Risk Assessment as applied in identifying nature conservation management priorities for Cape Range (and proposed additions) Management Plan

This system is used to assist in identifying key values and management priorities. It involves scoring values/attributes and threats against a series of criteria in order to rank them. Scoring for each criterion is dependent on the expertise, knowledge and experience of the people involved in the assessment process. This will be robust if a sufficiently large group with a broad range of expertise and knowledge of the area is used. This approach with all its inherent imperfections provides, at the very least, a transparent expression of the logic and a record of the outcomes of the prioritisation process.

Values/Attributes

In this instance, the focus of this risk assessment tool is on the ecological values of the planning area, however, criteria which consider their 'social' attributes of these ecological values are included. As the maintenance of healthy ecosystems generally underpins human use and not vice versa, the ecological values are, intrinsically, of greater importance than 'social' values and this natural hierarchy is reflected in the greater number of ecological criteria relative to social ones.

Scoring for each criterion is based on a relative assessment of each value/attribute (i.e. down columns).

High score = 3; Medium score = 2; Low score = 1.

The values/attributes criteria are:

Trophic status: low trophic level biotic attributes (i.e. primary producers) will score high against this criterion. Higher trophic level (e.g. consumers) biota will score low against this criterion. Where the value being considered is abiotic, it should automatically be given a high score as these underpin ecological processes.

Areal extent/biomass: attributes of the planning area that are widespread/abundant in their distribution, such as karst or spinfex, will score high against this criterion. Those with relatively localised distribution will score low.

Vulnerability: attributes that are highly susceptible to degradation by natural events and/or human pressures will score high against this criterion and vice versa.

Recovery potential: recovery potential can be measured in terms of resilience (measured as the maximum stress from which a value can recover) and stability (measured as the rate of recovery from a stress). Attributes with a low recovery potential will score high for this criterion and vice versa.

The following 4 criteria relate to the uniqueness of attributes over various spatial scales.

Locally significant Regionally significant Nationally significant Globally significant

The criteria for ranking 'social' value are:

Cultural: attributes with existing or potential importance to the local, regional, national or international communities because of their heritage, historical, traditional, aesthetic and educational qualities will score high against this criterion.

Consequence: this criterion acknowledges that different threats have different social and political consequences. A high socio-economic/political consequence will score high and vice versa for this criterion.

Probability: this criterion addresses the probability of a threat occurring within the timeframe of the management plan. Existing pressures or a high probability of a threat occurring will score high and a low probability of a threat occurring will score low.

Table 2. Example of a Pressure Ra	anking Mat	rix				
Pressure -	Biological Intensity	Spatial Scale	Temporal Scale	Social Political Consequen	Probability	Total
Karst	3	2	2	2	3	12
Endemic and Localised Flora Species	1	3	2	1	2	9
Mangroves	3	3	3	1	2	12

Scoring: 3=High, 2 =Medium, 1=Low.

8		Ecologi	ical sig	nifican	ce B	iodiversit	ty signific	cance	Sc	ocial sig	nificance	9		Threats/Threatening processes		
	Values/Assets	Trophic status	Areal extent/ biomass	Vulnerability	Recovery potential	ocally significant	Regionally significant	Nationally significant	Globally significant	Cultural significance	Economic significance	Scientific signicance	Recreational significance	Total	Biological intensity Spatial scale Temporal scale Social/political consequence Probabilty Total	ement actions
Geomorphology	Ancient paleo-drainage of Fortescue River	3*	3	1	2	3	3	د تج 1	1	3	ല ∙ ജ 1	3		25 Mining/extractive industries (gravel)	道 E	ectiveness
	Karst	3*	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		Infrastructure development (tourism, roading etc) 34 Mining/extractive industries (gravel) Infrastructure development (tourism etc)	3 1 3 3 1 11 3 2 2 2 3 12 3 1 3 3 3 13	
	Fossil deposits	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	1	3	1	Caving (to specific karstic features) Hard-hooved animals (eg. goats, cattle) 27 Fossil collecting Mining/extractive industries (gravel) Infrastructure development (tourism, roading	3 1 1 1 2 8 3 3 2 1 1 10 3 2 2 1 2 10 3 2 2 2 2 11	
	Cape Range terraces	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	1	3	2	etc) Roading 31 Mining/extractive industries (gravel) Infrastructure development (tourism, roading	3 2 3 3 1 12 3 1 3 1 1 9 3 2 2 2 3 12 1 3 3 3 13	
Hydrology Aspirational goal: Maintain hydrological processes i	Orange dunes on range (north and south)	3.	2	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	1	3	1	etc) 27 Sand mining/extractive industries Unmanaged access Infrastructure development Hard-hooved animals (eg. goats, cattle) overgrazing	3 3 2 2 2 2 11 3 3 2 1 2 11 3 1 3 2 1 10 3 1 1 1 7 3 1 1 1 7	
order to maintain biodiversity and geomorphological processes	Anchialine system (underground estuary)	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	1	32 Groundwater abstraction Mining Infrastructure development Pollution Climate change	3 2 2 2 2 11 3 2 3 2 1 11 3 1 2 3 3 12 3 2 1 1 1 8	
	Freshwater system (perched freshwater layer)	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	3	1	29 Groundwater abstraction Mining Climate change	3 3 3 1 2 12 3 2 2 1 1 9 3 2 2 2 1 10 3 3 3 1 2 12	
Biological Aspirational Goal: No significant deleterious change to biodiversity from 2004 levels or Maintain or enhance natural biodiversity within the planning area		? ?		? ?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	1	? 1		3 3 3 1 2 12	
Disjunct (geographically isolated), relictual, endemic or otherwise significant species (eg. orange dune reptile fauna?, coastal reptile community, beach	Endemic and localised species - Livistonia alfredii (disjunct), Acanthocarpus rupestris, Brachychiton obusilobus, Grevillea calcicola, Leshenaultia															
nesting birds)	aff.lutescens and Stackhousia umbellata	1	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	1	3	1	24 Grazing by ferals/stock Infrastructure development Unmanaged access Water abstraction Environmental weeds Inappropriate fires regimes Recreation activities	1 3 2 1 2 9 1 2 3 3 1 10 1 2 2 1 1 7 1 2 2 2 1 8 1 2 1 1 6 1 3 2 1 2 9 1 2 1 3 1 8	
	Species with tropical affinities (restricted to the cooler, damper, and relatively fire-free western gorges of the range) Avifauna	1	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	1	3	1	24 Inappropriate fire regimes Grazing by ferals/stock Climate change	1 3 2 1 2 9 1 3 2 1 1 8 1 3 3 1 2 10	
	northern-most limit of range - striated field wren, grey-breasted white-eye southern-most western coastal limit - beach stone curlew, bar-shouldered dove, rufous-crowned emuwren, grey-headed honeyeater, painted firetail, spotted	1	1	2	2	3	3	2	1	2	2	3	1	23 Feral predators Grazing by ferals/stock Inappropriate fire regimes	1 3 2 1 2 9 1 3 2 1 1 8 1 3 3 1 2 10	
	bowerbird	1	1	2	2	3	3	2	1	2	2	3	1	23 Feral predators Grazing by ferals/stock Inappropriate fire regimes	1 3 2 1 2 9 1 3 2 1 1 8 1 3 3 1 2 10	
	isolated populations, - spinifex pigeon, rufous-crowned emuwren, grey-headed honeyeater, painted firetail, little woodswallow, spotted bowerbird, grey shrike-thrush, spinifex pigeon (latter two distinctive morphologically)	1	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	1.	3	1	24 Feral predators overgrazing Inappropriate fire regimes	1 3 2 1 2 9 1 3 2 1 1 8 1 3 3 1 2 10	
	Herpetofauna													9	. 5 5 1 2 10	

