PAUL G. ARMSTRONG AND ASSOCIATES CONSULTANT BOTANIST

Native Flora Specialist and Ecologist

14 DOWNER WAY, BULL CREEK, WESTERN AUSTRALIA, 6149 PHONE - FAX (08) 9310 4473

0408 10 44 73.

CUT FLOWER HARVESTING TRIAL ON BORONIA PURDIEANA

FINAL REPORT

For

WILDLIFE BRANCH

CONSERVATION AND LAND MANAGEMENT

PAUL G. ARMSTRONG AND ASSOCIATES CONSULTANT BOTANIST

Native Flora Specialist and Ecologist
14 DOWNER WAY, BULL CREEK, WESTERN AUSTRALIA, 6149
PHONE/FAX (08) 9310 4473

August 1999

Liesl Rohl
Flora Industry Botanist
Wildlife Branch
Department of Conservation and Land Management
Locked Bag 104
Bentley Delivery Centre WA 6983

Dear Liesl,

Please find enclosed the report titled "CUT FLOWER HARVESTING TRIAL ON BORONIA PURDIEANA: Final report" for Wildlife Branch of Department of CALM.

Should you need any material in the report explained or have any queries please contact me for clarification.

Yours sincerely

Paul G. Armstrong

Botanist

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	AND AND AND A TOTAL POPULATION OF THE PARK PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARK PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PARK PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARK PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE	
3	RESULTS OF SURVEY	4
	3.1 FIELD OBSERVATIONS	4
	3.2 REGENERATION AND HEALTH OF PLANTS	6
	3.3 OTHER SIGNIFICANT FLORA	7
4		
5	REFERENCES	9
AF	PPENDIX I	10
	FIELD DATA OF HARVESTING TRIAL ON BORONIA PURDIEANA	10
ΑI	PPENDIX II	19
	VEGETATION CLASSIFICATION USED IN THE WHEATBELT SURVEY	19

CUT FLOWER HARVESTING TRIAL OF BORONIA PURDIEANA

FINAL REPORT

1 INTRODUCTION

The endemic Western Australian flora species *Boronia purdieana* has been commercially harvested, for the cut flower industry, from wild populations for several years. Populations from which it has been collected are small in size and restricted in distribution. Fears have been raised that the harvesting can not be supported long term because of the limited regeneration capacity of the species.

This investigation was initiated in an attempt to determine the regeneration capacities of the species under commercial harvesting conditions. Two natural populations were used for the trials, both located to the north-east of Wanneroo town site. Five treatments were tested, to determine the likely impacts of different harvesting techniques.

The investigation was initially set-up in August 1998 when the plants would normally be commercially harvested. Then, in October 1998 initial signs of regeneration were recorded. The third and final site inspection was conducted 3 August 1999 to record long-term impacts of harvesting and regeneration.

This report presents the findings of all three site visits. This includes the setting up of the trial, the first and second monitoring periods for signs of regeneration and an analysis of the findings.

2 METHODOLOGY AND LIMITATIONS OF SURVEY

Two locations were proposed for the harvesting trial. These were:

- Site 1. Intersection of R.A.A.F. Boundary Road and High Hill Road, the southern portion; and
- Site 2. Located between Quicke Road and Payne Road, north of Lot 130 CALM pine plantation, to the west of the fire break.

 | Found | Man wack East west | Man wack | Man wack

Site 1. was selected as it was on land not accessible to commercial pickers, being R.A.A.F. property. Site 2. has been proposed for vesting as a Nature Reserve and would not be available to commercial pickers.

The last fire to occur in the areas, as rerecorded by CALM maps was 1994 for Site 1. and 1995 for Site 2. These fire ages were distinctly reflected in the size (height) of the *Boronia purdieana*. With Site 1. most plants were greater than 30 cm tall, in the range of 40 to 50 cm. Whereas Site 2. most plants were in the order of 25 to 35 cm and single stemmed.

