RAP/CMC/JUR-5

REVIEW OF PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS

JURIEN BAY MARINE PARK

Prepared by C.A. Olejnik for

Marine Conservation Branch
Department of Conservation and Land Management
January 2001

Ref No.	First Name	Last Name	Address	Organisation	Confidential
W/1	Stephen	Carrick	108 Adelaide Tce East Perth, 6201	Heritage Council	Not stated
W/2	Peter	Randolph	1st Floor 197 St Georges Tce, Perth	Aboriginal Affairs Department	Not stated
W/3	G.	Oakely	113 Culeenup Road, North Yunderup, 6208	N/a	Not stated
W/4	George	Holman	89 Clontarf Street Sorrento, 6020	N/a	Not stated
W/5	Lindsay	Harbord	P.O. Box 180 Fremantle, 6160	Fremantle Amateur Angling Club (Inc.)	No
W/6	Frank	Prokop	Suite 8 WA Sports Lotteriers House Stephenson Ave Mt. Claremont, 6010	Recfishwest	Not stated
W/7	John	Webber	P.O. Box 362 Mt. Hawthorn, 6915	The Western Australian Game Fishing Association Inc.	Not stated
W/8	Terry	Fuller	P.O. Box 2032 Marmion, 6020	Surf Casting and Angling Club of W.A. (inc)	Not stated
W/9	Geoff	Thompson	26 Joyce Road Gnangara, 6065	N/a	Not stated
W/10	Jane	Fromont	170 Sixth Avenue Inglewood, 6052	N/a	Not stated
W/11	Jane	Fromont	Francis Street Perth, 6000	Department of Aquatic Zoology: Western Australian Museum	Not stated
W/12	Christine	Allbeury	C/- 4 Fischer Road Darlington, 6070	N/a	Not stated
W/13	R.J.	Hill	P.O. Box 79 Floreat Forum, 6014	N/a	YES
W/14	David	Sutton	170 Sixth Avenue Inglewood, 6052	N/a	Not stated
W/15	J.	Hill	P.O. Box 79 Floreat Forum, 6014	N/a	YES
W/16	Cleve	Flottmann	P.O. Box S1400 GPO Perth, 6001	Department of Transport	Not stated
W/17(a)	Rachel	Siewert	2 Delhi street West Perth, 6005	Conservation Council	Not stated
W/17(b)	Dr J.N.	Dunlop	2 Delhi street West Perth, 6005	Australian Marine Conservation Society	Not stated
W/18	Max	Law	3 McNeil Street Cottesloe, 6011	Australian Anglers Association	Not stated
W/19	Merv	Collinson	25 Lindsay Street Jurien Bay, 6516	N/a	Not stated
W/20	Graham	Eaton	P.O. Box 544 Jurien Bay, 6516	Central West Coast Professional Fishermans Association Incorporated	Not stated
W/21	Guy	Leyland	Suite 6, 41 Walters Drive, Osborne Park, WA 6017	Western Australian Fishing Industry Council Inc.	Not stated
W/22	Cathy	Ronalds	N/a	Regional Coastcare Facilitator	Not stated
W/23			P.O. Box 7019 Cloisters Square, 6850	Ardross Estates	Not Stated
W/24	Jim	Murie	P.O. Box 152	Wedge Island Protection Association Inc.	Not Stated
W/25	Brendan	Toohey	P.O. Box 100 Leederville 6902	Water Corporation	Not Stated

