World Heritage spotlight: potential sites - Southwest Botanical Province* Available online at: <http://members.iinet.net.au/~conswa/> accessed 22/3/2002

Commonwealth of Australia, 1999, *Principles 4 + 5 Conservation and Maintenance of Soil and Water Resources and Maintenance of Global Carbon Cycles*, available online at: www.rfa.gov.au/rfa/wa/raa/esfm/wa.est29.html

McGhee, K. 2000, *Bioprospecting* Australian Museum Fact Sheet available at www.ausmus.gov.au/factsheets/bioprospecting.htm accessed 14/3/02

Perth Zoo *Research Priorities* Perth Zoo Website available at www.perthzoo.wa.gov.au accessed 22/3/2002

The Wilderness Society of Australia, 2000, *Wild Country - Restoring Australia's Wilderness* available online at www.wilderness.org.au/projects/WildCountry.intro.html

World Heritage Committee, 1975 *The Convention Concerning the Protection of the World's Cultural and Natural Heritage* available online at the United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) website at www.unesco.org/whc. accessed 25/3/2002