Five treatments were proposed for the trial, to replicate different picking techniques. Each to be replicated 50 times. These were:

- Treatment 1 harvest stems 1 cm above ground, no leaves left on plant;
- Treatment 2 harvest stems approximately 10 cm above ground, below any leaves;
- Treatment 3 harvest stems approximately 10-15 cm above ground, ensuring approximately 4 to 6 leaves remained;
- Treatment 4 harvest 20% of plant, ie 1 in 4 or 5 stems; and
- Treatment 5 harvest 50% of plant.

In all Treatments only commercially harvestable stems were selected from healthy plants. The minimum length for a stem was 30 cm, with a preference of 40 to 50 cm lengths. Also, as Site 2 had been proposed for a Nature Reserve, only 25% of *Boronia purdicana* plants observed were harvested.

Each plant harvested was tagged securely (with wire) but not to restrict growth. This was to allow for later location and identification. Data collected for each plant in August 1998 before harvesting was:

- height to first leaf;
- height to first stem;
- height of plant;
- number of harvestable stems;
- length of stems;
- height of cut for Treatments 2 and 3;
- condition of plant; and
- location details.

On advice from Liesal Rohl (pers comm.) only Treatment 1 was sampled to the initial sample size of 50. This was done with the intention of replicating the abundance of the larger plants in the collection area. See Table 1. for actual numbers of the replications of the other Treatments.

GPS location details recorded on 7th and 10th of August were obtained on a Magellan Trailblazer XL (CALM No 405/227). Additional any adjacent significant objects were noted. However, when charting these locations on an x-y plot, it was found the coordinates were not reliable. Consequently on the 11th August, in addition to the GPS locations, a compass bearing was taken to previously recorded plant and flagging tape was used.

On the 26th October 1998, plants were initially searched for using the GPS coordinates recorded in August 1998. Due to the resolution of the GPS used (25m referred to in the handbook) and the size of the plants, a few centimetres, the method was futile. Based on memory and repeatedly walking over the area, as many plants as possible were relocated. This method was applied to Treatments 1, 4 and 5. Relocation of tagged plants was 46%, 38% and 41% respectively

For Treatments 2 and 3, rather than use the GPS coordinates, the compass bearings and flagging tape were used to relocate the tagged plants. This method worked well, relocating 97% and 90% of the marked plants respectively.

On the 3 August, 1999 the Treatments 1, 4 and 5 were again searched for by looking for the tagged plants. Four persons undertook this for approximately three hours. Relocation of the tagged plants was 60%, 61% and 70% respectively. For Treatments 2 and 3 the same method used in 1998 was again used, resulting in the relocation of 97% and 80% respectively. Difficulties encountered in relocating the plants was the increased post-fire regrowth, the increased number of fallen shrubs and the increased leaf litter all contributing to restrict visibility.

Conditions of the relocated plants were recorded in October 1998 and August 1999 for evidence of regrowth. Four conditions were recognised for this:

- Dead the stem did not bend for Treatments 1, 2 and 3 or the plant was obviously dead for Treatments 4 and 5:
- No new growth the stem did bend for Treatments 1, 2 and 3 but no new growth evident.

 Treatments 4 and 5 only exhibited old growth;
- Plant unhealthy obvious signs dying off; and
- Regenerating obvious signs of new growth below the cut. Length and amount of new growth was recorded.

3 RESULTS OF SURVEY

3.1 FIELD OBSERVATIONS

At Site 1. approximately 150 plants were observed, of those 36 were single stem (Treatment 1), 13 were four or five stem (or more) suitable for Treatment 4, 27 were two or three stems and suitable for Treatment 5. Of the remainder, ten plants had recently (1998) been harvested (presumably illegally) and the rest were too small (less than 30 cm) or located in inaccessible/inappropriate locations.

At Site 2, all plants were single stem and only suitable for Treatments 1, 2 or 3.

Table 1. lists the details of the numbers of plants relocated and their condition, dead, unhealthy, no new growth or regenerating for the two monitoring periods. Also see Appendix I for field results.

Table 1.