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
1. General Comments *23 Comments from 17 Written Submissions and 7 Brochure Submissions and 1 Email Submission*		
1. Commends the layout of the management plan.	W/2, W/6	
2. Commercial rock lobster fishing industry has received major concessions.	W/8,W/15	
3. Local community consultation is likely to under represent the broader interests of stakeholders from adjacent and metropolitan areas.	W/6, P/5	
4. Recreational fishing has lacked direct impact into the committee during the deliberative stages.	W/18, P/3	
5. Planning process has failed to reach an equitable outcome.	W/6,W/7, W12	
6. Plan designed not to offend the rock lobster industry.	W/6	
7. Ban net fishing.	W/4, P/12, P/13, P/14, P/16	
8. Ban fishing competitions in MP.	P/19	
9. Recreational fishing should have uniform regulations throughout the state.	W/18	
10. Proposal is largely counter to the holistic approach to fisheries management.	W/7	
11. Plan has resisted the temptation to discriminate against classes of people simply on the basis of occupation.	W/21	
12. A longer time period is required to fully canvass members of interest groups prior to making a submission.	W/7	
13. Supports the proposed JBMP.	W/16, W/25	
14. Supports department on its efforts during the consultation process and supports the proposed JBMP.	W/5, W/20, W/21, W23	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
15. Propose that CALM embark (with unilateral government support) on a major concerted program to create multi-purpose marine reserves across the state.	W/19	
16. CALM has omitted to fulfill its responsibilities to develop a management plan consistent with meeting the conservation objectives of the park. Better strategies suggested.	W/14	
17. Proposal is a "Clayton's" marine park, which will be politically acclaimed but unable to meet its objectives.	W/15	
18. Inconsistency with reserve categories pg. vii – options for reserve categories.	W/14	
19. Lack of clarity pg. 1-Introduction. Proposed amended to second last paragraph.	W/14	
20. Omission – ecosystem structure and function is not as a key ecological value – proposed amendment.	W/14	
21. Inaccuracy on pg. vii (how plan was developed). Needs amendment to state that only a subset of the committee developed and agreed to the plan.	W/14	
22. Central West Coast bioregion needs a number of relatively small no-take reserves though-out the fishery.	W/17	
23. If the marine reserve is also to promote tourism then the latter will have an impact on the native vegetation. This includes the rare plant Adriana *quadripartita*, which is the larval food of the rare coastal butterfly Theclinesthes *hesperia*.	E/2	
24. Plan pays more attention to damage of recreational anchors than it does to the damage 100kg Cray pots cause.	W/15	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
2. Vision and Strategic Objectives **2.1 Vision** *5 Comments from 6 Written Submissions and 42 Brochure Submissions*		
1. Vision statement not achievable.	W/7	
2. Proposed change to vision statement.	W/15	
3. Support the vision statement for the proposed park.	W/8,W/18, W/23, 30 brochure submissions	
4. Vision statement reflects a lack of understanding or commitment to the purpose of Marine Protected Areas.	W/17	
5. Do not support the vision statement	12 brochure submissions	
2.2. Strategic Objectives *6 Comments from 7 Written Submissions and 39 Brochure Submissions*		
1. No guarantee of achieving the objectives due tot he nature of the marine environment.	W/7	
2. Propose wording change for objective 2(f).	W/13,W/15	
3. Inconsistency with strategies for the national reserve system of marine protected areas. Amendment necessary.	W/14	
4. Support the strategic objectives.	W/8, W13, W15,W/18, W/21 27 brochure submissions	
5. Do not support strategic objectives.	12 brochure submissions	
6. Question whether the details of the plan will meet these stated objectives	W/8, W/18	
3. Definition of the Area *1 Comment from 1 Written Submissions*		
1. Oppose the incorporated strips of adjacent coastal crown land being part of the marine park.	W/24	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
4. Ecological and Social Values *2 Comments from 2 Written Submissions* 1. Summary of the social values state: 'a historical link with indigenous cultures' however there is nothing in the text of section 4 to support this statement.	W/2	
2. Inconsistent process for development of the management for ecological and social values. Amendment necessary and suggested.	W/14	
5. Management Frameworks **5.1. International and National Context** *No submissions*		
5.2. State Policy Context *No Submissions*		
5.3. Legislative Framework *1 Submission* 1. Makes no reference to the aboriginal heritage Act 1973(AHA) even though it is listed under legislation in section 12 pg. 73.	W/2	
5.4. Responsibilities of Authorities & Government Agencies *1 Comment from 1 Written Submission* 1. Table on pg. 13 amended to include mention of Transports management role in vessel navigation.	W/16	
6. Description of Management Issues *No Submissions*		
7. Management of Ecological and Social Values **7.1 Ecological Values** **7.1.1. Geomorphology** *3 Comments from 2 Written Submissions and 39 Brochure Submissions* 1. Agree that the geological features such as seabed, shoreline, reefs and beaches, not be significantly altered as a result of human activities.	38 brochure submissions	
2. Disagree that the geological features such as seabed, shoreline, reefs and beaches, not be significantly altered as a result of human activities	1 brochure submissions	
3. Support the objective 7.1.1 however can not support objective if used to completely exclude access to specific areas.	W/8,W/18	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
7.1.2. Intertidal reef platforms *5 Comments from 5 Written Submissions*		
1. The words 'Significantly impacted' need to be better defined. Concerned that any visible impact may be considered significant and lead to unnecessary restrictions.	W/8,W/18	
2. Boundaries of the zones need review.	W/17	
3. Monitoring of the intertidal reef platform and nature reserves need to be integrated.	W/17	
4. Base-line data needs to be collected within a shorter time frame than 3 years.	W/22	
5. Marine life collected from the reef should be controlled by bag limits and closed seasons.	W/18	
7.1.3. Water quality *7 Comments from 3 Written Submissions and 40 Brochure Submissions*		
1. Agree that quality of seawater throughout the Park meets human health standards at all times for swimming and other water sports.	37 brochure submissions	
2. Disagree that quality of seawater throughout the Park meets human health standards at all times for swimming and other water sports	P/26	
3. Agree that quality of seawater is maintained at all times to ensure seafood from the Park meets human health standards.	38 brochure submissions	
4. Disagree that quality of seawater is maintained at all times to ensure seafood from the Park meets human health standards.	P/26	
5. Water quality should exceed human standards at all times	P/31	
6. Commitment to developing a waste water facility and disposal technique that has minimal effect on water quality.	W/25	
7. Support for management objective.	W/8,W/18	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
7.1.4. Seagrass meadows *5 Comments from 4 Written Submissions and 39 Brochure Submissions*		
1. Support for management objective.	W/8,W/18	
2. Agree that there should be no permanent loss of seagrass as a result of human activities.	37 brochure submissions	
3. Disagree that there should be no permanent loss of seagrass as a result of human activities.	2 brochure submissions	
4. Strategy to assess the effects of nutrient enrichment should be included.	W/22	
5. Above ground biomass as a performance measure for sea grass is inadequate/inaccurate.	W/17	
7.1.5.Macroalgal communities *1 Comment from 1 Written Submission*		
1. Support for management objective.	W/8, W/18	
7.1.6. Seabirds *2 Comments from 3 Written Submissions*		
1. Supports the strategies of educating park users about seabird populations.	W/8, W/18	
2. The annual accumulation of shellfish remains in the nest territories of pacific gulls may provide a simple and efficient way of monitoring changes.	W/17	
7.1.7. Invertebrate communities *4 Comments from 3 Written Submissions and 37 Brochure Submissions*		
1. Support for management objective.	W/8, W/18	
2. Agree that the diversity and abundance of animals that are not harvested is to be maintained at, or increased above current levels.	34 brochure submissions	
3. Disagree that the diversity and abundance of animals that are not harvested is to be maintained at, or increased above current levels.	3 brochure submissions	
4. Same simplistic assumptions about trends in diversity and abundance relative to disturbance apply to the invertebrate's conservation performance measures.	W/17	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
7.1.8. Finfish *4 Comments from 3 Written Submissions and 37 Brochure Submissions*		
1. Agree that the diversity and abundance of animals that are not harvested is to be maintained at, or increased above current levels.	34 brochure submissions	
2. Disagree that the diversity and abundance of animals that are not harvested is to be maintained at, or increased above current levels.	3 brochure submissions	
3. Game fishers target not one species listed here.	W/7	
4. The control of target species should be included under sections 7.2.3 and 7.2.7.	W/8,W/18	
7.1.9. Sea-lions *4 Comments from 3 Written Submissions and 39 Brochure Submissions*		
1. Agree that there should be no decline in sea lion breeding success in the park resulting from human activities.	38 brochure submissions	
2. Disagree that there should be no decline in sea lion breeding success in the park resulting from human activities.	P/41	
3. Support for management objective.	W/8,W/18	
4. The proposed target measure for the sea lion is not a good key performance indicator.	W/17	
7.1.10. Cetaceans and turtles 2 Comments from 3 Written Submissions		
1. Support for management objective.	W/8,W/18	
2. It is assumed that by the term Common dolphin the author means Inshore Bottle-Nosed dolphin. Common Dolphins are smaller pelagic species.	W/17	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
7.2. Social Values		
6 Comments from 39 Brochure Submissions		
1. Agree that the proposed marine park allow for and manage a wide range of recreational and commercial activities.	31 brochure submissions	
2. Disagree that the proposed marine park allow for and manage a wide range of recreational and commercial activities.	6 brochure submissions	
3. Agree that the proposed marine park should manage human activities in an equitable and sustainable way.	36 brochure submissions	
4. Disagree that the proposed marine park should manage human activities in an equitable and sustainable way.	3 brochure submissions	
5. Agree that the proposed marine park promote education, nature appreciation and scientific research.	36 brochure submissions	
6. Disagree that the proposed marine park promote education, nature appreciation and scientific research.	3 brochure submissions	
7.2.1. Indigenous heritage		
3 Comments from 2 Written Submissions		
1. Acknowledges that no comprehensive study has been carried out, the largest midden deposits etc. are found between Green Head and Jurien Bay and it has not been established what significance the marine environment holds in this region. These statements are contradictory.	W/2	
2. High priority strategy linked to indigenous heritage strategy 2 pg. 35 is recommended.	W/2	
3. Support for indigenous representation	W/24	
7.2.2. Maritime heritage		
2 Comments from 2 Written Submissions		
1. 'First Jetty' in Cervantes may appear in the Marine Park area as a heritage site.	W/1	
2. Support for management objective.	W/8	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
7.2.3. Commercial fishing *5 Comments from 8 Written Submissions and 39 Brochure Submissions.*		
1. Opposes the by-catch and reef damage of the rock lobster fishing causes (reference to scientific zones).	W/7,W/18	
2. Supports management objectives.	W/8,W/18	
3. Agree that target fish species in the Park are to be harvested at sustainable levels.	39 brochure submissions	
4. All commercial Cray pots should be fitted with a device to prevent the entry of sea lion pups and large demersal fish.	W/13,W15	
5. Inaccuracy in the document on pg. 37. The description is misleading and erroneous and should be corrected.	W/10,W11, W/14	
7.2.4. Aquaculture *2 Comments from 2 Written Submissions*		
1. Support for management objectives.	W/8,W/18	
2. Aquaculture its is not an acceptable industry operating within or adjacent to the proposed marine park.	W/18	
7.2.5. Coastal use *2 Comments from 3 Written Submission*		
1. 3rd paragraph 2nd sentence- should also add that RV's can cause disruption to ecological values. RV's have particular impact on nesting shorebirds, in particular where the park extends to HWM. Strategies suggested.	W/24	
2. Coastal access should not be restricted as a result of a small number of irresponsible users and education and enforcement should be used to control these impacts.	W/8,W/18	
7.2.6. Seascapes *1 Comment from 2 Written Submission*		
1. Support for management objectives.	W/8,W/18	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
7.2.7. Recreational fishing *4 Comments from 4 Written Submissions and 39 Brochure Submissions*		
1. Support for management objectives.	W/8,W/18	
2. Agree that target fish species in the Park are to be harvested at sustainable levels.	39 brochure submissions	
3. Catch per unit effort data is very limited for WA recreational fisheries and monitoring surveys are necessary.	W/17	
4. No reference has been made to the governments integrated fisheries management plan. Propose a reference to it in the JBMP.	W/7	
7.2.8. Water sports *1 Comment from 2 Written Submissions*		
1. Support for management objectives, proposes the exclusion of powered water sports from sanctuary zones.	W/8,W/18	
7.2.9 Marine nature-based tourism *1 Comment from 2 Written Submissions*		
1. General Support for management objectives.	W/8,W/18	
7.2.10. Petroleum drilling and mineral development *1 Comment from 2 Written Submissions*		
1. Most stringent examination, precautions and penalties should be applied to proposals within the park.	W/8,W/18	
7.2.11. Scientific research *3 Comments from 2 Written Submissions*		
1. Supports management objectives	W/18	
2. Additional scientific measure required, additional performance measure suggested.	W/17	
3. Any research that is carried out should be for the benefit of commercial and recreational sectors.	W/18	
7.2.12. Education *2 Comments from 2 Written Submissions*		
1. Support for management objectives.	W/18	
2. Support for strategies especially that of strategy four.	W/22	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
8. Generic Management Strategies		
2 Comments from 2 Written Submission		
1. Difficult to determine which strategies are planed within certain timeframes.	W/22	
2. Proposed sixth generic management strategy (I.e. memorandum of understanding).	W/16	
8.1. Development of an Administrative Framework		
6 Comments from 7 Written Submissions		
1. Concerned that funding for the park will come from 'new' monies recreational access fees, specific mooring fees or boat ramp fees.	W/6	
2. Recreational fishing compliance costs should not come from the fisheries WA recreational fishing budget.	W/7	
3. Enforcement of current framework will be an impossible position for fisheries WA.	W/15	
4. Transport requests to be permanently represented on the Park Management Advisory Committee.	W/16	
5. Inaccurate statement pg. 54 5th paragraph. Zoning changes are not flexible.	W/10,W/11	
6. Biodiversity conservation though the marine reserves process and fisheries management are not fully integrated.	W/17	
8.1.1. Development of a Zoning Scheme		
6 Comments from 7 Written Submissions		
1. Propose that explicit values should be provided to the public for each zone to avoid confusion on zoning boundaries.	W/6,W/12	
2. Zoning scheme should have shown some relation to the original unbiased Wilson report.	W/13	
3. Management plan should clearly state at the start of the document the vested interests of the community based advisory committee members.	W/13	
4. Zoning inadequacies from an ecological perspective were not adequately considered during the preparation of the management plan.	W/10,W/11	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
8.1.1. Development of a Zoning Scheme Cont.		
5. A contradiction exists between the fourth and fifth point on pg. 55.	W/6	
6. This should include aboriginal heritage sites (specifically shore based activity zones).	W/2	
8.1.2. Proposed Zones in the Jurien Bay Marine Park *49 Comments from 17 Written Submissions and 45 Brochure Submissions*		
1. Agree with the arrangement of zones within the proposed Jurien Bay Marine Park.	17 brochure submissions	
2. Disagree with the arrangement of zones within the proposed Jurien Bay Marine Park.	25 brochure submissions	
3. Ecological management objectives would be best achieve by setting aside an adequately representation of the MPA's features.	W/10,W/11 W/14,W/17	
4. Agree that the establishment of sanctuaries and zones of reduced human impact provide an import strategy for marine management.	30 brochure submissions	
5. Disagree that the establishment of sanctuaries and zones of reduced human impact provide an import strategy for marine management.	12 brochure submissions	
6. Support the retention of 77% of park for general purpose use.	W/23	
7. Opposed to sanctuary zone location in South Bay Green Head.	W/9	
8. Proposed that the Marine Park would be better located around Beagle Island.	W/6	
9. Oppose sanctuary zones unless there is exceptional circumstances.	W/8,W/18	
10. Sanctuary zones are too small.	W/6,W/8, P/6 W/10, W/11,W12, W/15,W/17, W/14	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
11. Sanctuary zones are under-represented with only 3.6% and this is further fragmented into ten smaller areas. Total sanctuary makes up only 0.1% of the central west coast bioregion.		
12. Sanctuary zones do not represent the biological, physical and oceanographic components to the western seaward side of the islands.	W/10,W/11	
13. Sanctuary zones fail to adequately represent habitat types with limestone pavement making up 44% of the park and not represented in sanctuary zones.	W/17	
14. Sanctuary zones are not large enough to protect sea lion populations, which rely on much larger foraging ranges.	W/17, P/10	
15. Sanctuary zones under-represent adult rock lobster habitat.	W/17	
16. Sanctuary zones should include reef, as reefs structures are a major habitat provider and should not be avoided just because professional Cray fishers regularly pot there.	W/15	
17. Support sanctuary zones and acknowledge there importance although oppose the sanctuary zones within 100m of the shoreline.	W/18	
18. Support the establishment of sanctuaries and zones of reduce human impact.	W/13,W/15	
19. Supports sanctuary zones starting (in the main) 100 metres offshore for recreational fishers.	W/5, W6, W8,W/18, W/23	
20. Proposed a sanctuary zone of at least one kilometre around sea lion breeding islands.	W/13	
21. Proposed a sanctuary zone of equal or greater size, going out to the 24m-depth line incorporating Buller Island.	W/13	
22. Propose an amendment to the Boullanger Island sanctuary zone.	W/19, P/17	
23. Detailed recommendation of changes to sanctuary zone.	W/15	
24. Opposes Wedge sanctuary zone.	W/24	
25. Opposes sanctuary zones that effect shore based fishing at Target rock and North Head/Pumpkin Hollow locations.	W/4,W/6, W/8,W/18, P/20	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
8.1.2. Proposed Zones in the Jurien Bay Marine Park (Cont.)		
26. Propose two of the sanctuary zones that extend from the shoreline to the western park boundary.	W/10,W/11	
27. Propose that the entire shoreline of the Boullanger island sanctuary zone is covered by the special purpose (shore base activity) zone.	W/8,W/18	
28. Green Islets and associated lagoons should be assessed with a view to be made sanctuary zones.	W/13,W15	
29. Special purpose (Scientific Research) zones reduced in size and at least part changed to sanctuaries.	W/6	
30. SPZ (Scientific Research) should be reduce in size so the southern boundary lines up with target rock.	W/24	
31. Proposed that Grey and Target sanctuary zones shoreline should be zoned a special purpose (recreational line fishing or shore based activity).	W/8,W/18, P/37	
32. Propose four of five kilometre square sanctuary zones for scientific reference, which restricts everyone.	W/4	
33. Proposed that Grey sanctuary zone shoreline should be zoned a special purpose (recreational line fishing or shore based activity).	W15	
34. Oppose the size and placement of the Grey sanctuary zone. (Safety issues).	W/13,W/15, P/43	
35. Oppose petroleum drilling and mineral development in sanctuary zones.	W/10,W/11, W/24	
36. Oppose the loss of ~20% of the marine park to recreational fishing.	W/7,P/30, W/24, P/36	
37. Propose that whole shoreline from Wedge to Grey be open.	W/24	
38. Oppose Special Purpose Scientific Reference Zones, to obtain a true result all access should be prohibited.	W/4,W/6, W7,W/8, W/13,W/15 W/18, W/24	
39. Rock lobster Fishing has little restrictions, with large restrictions applying to line fishers.	W/4,W/6	
40. Beaches of green island should be made non-fishing zones.	W/13, P/24	

