

Offset v/s Best Practice

Last resort option – impact avoidance is the goal.

- Land Acquisition
- Cash Payment (species mgt, site mgt, research/monitoring, strategic documents)
- Rehabilitation thru to Reconstruction
- Site Survey's
- Onsite weed & feral control, fencing
- Translocations & monitoring
- Topsoil mgt, In-situ plantings
- Site-redesign, increases POS
- In-situ trials, nest boxes, rope bridges, fauna crossings, fauna excluders

LUP Offsets applied to:

- Mining (Mineral Sands, Basic Raw Materials)
- Utilities (MRD, Water Corp, Western Power)
- Commercial tourism developments
- Large scale urban & industrial subdivisions
- Medium scale subdivisions (10+ lots)
- NB: No meaningful application to small residential subdivisions (1-2 lots)

SW Examples 2005 onwards

WRP's

- Habitat reconstruction (Dawson 3ha, Cape View ~7ha, Peppermint Park 20ha)
- Monitoring Program (Water Corp 10yr prog)
- Corridor creation (MRD Bsn Bypass ~4ha)

Black Cockatoos

Habitat reconstruction (Smith's Beach~3ha)

Wetlands

- Reserve Acquisition (West. Power Byrd Swamp NR 33ha additions)
- Habitat Rehab/Reconstn (Water Corp Benger Swamp 10ha)

TEC's

- Buffer Acquisition & creation (Williamson Rd ~6ha)
- Reserve Acquisition (Kemerton ~14ha)

Total value ~\$800K-\$1M

Offset Issues

- Outcomes v/s \$ contributed: full veg suite never implemented.
- Determining offset ratio's.
- Timescales for rehab (defining & measuring success) V/s proponent's obligations. build & move on!
- DEC oversight costs staff time needs to be covered.
- Fragmented Landscapes
- Land price excludes acquisition (i.e. coastal hotspots),
- "Like for Like" and on "Secure Tenure" problems
- Suitable sizes esp. small areas in good condition don't exist.
- Non Fragmented Landscapes
- The utility of rehab black cocky foraging habitat in the middle of forest!
- Rehab/recnstrn least desirable option for cons mgr's.
- Improving the resilience/condition of existing reserves is higher priority (weed, disease, access control)