Numbers of *Boronia purdicana* plants initially harvesting, in August 1998 and the numbers of plants and percentage survival rates of those relocated in October 1998 and August 99. New growth and regeneration (regen) refer to that below the harvesting cut

Treatment	Location	Initial		er 1998			August 1999				
		sample size	Number relocated	Dead	No new growth	Regen	Number relocated	Dead	Unhealthy	No new growth	Regen
T1.	Site 1.	36	17	18%	71%	24%	20	100%	0%	0%	0%
	Site 2.	14	4	25%	75%	0%	7	86%	0%	0%	14%
	Combined	50	21	19%	71%	19%	30	97%	0%	0%	3%
T2.	Site 2.	40	39	3%	10%	87%	39	51%	0%	0%	49%
T3.	Site 2.	40	36	0%	14%	86%	32	9%	0%	0%	91%
T4.	Site 1.	13	5	0%	100%	0%	8	0%	25%	50%	25%
T5	Site I.	27	11	0%	64%	36%	19	21%	16%	37%	26%

The vegetation of both Sites, using Muir's coding (Muir 1977 and see Appendix II), could be described as: An upper stratum of Low Forest 5 to 8 m tall dominated by *Banksia attenuata* and occasional *Banksia menziesii* and *Eucalyptus todtiana*. The second stratum was Open Scrub 2 to 3 m tall dominated by *Macrozamia riedlei*, over Low Scrub B 1 to 1.5 m tall dominated by *Acacia pulchella*. The understorey was Low Heath D to 0.5 m tall with no species dominating. In the upper stratum at Site 1. *Eucalyptus todtiana* was more common and *Banksia menziesii* at Site 2.

3.2 REGENERATION AND HEALTH OF PLANTS

Treatment 1 was undertaken at both sites with similar results being recorded, that most of the relocated plants were dead (97%). Of the four plants that were regenerating in 1998 three were relocated in 1999, all were dead. Only one regenerating plant was located in 1999. This was at Site 2. and was in good health although not flowering.

Treatment 2 initially had a low death rate and a high recovery rate (87%). This initial regeneration occurred along the entire length of the existing stem. Numbers of new growth stems varied between two to ten and a length of 5 mm to 60 mm, all regenerating plants were in good health. However, by August 1999 51% of the plants were dead (97.5% of trial relocated). Those surviving had stems on stems two to ten stems and a length of 50 mm to 150 mm long, these included nine plants in flower.

Treatment 3 initially and long term, had a low death and a high recovery rate. Regeneration occurred along the entire length of the remaining main stem and along the smaller branches retained. In October 1998 the numbers of new growth stems varied between five to 20 and occasionally 30, and a length of 20 mm to 150 mm. All regenerating plants were in good health. This high survival and growth rates continued for the 1999 survey, with four to 20 regenerating stems 50 mm to 200 mm in length. Of those surviving 96.5% were in flower, although too small for harvesting.

Treatment 4 initially showed no signs of regeneration below the cut stem or on the main stem of the plant. All relocated plants were in good health. Subsequently in 1999 it was found that only 25% (two plants) were regenerating from the base and 50% still showed no signs of

regeneration. However, the remaining two plants were both very unhealthy, and were unlikely to survive past the nest summer.

Treatment 5 initially experienced a moderately high rate of no regeneration. Of those that were regenerating, below the cut, the new growth was restricted to the main stem, with one or two stems 10 mm to 20 mm long. All relocated plants, except one were in good health. This plant was obviously almost dead. In 1999 the regenerating population had reduced in numbers with two plant in flower. Dead and unhealthy plant numbers had increased, largely due to the deaths of the no regeneration plants.

3.3 OTHER SIGNIFICANT FLORA

In both years *Conostephium minus* was observed growing at Site 2. It has is listed by Atkins (1998) as a Priority 4 taxa. Approximately 20 to 30 plants were observed during the study.

4 CONCLUSIONS

From both the preliminary and final survey findings it would appear that the species *Boronia* purdicana is under some threat from commercial activities as it does not regenerate well from most harvesting techniques. The following summary lists the findings.

Treatment 1, where the plant was harvested within 1 cm of the ground, 97% of the plants were killed. This technique of harvesting should be actively discouraged.