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
8.1.2. Proposed Zones in the Jurien Bay Marine Park (Cont.)		
41. Special purpose (Scientific Research) zones reduced in size.	W/8,W/18, P/33	
42. Proposed name change of Special purpose (Scientific Research) zone to Special Purpose (Lobster Extraction) zone.	W/8,W18	
43. Oppose commercial rock lobster fishing in special purpose (shore base activities) zone. (Safety concerns of commercial and recreational fishers in close proximity).	W/18	
44. Proposes that the Cervantes beach and Closest bay south of township are open to provide safe recreational fishing.	W/3	
45. Propose formation of meaningful recreational zones exclude commercial access.	W/6,W12	
46. Oppose the fact that three of the five intensively used dinghy fishing areas are entirely or partially precluded by no take zones (include safety issues boats have to leave sheltered waters).	W/6, P26, P/18, P/17	
47. Proposes special purpose (recreational line fishing) zone.	W/6,W/8, W/18	
48. Propose that an area be designated to the SW of the Grey settlement to allow recreational boat fishing.	W/6	
49. Propose that an area be designated to the SW of the Grey settlement to allow recreational boat fishing.	W/6	
8.1.3. Limitations of the Proposed Zoning Scheme *2 Comments from 3 Written Submissions*		
1. Statement on the limitations of the zoning scheme would have been better used as a disclaimer at the beginning of the document.	W/10,W/11	
2. Controlled scientific research should be carried out in the marine park.	W/15	
8.2. Education and Interpretation *No Submissions*		
8.3. Surveillance and Enforcement *No Submissions*		

