

Balban Predator control program

October 2012



Thank you for the opportunity; it was a pleasure to be involved in such a challenging exercise. A quick thanks to all DEC staff, Warren Catchment Council and the Local Farming Community for all the support given. It really was a terrific environment to work in.

Issues that could have influenced the results:

- More time to plan the logistics
- The first two weeks were heavily weather affected.
- The vixens were denned up with cubs.
- Movement of DEC staff through the core area (collecting sand pad data for the duration of the trapping program).
 - ➤ When foxes are marking trap sites with faeces, as they were marking sand pads, it is generally a sign that the animal is suspicious of the site and can be difficult to trap.
- No control of track access/option of shutting tracks to vehicle traffic as needed.
- Unnecessary access, student groups etc. compromising trap locations.

Techniques and strategies that could be modified to improve the integrity of the program if it is repeated:

- Flexibility of trapping period, to minimise the impact of extended rain events.
- Shut down sand pad data collection throughout the trapping period to lessen the human impact on fox behaviour.
- Allow contractors to control track access as needed
- Maintain stricter protocols with the baiting program.
 - Ensure any uneaten baits are removed from the site to reduce the risk of foxes receiving sub lethal bait.
 - ➤ All foxes were extremely cautious and wouldn't come to food lure and were hard to trap.
- Remove the need to put traps in areas with refuge.
 - Refuge creates an opportunity to entangle the trap, chain, swivels and fox in vegetation.
 - ➤ This can cause injury to the animal. See image 1.
 - ➤ It would be more prudent to ensure traps are checked within a reasonable time frame to manage the animals exposure to extreme weather.



Image 1

- Change lure medium (maybe use liquid or tethered baits)
 - Maintain a viable trap site and combat non-target animals removing lures and baits.
 - At one point during the trapping 70% of lures were being taken by non-target animals each night. See image 2.



Image 2

- Access data on cat and fox movement either by DEC's cameras or contractor to install own camera monitoring sites before the trapping commences.
- Use of night vision to target hard to trap animals.
- No rubber jawed traps to be used.
 - ➤ Highly modified offset laminate traps suited to the off-target conditions are the preferred choice. See image 3 for example offset and laminated trap.



image 3

See image 4 for example of trap jaws closed with hair of non-target animal



Image 4.

- Images 5 showing off target capture having pulled out of trap without creating a catch circle.
 - ➤ This indicates the animal was not held in the trap for any length of time.
 - It is very likely that another style of trap would have resulted in the native animal being captured, injured and destroyed.



Image 5

- Extend the program over five weeks with a week off in the middle.
- Take advantage of new technologies, M-44 ejectors.
 - For example limit the trapping effort to 6000 ha and deploy M-44 ejectors with cyanide throughout the remainder of the 30,000 ha.
- DEC not to use inexperienced trappers unfamiliar with trapping amongst the specific off target animals.
 - ➤ Poor trap selection, trap location, set design, trap set up etc. can result in large numbers of off-target animals being captured and injured.
 - ➤ This not only compromises the native animals throughout the program but may jeopardise future control programs or scientific effort.
 - > See image 5 for possum released after capture in foothold trap.
 - The release was due to a well set up trap capturing the animal in a manner that the possum could be released unharmed.



🛚 image 6

Effort and Results

Spotlighting

 $39\ hours\ spotlight\ surveying\ for\ foxes$

5 fox sightings

2 on Hazled's Property

3 on Lloyd's

2 individual animals?

2 shots taken, 1 on Hazled's property 1 on Lloyds

1 fox shot, 4^{th} October, Lloyds



Foothold Trapping

669 trap nights with foot hold traps.

Foothold traps were set from the 17^{th} of September until the 16^{th} of October in 33 locations.

217 hours searching for fox and cat sign, setting traps, maintaining trap sites etc.



Four foxes captured and dispatched,

- One fox captured using food lure.
- One fox captured with curiosity/food lure.
- One fox trapped with passive/blind set.
- One fox caught with social scent.

1st October, trap 18



9th October, trap 17



11th October, trap 11



14th October, trap 23



Off target animals

2 tammar wallabies captured, dispatched

3 possums captured, released

1 echidna captured, released

Cage Traps

50 cage trap nights

2 chudich, released

1 Dickenson's property

1 Askew's property

3 possums, released

- 1 Dickenson's property
- 2 Simcock's property

Native sightings

1 numbat

3 woylies

1 brush tail phascogale

GPS locations of sightings

Numbat

-34 6.632 116 33.438

Woylie 1

34 6.035 116 30.263

Woylie 2

34 6.617 116 31.959

Woylie 3

34 11.8 116 35.375

1 brush tail phascogale

34 6.933 116 31.87