Treatment 2, where the plants were harvested at approximately 10 cm above ground and no leaves retained, experienced only a moderate level of regeneration, with more deaths than survivors. This technique of harvesting should be actively discouraged.

Treatment 3 was similar to Treatment 2 but with retained leaves, the regeneration was much stronger (91% survival) with a greater number and longer new stems. Most of the surviving plants were in flower in 1999. This technique was the only one with acceptable levels of regeneration following harvesting.

Treatment 4, multi stemmed plants with 20-25% of stems removed, showed minimal of regeneration with only one plant in flower. However most of the remainder still showed no signs of regeneration or were unhealthy, although none had died. This technique of harvesting should be actively discouraged.

Treatment 5, multi stemmed plants 50% of stems removed, showed minimal signs of regeneration with only two plants in flower. However, most of the remainder still showed no signs of regeneration or were unhealthy to dead. This technique of harvesting should be actively discouraged.

From these findings it would appear that Treatment 3 produced the only strong regeneration. The other Treatments resulted in low to minimal levels of regeneration and greatly increased the mortality of the harvested plants.

The down side of using Treatment 3 was the impact of reduced seed production of a harvested population. Although seed production was not treated specifically in the study, this impact on the population was considered potential negative. Following a Treatment 3 harvesting a plant will produce virtually no for at least two years, due to the lack canopy. Full seed production would likely take several more years to achieve, to allow for the plant canopy to recover to a moderate size. Depending on the longevity of the species, the interfire periodicity and the actual time to recover, this seed loss may account for a significant proportion of the population/individual seed production. Also unknown is the longevity of the seed in the soil. If the soil-stored seed were short-lived (two years say) and a fire occurred two years after a harvesting, the population could be severely impacted.

All other harvesting methods result in increased mortality of the harvested plants. Hence these have not been recommended.

The use of a Magellan Trailblazer XL GPS to relocate small plants in a moderately well vegetated area was demonstrated to be very ineffective and totally unworkable. Errors recorded between the two sets of readings (August and October 1998) varied between 65m and 156m. Part of the reason for these high errors was the GPS was only working in 2 dimensional navigation.

5 REFERENCES

Atkins, K. J. (1998). Declared Rare and Priority Flora List for Western Australia. Dept Conservation and Land Management: Como, West. Aust.

Green, J. W. (1985). Census of the Vascular Plants of Western Australia. Dept Agriculture: Perth, West. Aust.

Muir, B. G. (1977). Biological Survey of the Western Australian Wheatbelt. Part 2: Vegetation and Habitat of Bendering Reserve. *Rec. West. Aust. Mus. Suppl. No.3*. West. Aust. Museum: Perth, West. Aust.

* * * *

Paul G Armstrong

Consultant Botanist

4 August, 1999

APPENDIX I

FIELD DATA OF HARVESTING TRIAL ON BORONIA PURDIEANA

The GPS location recorded for the plants has not been included, as they serve no practical use.

APPENDIX I

FIELD DATA OF HARVESTING TRIAL ON BORONIA PURDIEANA

Data recorded in the field for the survey. Plant GPS locations were omitted as they serve no useful purpose. In the regeneration column the first number refers to the number of new growth stems and the remainder the length.

Abbreviations – NF not found; NNG no new growth.

No	H to 1 leaf	H to 1 branch	H of plant	No stems	L of stems	Location and back bearings	October-98	August-99
1/01	230	150	510	1			NNG	DEAD
1/02	150	30	360	1			NNG	DEAD
/03	50	50	390	1			NF	NF
/()4	160	80	350	1			NNG	NF
/05	210	200	450	1			NF	NF
1/06	70	150	400	1		-	NNG	DEAD
1/07	100	120	350	1			NNG	DEAD .
1/08	40	20	350	1			NNG	NF
1/09	220	180	400	1			NF	NF
1/10	80	20	300	1			NF	DEAD
1/11	130	120	310	I			1 x 10mm	DEAD
1/12	160	100	500	1			NNG	DEAD
1/13	150	0	360	2		adj 1/14	NNG	DEAD