Submission comments	Ref No.	Discussion/Action
8.4. Research and Monitoring *1 Comment from 1 Written Submission* 1. Careful monitoring should be undertaken to identify any adverse ecological impacts of removing high proportions of lobsters. **8.5. Public Participation** *No Submissions* **9. Proposals for Marine Infrastructure** *No Submissions* **10. Performance Assessment** **10.1 Audit by CALM** *1 Comment from 1 Written Submission* 1. CALM is encouraged to develop measures and targets regarding indigenous heritage for the audit. **10.2. Audit by the MARA** *No Submissions* **10.3. Review of the management plan** *No Submissions* **10.4. Links with State Environment reporting** *No Submissions* **10.5. Links with National Environment reporting** *No Submissions* **11. References** *1 Comment from 1 Written Submission* 1. No references provided for section 7.2.1. Indigenous heritage. **12. Information Sources** *No Submissions*	W/17 W/2 W/2	

	Personal Information					
Ref Number	First Name	Last Name	Address	Organisation	Confidential	Standard Reply
P/1	Merv	Potts	550 Sunset Way Stoneville, 6081	N/a	Yes	YES
P/2	Colin	Joynes	P.O. Box 165 Wangan Hills 6603	N/a	Yes	YES
P/3	Mr. W	Holmes	Lots 286A Hunter Crescent Green Head	N/a	No	YES
P/4	Graham	Walmsley	P.0. Box 189 Jurien Bay, 6516	N/a	No	YES
P/5	Albert	Kalanizich	18 Wilberforce Street North Beach, 6020	N/a	Yes	YES
P/6	Ian	Stiles	1 Tuart Street Leeman, 6514	N/a	No	YES
P/7	Ron	Cusworth	P.O. Box 136 Jurien Bay, 6516	N/a	No	YES
P/8	Kitt	Derrick	P.O. Box 120 Cervantes, 6511	N/a	No	YES
P/9	Peter	Berry	24 Bower Street Jurien Bay, 6516	N/a	No	YES
P/10	R.J.	Wilson	24 Bryant Street Green Head, 6514	N/a	Yes	YES
P/11	Morton	Graeme	P.O. Box 184 Jurien Bay, 6516	N/a	No	YES
P/12	Neil	Lamont	36 Hunter Crs Green Head, 6514	N/a	Yes	YES
P/13	R.N.	Armstrong	P.O. Box 129 Leeman, 6514	N/a	No	YES
P/14	Alan	Chown	P.O. Box Green Head, 6514	N/a	No	YES
P/15	R.H.	Adams	Morphett Crescent Green Head, 6514	N/a	No	YES
P/16	Ray	Sanders	6 Mitchell Street Green Head, 6514	N/a	Yes	YES
P/17	Dave	McGinlay	P.O. Box 36 Leeman, 6514	N/a	No	Additional response reccommended ie explaination of markers.

P/18	Dennis	Loomes	C/- Post Office Cervantes	N/a	0	YES
P/19	Norm	Smith	C/- General Store Green Head, 6514	N/a	No	YES
P/20	Richard	Rowland	22 Brookkand Crescent Marangaroo	N/a	Yes	YES
P/21	Charles	Wass	P.O. Box 178 Leeman, 6514	N/a	No	YES+letter
P/22	Martin	Humbert	57 Korella Street Mullaloo 6027	N/a	Yes	Additional response required.
P/23	Pamela	Humbert	57 Korella Street Mullaloo 6027	N/a	Yes	Additional response required.
P/24	Peter	Humbert	50 Brown Way Karrinyup 6018	N/a	No	YES
P/25	James	Crow	15 Contour Drive Mullaloo 6027	N/a	No	additional response to letter
P/26	Sam	Koncurat	P.O. Box 15 Cervantes	N/a	No	YES
P/27	T.W.	Crommelin	83 Philip Road Dalkeith, 6009	N/a	No	YES
P/28	Ross	Robinson	P.O. Box 152 North Beach, 6020	N/a	No	YES+letter
P/29	R.J.F.	Stretton	Unit 2-121 South Tce Como, 6152	N/a	No	YES+letter
P/30	Joe	Horvath	11 Dulwich Place Kingsley, 6026	N/a	No	YES
P/31	Sean	Plozza	P.O. Box 43 Leeman, 6514	N/a	No	YES
P/32	P.A.	Lecras	P.O. Box 28 Cervantes	N/a	Yes	YES
P/33	Leanard	Randell	4a Blackwall Reach Parade Bicton, 657	N/a	No	YES
P/34	Bernie	McArthur	P.O. Box 45 GreenHead, 6514	N/a	No	YES
P/35	Noem	Greenway	43 Dotterel Way Yanggebup, 6164	Melville Amateur Angling Club Inc	No	YES
P/36	Mike	Harrold	19 Dayallia Road Duncraig, 6023	N/a	No	YES
P/37	Robert	Pattinson	86 Crimea St Morley, 6062	N/a	Yes	YES
P/38	Ainslie	Maeda	P.O. Box 1099 Broome, 6725	N/a	No	YES

P/39	Robert	Maunder	12 Elmwood Cr. Lesmurdie, 6076	N/a	No	YES
P/40	Maureen	Trainor	4 Norfolk St Forrestfield, 6058	N/a	No	YES
P/41	Graham	Leeson	Box 115 Goomalling, 6460	N/a	No	YES
P/42	Jennifer Anne	Maunder	12 Elmwood Crescent Lesmurdie, 6076	N/a	Yes	YES
P/43	Peter	Anticich	19 Wickham St East Perth, 6201	N/a	No	NO
P/44	Jim	Lilleyman	34 Saunders Street North beach	N/a	No	YES
P/45	Alan	Knapp	Box 117 Lancelin, 6044	N/a	No	YES

Jurien Bay Marine Park Public Submissions (proforma only)

Key 0 - Disagree
1 - Agree

Personal Information							Vision Statement		Type of Reserve	Marine Park Objectives										Sanctuaries and reduced impact						
Ref Number	First Name	Last Name	Address	Organisation	Confidential	Activities/Interests	Vision Statement	Comments	Type of Reserve	Comments	1a)	1 b)	1 c)	1 d)	1 e)	1 f)	1 g)	Comments	3	4	5	Comments	Sanctuaries	Comments	Arrangement	Comments	General comments
P/1	Merv	Potts	550 Sunset Way Stoneville, 6081	N/a	Yes	Offshore Fishing.	0	-	0	-	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	-	0	0	0	-	/	-	/	-	Fear that there may be a Fee to launch boats.
P/2	Colin	Joynes	P.O. Box 165 Wangan Hills 6603	N/a	Yes	Swimming,Fishing, Diving, and Boating.	1	Need to Maintain Environment.	1	We should Live in the environment, not dominate.	1	1	1	*	*	1	*	* I Agree as long as we have present day access to the ocean?	1	1	1	Education is most important.	1	Education is important.	1	-	-
P/3	Mr. W	Holmes	Lots 286A Hunter Crescent Green Head	N/a	No	Rec. Fishing (Dhufish) in proposed Fisherman Island sanctuaries, Netting, Cray fishing.	1	Future generation should have the ability to fish area.	1	Bigger sanctuaries if all recreational, commercial and indigenous stakeholders were treated the same.	1	/	1	1	1	1	1	-	0	1	1	Unless Rec. and Com. Activities are exactly equal no access should be available to anyone. Today's rules on Rec. and Comm. Fishing are loaded against Rec. fishers.	1	-	1	-	Same rules should apply to recreational, commercial and aboriginal people.
P/4	Graham	Walmsley	P.O. Box 189 Jurien Bay, 6516	N/a	No	Surfing, Fishing and Snorkelling.	1	As a local I can see the extent of more people and more pollution already.	1	Extremely important to keep the area in a pristine condition "forever".	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Nothing better than clean water, with fish, seals and dolphins swimming around you.	1	1	1	-	1	Human impact generally ruin everything they touch.	1	-	If any volunteers required for the up keep of the park I would be pleased to assist.
P/5	Albert	Kalanizich	18 Wilberforce Street North Beach, 6020	N/a	Yes	Diving and fishing.	1	I do not want the reef areas stripped of sea life or polluted.	1	Yes these rules wouldn't be hard to adhere to.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Areas around the world like these are declining in number.	1	1	1	-	1	Some people don't know when to stop their greed when pillaging.	1	-	Pay attention to the needs of the smaller less represented groups of fishers, spearfishers and divers.
P/6	Ian	Stiles	1 Tuart Street Leeman, 6514	N/a	No	Conservation, diving, charter boat operator and concerned Australian.	1	-	1	(The types of reserves are good) but more or larger sanctuaries are needed.	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	Item D means that no new marina could be built in the future.	1	1	1	-	1	However the Grey sanctuary zone is too small. The insert on the eastern side needs removing and Grey sanctuary zone increased.	0	There are not enough sanctuary zones in the proposed JBMP. Less than 4% is not good enough.	A Larger sanctuary zone that would extend from the shore line out into deep water crossing over green island would be a reasonable sanctuary zone.
P/7	Ron	Cusworth	P.O. Box 136 Jurien Bay, 6516	N/a	No	Recreational fishing, boating and sailing.	1	If the area is left unchecked it will not be the same.	1	(proposed reserves) Allows existing activities to continue with some control.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	As previous.	1	1	1	As Previous.	1	-	1	-	As long as common sense prevails- By all.
P/8	Kitt	Derrick	P.O. Box 120 Cervantes, 6511	N/a	No	Fishing, diving, snorkelling, skiing and netting.	1	The area needs to keep the same coastal feel about it (the reason we live here) and to keep the stock level up.	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	The Fisheries cannot handle the job they have now and unless you increase staff levels you have no hope in policing this park.
P/9	Peter	Berry	24 Bower Street Jurien Bay, 6516	N/a	No	Recreational fishing, diving and commercial charters.	0	Problems experienced over the past three years within the marina, Ie. displacement of oxygen in water has effected outside environment. Agency responsible (were) inactive.	1	-	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	-	1	0	0	(Questions)4 and 5 are not within the CALM core functions.	0	(sanctuary zones are) yet to be proven by outside independent groups.	0	Shore based zones have a great impact on recreational users only.	The marina at Jurien requires urgent modification to stop further fish kills which is affecting outside environment.
P/10	R.J.	Wilson	24 Bryant Street Green Head, 6514	N/a	Yes	Sea lion interaction tours, commercial fishing and snorkelling tours.	1	Conservation of marine life and sea lion population.	0	The marine park does not allow for the foraging sea lions from fisherman's island. Radius of 3 naut miles around fisherman's island should be closed to commercial gill netting.	1	1	1	1	1	1*	1	*The management plan has not given enough consideration to the closure of commercial gill netting in south bay and other inshore areas.	1	1	1	-	1	-	0	as previous, not enough consideration given to foraging sea lions getting tangled and drowning in commercial gill nets.	-