No	H to 1 leaf	H to 1 branch	H of plant	No stems	L of stems	Location and back bearings	October-98	August-99
1/14	200	100	400	I		adj 1/13	NNG	NF
1/15	140	80	430	1		in Et	DEAD	DEAD
1/16	80	120	360	1		in Bank	NNG	DEAD
1/17	120	180	380	1		in Bank	NNG	DEAD
1/18	20	100	530	1	,		NNG	NF
1/19	100	70	360	1		adj 5/13	NF .	NF
1/20	260	230	400	1		adj 1/21	DEAD	DEAD
1/21	100	100	360	1		adj 1/20	2 x 5mm	DEAD
1/22	100	0	340	1	,	adj 1/23	6 x 20mm	NF
1/23	250	230	450	1		adj 1/22	5 x 20mm	DEAD
1/24	100	20	300	1		track 1.5m	NF	NF
1/25	160	30	300	1			NF	DEAD
1/26	150	100	360	1	,		NNG	DEAD
1/27	160	250	460	1			NF	NF
1/28	120	80	400	1			NF	DEAD
1/29	220	200	400	1		adj dead Bank	NF	NF
1/30	400	380	600	1		adj 5/19	NF	DEAD
1/31	330	330	500	1			NF	DEAD
1/32	160	160	430	1			NF	DEAD
1/33	220	250	450	1			DEAD	DEAD
1/34	50	4()	360	1		adj 5/23	NF	DEAD
1/35	50	10	320	1		2m to 1/34	NF	DEAD
1/36	100	100	400	1	// // // // // // // // // // // // //	adj 5/25 under B att	NF	NF
1/37	70	60	450	1			NF	NF

No	H to 1 leaf	H to 1 branch	H of plant	No stems	L of stems	Location and back bearings	October-98	August-99
1/38	10	60	340	1			NF	NF
1/39	90	120	390	1			NF	NF
1/40	60	50	370	l			NF	NF
1/41	40	40	220	1			NF	DEAD
1/42	30	20	300	1			NF	DEAD
1/43	30	20	160	1		close to track	NNG	NF
1/44	40	30	210	1		under B att	NNG	DEAD
1/45	30	15	200	1		under B att	NNG	DEAD
1/46	30	30	180	1			DEAD	DEAD
1/47	80	50	300	1		adj B men	NF	8 X 150
1/48	50	30	300	1		adj B att	NF	NF
1/49	40	. 10	300	1		adj Macro	NF	NF
1/50	40	30	400	1			NF	DEAD
2/0]	70	50	240	1	70		3 x 10-30mm	DEAD
2/02	20	10	360	ī	90	230	3 x 10-30mm	DEAD
2/03	40	20	240	1	100	185 under Batt	3 x 10-30mm	DEAD
2/04	80	30	380	1	100	close	DEAD ·	DEAD
2/05	80	4()	260	1	80	155 adj 2/6	6 x 20mm	DEAD
2/06	50	150	250	1	100	adj 2/5	6 x 20mm	10 X 50
2/07	50	30	300	I	100	240 adj batt	3 x 5mm	DEAD
2/08	120	90	300	1	100	162 adj batt	1 x 5mm	DEAD
2/09	50	20)	250	1	100	185 adj Macroz	NNG	DEAD
2/10	80	4()	250	1	100	0.2m away	1 x 2mm	DEAD
2/11	120	240	380	ı	100	124	4 x 10mm	6 X 100