P/11	Morton	Graeme	P.O. Box 184 Jurien Bay, 6516	N/a	No	Recreational water sports and Recreational boat fishing.	1	To maintain and improve the fish stocks in the area.	1	Sanctuary zones allow for complete resting from fishing activities.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Its important to know people will be able to enjoy the same quality's that exist now ino the future.	1	1	1	Its obvious.	1		0	I think the Boullanger Island zone should be moved further south. The south boundary could be the northern edge of this zone.	As the plan exists now it ties up to much recreational fishing close to Jurien town, moving the reserves further south it would be out of range of the your average fisher.
P/12	Neil	Lamont	36 Hunter Crs Green Head, 6514	N/a	Yes	Recreational fishing.	1	Cut out net fishing.	-	-	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	-	/	/	/	-	0	Not if net fishing is allowed within 1km of the shore.	0	I see no sense in having a no fish zone if commercial gill netting put their nets just out side the zone and trap all kinds of fish.	-
P/13	R.N.	Armstrong	P.O. Box 129 Leeman, 6514	N/a	No	Sand contracting, fishing and Tourism.	0	(Vision statement) will not stop the "net" fishing that goes on " BAN THE NETS".	-	It's a start you have to start somewhere, better late than never.	1	1	1	0#	1	1	*1	#Who is going to 'stuff' up the seagrass. *Can be managed without any problems for everybody to see.	/	1	*1	*Providing that the people know what they are talking about.	1	It has to be done, in years to come there will nothing left, look at Cockburn sound.	1	-	This marine park is for everybody to obey the rules not just for the holiday maker. I mean the professional fisherman as well.
P/14	Alan	Chown	P.O. Box Green Head, 6514	N/a	No	Diving swimming and fishing.	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-
P/15	R.H.	Adams	Morphett Crescent Green Head, 6514	N/a	No	Amateur fishing and Rock lobster fishing.	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-
P/16	Ray	Sanders	6 Mitchell Street Green Head, 6514	N/a	Yes	Amateur line fishing and cray fishing.	1	ensure fishing into the future.	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	This continuing long line and net fishing must be stopped as even u/s fish are killed plus seabirds and seals.
P/17	Dave	McGinlay	P.O. Box 36 Leeman, 6514	N/a	No	Small boat fishing, Crabbing, Crayfishing and Beach fishing.	1	But not if it forces boat owners to go out to dangerous depths.	1	But how are you going to know where they are(sanctuary zones). You could be fishing legally and drift into a non fishing zone.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	The Boullanger zone area is used by hundreds of dingy and small boat owners. This zone will now force people to go out further that they should In a small boat.	A zone around the island would be a much safer option there should be no ban on beach fishing in any area as 95% of fish caught from the beach are passing from one area to another and don't form a permeant part of any zone.
P/18	Dennis	Loomes	C/- Post Office Cervantes	N/a	0	Recreational fishing for bread and butter fish in the area of Green Isles at Grey.	1	-	0	The Sanctuary bans fishing from small craft in most protected areas for small craft.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	All (of the previous questions) are quite obvious.	0	0	0	Questions 3, 4and 5 are about control of activities not management of activities.	0	Not when the proposed "sanctuaries" ban fishing in the only protected areas along the coast which are accessible by small craft.	0	See previous.	I don't believe in this day and age that parks if this kind are required.
P/19	Norm	Smith	C/- General Store Green Head, 6514	N/a	No	Recreational fishing (deep sea) and Rock lobster.	1	Something has to be done to protect the "shooting match" otherwise bitumen roads and access will ruin the whole coastline.	1	(There should be a)Total ban on fishing competitions.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	Same old storey, do something now not when it is too late and say 'we should have done it.'	1	-	1	Yes- but don't over do it and close the whole lot.	If you realise you're made a mistake somewhere, don't hesitate to rectify it.
P/20	Richard	Rowland	22 Brookkand Crescent Marangaroo	N/a	Yes	Recreational shore fishing and camping.	1	Anyone who doesn't agree with the proposals as worded would be foolish.	1	Some of the sanctuary zones and SPZ are beyond comprehension and must have been put forward by someone without any idea of recreational fishing in the area.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Sanctuary zones are in prime beach fishing area's (target rock, north head and pumpkin hollow) which is completely ludicrous.	1	1	1	I would agree with these objectives only if they do not involve closing areas to recreational access or impose charges for access which it would appear is going to be highly likely.	0	It has not been proven anywhere in the world that sanctuary areas provide any tangible benefits in increasing marine populations except on a very small scale. Current bag/possession limits will achieve the same result.	0	The whole scheme appears to be a 'notch potch' of ideas with most consideration given to commercial fishing. There is nothing at all to benefit amateur fishing other than restricted access, cost imposed and building of resorts.	Developments which are already in the pipeline. Almost the whole concept is unacceptable from an amateur fisherman's viewpoint and should be completely rethought with more input and consideration to ammeter fishing.

P/21	Charles	Wass	P.O. Box 178 Leeman, 6514	N/a	No	Commercial fishing, diving and recreational fishing.	1	-	0	I believe it to be more effective to use fingerling juvenile fish from aquaculture to support any stock that may be under pressure.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	All these are currently true where is the justification for the sanctuary.	1	1	1	Who is expected to pay for compliance and management.	0	Sanctuaries exclude crayfishing when it is widely accepted that activities of crayfishing have very little if any impact on the marine environment as shown by the recent marine stewardship council certification.	0	There appear to be no justification for limiting the use of areas by crayfisherman.	The cost issue please see attached sheet.
P/22	Martin	Humbert	57 Korella Street Mullaloo 6027	N/a	Yes	Recreational beach angler.	0	Developers and professional fishermen will kill the area.	0	Where do recreational beach anglers fit into your big scheme of things NB response requested.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I still hold some faith in human nature, not much just some.	1	1	1	As long as it does not effect my beach fishing activities.	0	Not if it is going to effect my beach fishing activities.	0	Not if it is going to effect my beach fishing activities.	I do not wish to see a marine park in the Jurien area and I have some idea what CALM is up to and it is not good for amateur beach fishermen.
P/23	Pamela	Humbert	57 Korella Street Mullaloo 6027	N/a	Yes	Recreational beach angler.	0	Developers, commercial fishermen and charter operators plus big bucks for off shore mining sights will ruin it all eventually.	-	Where do recreational beach anglers fit into your big scheme of things NB response requested.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	as long as it does not effect amateur beach anglers I don't mind.	1	1	1	As long as it does not effect my beach fishing.	0	Not if it affects amateur beach fishing and the closure of Target Rock area and North Head.	0	not if it effects amateur beach fisherman.	I do not wish to see a marine park in the Jurien area and I have some idea what CALM is up to and it is not good for amateur beach fishermen.
P/24	Peter	Humbert	50 Brown Way Karrinyup 6018	N/a	No	Fishing and Diving.	1	Marine park not the only strategy to ensure same or better conditions.	0	Marine park not best solution.	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	-	/	/	/	-	0	Make visitors to the area more accountable . Education, more rangers, fisheries inspectors- All funded by the new (soon to come) fishing licences.	0	A marine park is not required.	Tighten rules, regulations and licences for commercial fishermen in this area. Place more fisheries inspectors in the recreational fishing areas. Make commercial fishermen more responsible for depleting stock numbers.
P/25	James	Crow	15 Contour Drive Mullaloo 6027	N/a	No	Environmental issues looking at conservation and sustainable use of natural resources, surfing, diving and fishing.	1	Positive step for humanity.	1	Very hard to stop long established fisheries, which also have economic benefits provides for all sectors and interests of community.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Conservation of natural resources for future generations.	1	1	1	-	1	Allow for high level of scientific research and passive activities. Provide areas for reproduction of species allowing for greater sustainability.	1	-	Such marine parks allow for greater and appropriate management of marine areas, where in the past management has been lax or less integrated. NB letter attached.
P/26	Sam	Koncurat	P.O. Box 15 Cervantes	N/a	No	Commercial fisherman, recreational family activities and charter operator.	0	No change. As small communities there now only small and will stay like that not enough employment in towns to expand in the future.	0	Hill River and Green Island special purpose SRZ to big, effects safe fin fishing areas for charter fish on windy days.	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	(sanctuaries) Some are to big e.g. Green Island and Hill River special purpose SRZ's.	0	Concern that SPZ shore based activities should exclude all rock lobster fishing for compliance reasons.	Crabbing and Cobbering should be available In respect to SRZ should be provision for crabbing in offshore base SRZ as the is for crayfish in those zones.
P/27	T.W.	Crommelin	83 Philip Road Dalkeith, 6009	N/a	No	Recreational boating, fishing and family activities.	-	I see no problems as the matters are now. The balance of activities seem good.	0	All is ok NOW! Why bring in control that are not needed. Do more research!	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	The previous questions are loaded no one would disagree.	/	/	/	Loaded questions no one would ever disagree.	0	NOT YET NEEDED.	0	NOT YET NEEDED.	NOT YET NEEDED. I see no current problems. Everyone healthy-no decline in stock.
P/28	Ross	Robinson	P.O. Box 152 North Beach, 6020	N/a	No	Recreation and commercial fishing.	1	I have experienced the area in its pristine condition and would like to see future generations have the same experience.	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	See attached letter.	1	1	1	-	1	-	0	Scientific zone. See attached letter.	-
P/29	R.J.F.	Stretton	Unit 2-121 South Tce Como, 6152	N/a	No	Beach Angling, Camping and Bird-watching.	1	-	0	Attached letter.	1	1	1	/	/	1	/	-	/	/	/	-	/	-	0	see attached letter.	-
P/30	Joe	Horvath	11 Dulwich Place Kingsley, 6026	N/a	No	Recreational Fishing and Tourism.	/	-	/	-	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	Fine retoric little substance.	/	/	/	-	1	But not in prime recreational angling locations.	0	Between sanctuaries and special purpose (Scientific Research) Rec. Anglers lose large tracts of prime angling areas.	The area will be left wide open for commercial and industrial development and exploitation, whole process needs review.