No	H to 1 leaf	H to 1 branch	H of plant	No stems	L of stems	Location and back bearings	October-98	August-99
2/12	140	130	390	1	100	114 adj Apull	10 x 30-50mm	20 X 200 F
2/13	90	110	300	1	100	198 adj batt	10 x 10mm	10 X 150 F
2/14	100	30	300	1	100	190 to 2/12	6 x 20mm	NF
2/15	70	50	300	I	100	209	8 x 30-100mm	10 X 150 F
2/16	50	4()	250	1	100	140	5 x 5mm	16 X 100
2/17	60	80	250	1	100	218 adj bmen	5 x 20mm	6 X 100
2/18	120	30	300	1	100	153	1 x 1mm	DEAD
2/19	60	30	300	1	100	other side of same bush adj Jacksonia florib	10 x 20-40mm	10 X 150 F
2/20	40	30	250	1	100	185 adj b.att	10 x 40-60mm	10 X 100
2/21	70	110	300	1	100	225 adj b.menz	6 x 40-60mm	2 X 50
2/22	50	30	250	1	100	adj batt	NF	10 X 50
2/23	70)	30	300	1	100	206	NNG	DEAD
2/24	180	120	570	1	100	70 adj batt	6 x 20-40mm	DEAD
2/25	150	120	400	1	100	129	NNG	DEAD
2/26	70	150	280	1	100	lm away	6 x 20-40mm	2 X 200
2/27	100	10	380	1	100	100 to blue flag on blind track	6 x 5-20mm	3 X 50
2/28	100	20	250	1	100	adj 3/27	10 x 20-40mm	6 X 150 F
2/29	120	190	350	1	100	345 adj b.menz	2 x 5-10	DEAD
2/30	60	30	250	1	100	40 adj b.menz	NNG	DEAD
2/31	70	200	360	1	100	330 in acacia	4 x 5-10mm	2 X 50 F
2/32	140	30	300	1	100	adj 3/31	1 x 2mm	DEAD
2/33	40	180	300	1	100	295 under b.att	4 x 10-20mm	DEAD
2/34	4()	200	320	1	100	330 adj b.att	4 x 10-20mm	DEAD
2/35	40	120	300	1	100	59 adj b.att	10 x 40-80mm	1 X 200 F
						4		

No	H to 1 leaf	H to 1 branch	H of plant	No stems	L of stems	Location and back bearings	October-98	August-99
2/36	40	90	380	1	100	30 adj b.att/macroz	15 x 40-80mm	12 X 150 F
2/37	100	60	300	1	100	adj 2/36	10 x 10-30mm	12 X 150 F
2/38	100	160	300	1	100	356 under b.att	3 x 5-20mm	5 X 100 F
2/39	50	30	300	1	100	0 under mel.scab	3 x 5mm	DEAD
2/40	30	20	250	1	100	0 near acacia	5 x 5mm	DEAD .
3/01	70	170	380	1	100	220 to 2/26 adj b.att	20 x 20-50mm	NF ;
3/02	60	50	300	1	100	150	10 x 50-100mm	NF
3/03	120	60	330	1	100	193 adj dead adenanthos	NNG	15 X 200 F
3/04	130	70	450	1	100	169	NF	20 X 200 F
3/05	100	200	420	1	100	adj 3/4	NF	NF
3/06	60	90	340	1	100	185 under b.att	10 x 80mm	NF
3/07	80	50	300	1	100	adj 3/7	10 x 50mm	NF
3/08	60	20	300	1	100	90	15 x 50mm	NF
3/09	100	60	300	1	100	167 adj b.att	6 x 50-80mm	20 X 200 F
3/10	100	60	450	1	100	119	NNG	DEAD
3/11	30	150	300	1	100	adj 3/10	6 x 20mm	4 X 50 F
3/12	70	150	300	1	100	115	7 x 50mm	6 X 100 F
3/13	20	30	450	1	100	273	5 x 50mm	20 X 200 F
3/14	30	20	300	1	100	85	20 x 50-100mm	4 X 50 F
3/15	40	30	300	1	100	252	NF	NF
3/16	40	30	300	1	100	202 adj b.att	15 x 30-80mm	15 X 200 F
3/17	150	0	300	1	100	298	20 x 30-80mm	16 X 200 F
3/18	50	30	250	1	100	194 adj b.att	6 x 20-50mm	10 X 150 F
3/19	70	280	500	i	100	310 under b.att	15 x 50-100mm	15 X 150 F