P/31	Sean	Plozza	P.O. Box 43 Leeman, 6514	N/a	No	Recreational fishing wildlife interaction and boating.	1	To manage our coasts and its resources we must put in place sanctuary zones and have scientific references to work from.	1	As long as the sanctuaries are adhered to.	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	Disagreed with (b)&(c). Quality of sea water should be the first priority. Humans are of no importance compared with Marine plants and animals.	1	1	1	Promotion, awareness and appreciation of the environment is gaining momentum. Because of this there will be increased pressure on the marine park. Research needed to manage the growing imacts from the population.	1	Preservation of the environment along our cosatline is important for future generations.	/	Aquaculture and other special purpose zoning needs to be seriouisy monitored. Scientific research zone lack equality and the sense.	Happy with the proposal but it lacks equity. Hope that the stronger lobby groups didn't pull the strings and main objectives are met.
P/32	P.A.	Lecras	P.O. Box 28 Cervantes	N/a	Yes	Lobster Fishing.	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	Provided these are not alter without consultation with those concerned.	I feel crabbing should be allowed in thee Shore based activity zone 100m offshore.
P/33	Leanard	Randell	4a Blackwall Reach Parade Bicton, 657	N/a	No	Yachting, Boat recreation, Line fishing and swimming.	1	These objectives can be realised, provided commercialism is tightly controlled.	1	I believe that population pressure will become too much for coastal eco-systems unless protection is given.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Protection is necessary, humans don't have the right to desecrate nature with impunity.	1	1	1	As before	1	-	0	Scientific ref zones are too large. Need for equality for between rec and commercial fisher I.e. the scientific zone.	Crayfishing has greater effect of sea bed than line fishing. Crayfishers must also be prevented from catch scale fish as a side line whilst pulling their pots.
P/34	Bernie	McArthur	P.O. Box 45 GreenHead, 6514	N/a	No	Caravan park owner recreational fisherman and tourist promoter.	0	Vision statement not possible. Stop crayfishers and wetliners with nets and fisheries will be sustainable.	/	Jurien doesn't need an MP. Once implemented it will spread like cancer. Stop the Asians.	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	Human interaction increases sealion numbers, look at S. Australia. Increase in (e) will lead to a negative response to all objectives.	1	1	1	Total ban on all commercial net fishing in the park. Commercial fishers are killing the fishery not rec angler.	0	With human impact the fish numbers will not become over abundant and therefore eliminate the seagrass etc.	0	Too many zones and knowing CALM these zones will increase. Tourist operators should have been consulted prior to standing over them.	Lack of trust in CALM because they have stuffed up everything they put their hands on. Worried that this is just the beginning and the marjority will be eventually no go zones.
P/35	Noem	Greenway	43 Dotterel Way Yanggebup, 6164	Melville Amateur Angling Club Inc	No	Beach and Rock Fishing.	0	Club members feel the plan restricts recreational angling while allowing commercial exploitation.	/	Too much coast been made a marine park.	/	1	1	/	/	/	1	-	/	/	/	-	/	-	/	Don't trust CALM too much area is being made a park.	Should not be charged for he draft copy of the plan.
P/36	Mike	Harrold	19 Dayallia Road Duncraig, 6023	N/a	No	Recreational fishing beach fishing.	1	Quality of asset to be maintained together with avallability of access.	1	Restrictions to be minimised.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	If lost or destroyed very difficult to regain.	1	1	1	-	1	Sanctuary zones and areas of exclusion need to be limited.	0	Too extensive, too many - in excess of 21% of the coastline.	The scientific research area is unacceptable to the recreational fisher.
P/37	Robert	Pattinson	86 Crimea St Morley, 6062	N/a	Yes	Beach Fishing.	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	0	Target Rock zone moved because it is the only area which produces large tailor from beach.	Agree with principle of plan(except previous) to conserve the future. Don't Believe CALM should be involved.
P/38	Ainslie	Maeda	P.O. Box 1099 Broome, 6725	N/a	No	Recreational Fishing and holiday home in area.	0	Sounds like a promotion of a tourism based economy.	0	Multiple use at the expense of recreation. Rec fishers more restrictions than commercial fishers.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Agrees with objectives but your plan doesn't meet them. Environmental standards are effected by commercial users.	1	1	1	As before.	0	You should limit all activities (Commercial and Rec) in the special purpose and sanctuary zones.	0	-	-
P/39	Robert	Maunder	12 Elmwood Cr. Lesmurdie, 6076	N/a	No	Holiday Shack, fishing boating, nature walks.	1	Statement allows me and my family to continue the previous but at what cost.	1	Jurien is within easy reach of Perth and is affordable.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	A desire for future generations.	0	1	1	No more shacks to be built and shacks to meet health regulations or be demolished.	1	Reduce human impact being the objective not eliminate or reserve for the wealthy.	1	-	Congratulation you have the vision of a magnificent facility, please remember the families with shacks.
P/40	Maureen	Trainor	4 Norfolk St Forrestfield, 6058	N/a	No	Recreational fishing and safe boating (Family orientated).	1	This will allow present and future activities, but at what cost to my families safe recreation activities.	1	A little to limiting for family recreation.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	All of the above needs to be maintained so that families of the future can enjoy our coast line.	0	1	1	Human Activity at wedge island is not a burden to marine life. Nature Appreciation is valued by a big percentage of users in this area.	1	Within some areas, eg. Around wedge island.	0	A bit restricting to families for recreational fishing and activities.	Your vision of managing our coastline is needed for the people with no respect. Please remember the families that do have respect and teach our children.
P/41	Graham	Leeson	Box 115 Goomalling, 6460	N/a	No	Amateur fisherman and Crays.	0	If netting fish continues you are wasting your time and people will not respect you fishing zones.		Don't know which will be best suited.	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	-	1	1	1	0	1	Fished all my life in Kalbarri, fishing was great until net fishing started in a big way this ruined fishing for everyone.	1		

P/42	Jennifer Anne	Maunder	12 Elmwood Crescent Lesmurdie, 6076	N/a	Yes	Holiday Shack, fishing boating, walks swimming and relaxing with friends.	1	This will allow present and future activities to continue.	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	All the above need to be maintained so that families in the future can enjoy our coastline.	/	1	1	Current shacks to be upgraded where necessary or demolished.	1	To be reduced not eliminated.	1	-	The idea is well thought out. I hope it all goes as planned with current shack owners being considered in the development.
P/43	Peter	Anticich	19 Wickham St East Perth, 6201	N/a	No	Recreational fishing.	0	Totally biased towards crayfisherman.	0	Some sanctuaries are necessary but sites.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	All above are obvious.	1	1	1	There is no equity if professionals continue to destroy our seabeds and beaches. No wonder I sound angry and repetitive no wonder your not listening.	1	Obviously you are asking the right questions in the questionnaire. One professional does more damage in a day than 100 amateurs do in a year.	0	Leave Grey alone please. Sanctuaries are good if correctly based. You are advocating areas that are the mainstay of amateur fishing, yet professionals can still drop their stinking seal destroying and reef damaging pots. WAKE UP!	GET REAL come out of your offices and see the world. You've lost it! It will be a disaster unless you listen.
P/44	Jim	Lilleyman	34 Saunders Street North beach	N/a	No	Recreational fishing and lobster fishing.	0	Don't believe things will change that much over 20 years.	0	Puts too much pressure on adjoining areas.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I do not believe any of these things will change whether we have a park or not.	0*	1	1	Should only be for recreation only and maybe open and closing for particular species.	0	Sanctuaries and special purpose areas take up enough area leave the rest alone.	0	Cost to high to manage and control. If other areas are effected by human impact the evidence will be seen by comparing to sanctuary zones.	The whole concept appears to be empire building and to expensive for the public purse. When we need money in so many areas that benefit every man in the street.
P/45	Alan	Knapp	Box 117, Lancelin, 6044	N/a	No	Recreational fishing.	1	Any one who uses or cares for the ocean would answer yes. Doesn't mean that we agree with the proposal though.	1	Not in the current layout.	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	Same as vision statement comment.	1	1	1	As Previous.	1	Yes if it is possible to enforce the zones that have been suggested.	0	Zones are too complicated and therefore unmanageable. Reduced recreational fishing areas has decreased safety for fishing from small boats.	Sanctuaries and marine parks are part of the future but they must be manageable and allow all people the right of equal use of our coast line.