No	H to 1 leaf	H to 1 branch	H of plant	No stems	L of stems	Location and back bearings	October-98	August-99
3/20	50	30	300	1	100	2m away 3/19	NNG	10 X 100 F
3/21	170	100	400	1	100	295 adj b.att	15 x 30-100mm	15 X 200 F
3/22	10	10	300	1	100	218 under b.att	NNG	10 X 100 F
3/23	10	10	420	ı	100	205 edge of wood lieap on track	30 x 100-150mm	10 X 200 F
3/24	20	10	250	1	100	110 adj b.att	5 x 20-50mm	10 X 150 F
3/25	70	70	300	1	100	162 adj adenanthos	5 x 20-50mm	NF
3/26	10)	20	300	1	100	126 adj b.att	8 x 50-100mm	8 X 100
3/27	60	40	260	1	100	347 under b.menz	2 x 10-20mm	DEAD
3/28	40	40	300	1	100	320 1m from 2/28	4 x 20-40mm	10 X 150 F
3/29	100	160	400	1	100	50 adj b.menz	10 x 40-80mm	10 X 200 F
3/30	60	50	250	1	100	30 adj b.att	10 x 20-40mm	10 X 100 F
3/31	30	80	300	1	100	355 adj b.att	NNG	DEAD
3/32	70	80	270	1	100	339 adj b.menz	6 x 10-20mm	6 X 120 F
3/33	100	40	300	1	100	301 adj Jacksonia	10 x 20-60mm	6 X 120 F
3/34	100	80	250	1	100	0 adj b.menz	15 x 20-60mm	10 X 200 F
3/35	100	100	360	1	100	0 adj stirlingia	10 x 20-80mm	10 X 200 F
3/37	40	180	300	1	100	3 adj b.att	15 x 40-100mm	12 X 150 F
3/37	20	80	400	1	100	340 under macroz	15 x 40-80mm	15 X 100 F
3/38	20	()	300	1	100	301 under b.menz	NF	5 X 100 F
3/39	10	()	250	1	100	310 near b.att	20 x 20-40mm	15 X 150 F
3/4()	40	10	300	1	100	21 near b.menz	15 x 20-80mm	15 X 150 F
4/01	20	50	320	6	300		NF	NF
4/02	100	10	480	5	300	near dead bank	NNG	NF
4/03	120	60	540	6	400		NF	NF

No	H to 1 leaf	H to 1 branch	H of plant	No stems	L of stems	Location and back bearings	October-98	August-99
4/04	100	10	390	5	500		NF	NF
4/05	80	10	320	4	320		NF	NF
4/06	80	20	500	7	450		NNG	5 X 200 F
4/07	180	40	380	5	350		NF	NNG
4/08	100	20	540	5	500		NF	. NNG
4/09	50	0	360	5	400	adj 4/10	NNG	NNG
4/10	50	()	450	5	400	adj 4/9	NNG	SICK
4/11	70	()	300	5	400	under Et	NF	SICK
4/12	5()	10	400	4		adj log	NF	4 X 150
4/13	50)	10	360	10	360	* 1	NF	NNG
5/01	40	40	500	2	370		NNG	NF
5/02	110	90	480	3	400	near log	4 x 10mm	NNG
5/03	-230	50	570	4	440	near E.t	NNG	NNG
5/04	120	10	370	2	400		1 x 10mm	NNG
5/05	200	()	300	3	400	,	NF	4 X 100 F
5/06	90	10	400	3	400		NF	NNG
5/07	10	0	370	2	330	adj 5/8	NF	NF
5/08	20	10	380	2	320	adj 5/7	NF	SICK
5/09	20	30	340	2	350	adj 5/9	NF	NNG
5/10	30	()	350	2	350	adj 5/10	1 x 20mm	1 X 50
5/11	110	4()	420	2	340		NNG	NF
5/12	300	20	580	2	500	adj 5/13 Health = 4	NNG	NF
5/13	200	4()	580	3	300	adj 1/19 + 5/12	NNG	NF
5/13	100	80	400	2	300		NF	NNG

No	H to 1 leaf	H to 1 branch	H of plant	No stems	L of stems	Location and back bearings	October-98	August-99
5/14	160	50	430	2	340		NF	NNG
5/15	220	130	420	2	320		NF	DEAD
5/16	190	0	430	2	430		NF	DEAD
5/18	100	50	480	3	600	adj 5/19	NF	NF
5/19	220	50	400	2	450	adj 5/18 + 1/30	NF	4 X 100 F
5/20	100	0	330	2	400	adj 5/21	2 x 5mm	SICK
5/21	100	40	350	2	300	adj 5/20	NNG	SICK
5/22	140	80	360	3	300	6	NF	NF
5/23	110	70	360	3	340	adj 1/34 under log	NNG	2 X 150
5/24	140	30	360	2	300		NF	DEAD
5/25	100	10	400	2	400	1m to 4/11	. NNG	DEAD
5/26	100	20	630	2	600	adj 1/36 adj B att	NF	1 X 200
5/27	290	50	470	2	420		NF	NF

APPENDIX II

VEGETATION CLASSIFICATION USED IN THE WHEATBELT SURVEY

(Extracted from Muir 1977)

APPENDIX II - VEGETATION CLASSIFICATION USED IN WHEATBELT SURVEY

(Extracted from Muir 1977*)

LIF	E FORM/HEIGHT		CANOPY	COVER	
CLA	ASS	DENSE d	MID-DENSE c	SPARSE i	VERY SPARSE r
		70-100%	30-70%	10-30%	2-10%
T	Trees >30m	Dense Tall Forest	Tall Forest	Tall Woodland	Open Tall Woodland
M	Trees 15-30m	Dense Forest	Forest	Woodland	Open Woodland
LA	Trees 5-15m	Dense Low Forest A	Low Forest A	Low Woodland A	Open Low Woodland A
LB	Trees <5m	Dense Low Forest B	Low Forest B	Low Woodland B	Open Low Woodland B
KT	Mallee tree form	Dense Tree Mallee	Tree Mallee	Open Tree Mallee	Very Open Tree Mallee
KS	Mallee shrub form	Dense Shrub Mallee	Shrub Mallee	Open Shrub Mallee	Very Open Shrub Mallee
S	Shrubs >2m	Dense Thicket	Thicket	Scrub	Open Scrub
SA	Shrubs 1.5-2.0m	Dense Heath A	Heath A	Low Scrub A	Open Low Scrub A
SE	Shrubs 1 0-1.5m	Dense Heath B	Heath B	Low Scrub B	Open Low Scrub B
SC	Shrubs 0.5-1.0m	Dense Low Heath C	Low Heath C	Dwarf Scrub C	Open Dwarf Scrub C
SD	Shrubs 0.0-0.5m	Dense Low Heath D	Low Heath D	Dwarf Scrub D	Open Dwarf Scrub D
P	Mat plants	Dense Mat Plants	Mat Plants	Open Mat Plants	Very Open Mat Plants
H	Hummock Grass	Dense Hummock	Mid-Dense Hummock Grass	Hummock Grass	Open Hummock Grass
GT	Bunch grass >0.5m	Dense Tall Grass	Tall Grass	Open Tall Grass	Very Open Tall Grass
GL	Bunch grass<0.5m	Dense Low Grass	Low Grass	Open Low Grass	Very Open Low Grass
J	Herbaceous spp.	Dense Herbs	Herbs	Open Herbs	Very Open Herbs
VT	Sedges >0.5m	Dense Tall Sedges	Tall Sedges	Open Tall Sedges	Very Open Tall Sedges
VL	Sedges < 0.5m	Dense Low Sedges	Low Sedges	Open Low Sedges	Very Open Low Sedges
X	Ferns	Dense Ferns	Ferns	Open Ferns	Very Open Ferns
	Mosses, liverwort	Dense Mosses	Mosses	Open Mosses	Very Open Mosses

Note: Muir, B.G. (1977). Biological Survey of the Western Australian Wheatbelt. Pt 2: Vegetation and Habitat of Bendering Reserve. Records of the Western Australian Museum. Suppl. No.3.