northern geographic limit - seven species on western coastal dunes, largely restricted to the coastal corridor	1	1	2	2	3	3	2	1	2	2	3		Inappropriate fire regimes feral predators	1	3	2 2	1	2 2	9
northern geographic limit (all restricted to the red sand ridges near Vlaming Head) - five species primarily of the central and northern coastal sandy deserts, two widespread Pilbara or sandy desert species, only one reptile species with													overgrazing	1	2	3	1	3	10
mainly northern distribution	1	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	2	3		Inappropriate fire regimes overgrazing feral predators	1 1 1	3 3 3	2 3 2	1 1 1	2 1 2	9 9 9
isolated populations disjunct from conspecific populations in adjacent regions - five species	1	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	1	3	1 24	Inappropriate fire regimes	1	3	3	1	2	
endemic, or nearly so, to the Cape Range peninsula - five species	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	1	3	1 25	feral predators Inappropriate fire regimes feral predators	. 1	3 3 3	2 3 2	1 1 1	2	8 10 8
threatened species	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	1	3	1 25	Human - wildlife interactions (with turtles, wallabies) Feral predators	1	1	1 2	3	3	9
Troglobytic fauna many relictual taxa of international conservation significance, including troglobitic fish (Ophisternon candidum and Milyeringa veritas), shrimps (Stygiocaris spp., ostracods (Danielopolona spp.), amphipods (Liagoceradocus spp., Halosbaena spp.), remipedes (Lasionectes spp.), plus many other families													Oil pollution	1	2	1	3	1	8
and orders of terrestrial and aquatic species.	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	3		Turbidity, run-off feral fish Oil pollution salt water intrusion Cave diving Inappropriate fires regimes	3 3 3 3 3	3 1 1 2 1 3	2 1 2 1 3	1 1 1 1 1	1 2	11 8 7 9 8 12
Molluscs endemic, with seven found only on the plateau and range itself - 10 species	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	3		Water abstraction Inappropriate fires regimes	3	2	2	2	2	
southern limit of range - four species	1	1	2	2	3	3	2	. 1	2	2	3	1 23	Climate change Climate change	1	3	3	1	2	
Common species	3	3	1 .	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	2 21	Inappropriate fire regimes Firewood collection Overgrazing by native animals	1 3 3	3 1 2	3 1 2	1 2 1	2	10 9 10
													Environmental weeds Infrastructure development Road traffic (roadkills)	3 3	2 1 1	1 3 1	1 3 2		9 12
													Inappropriate fires regimes Unmanaged access	3	3	3	1	2	12 10
Mangroves	3	1	2	2	3	3	3*	1	2	1	2		Oil pollution	3	1	1	1		7
Creekline vegetation	3	1	2	2	3	2	1	1	2	1	2		Climate change Firewood collection	3	3	3	1	2	
intertidal habitats	2	1		2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1		Unmanaged access	3	1	1	2	2	9
supratidal habitats	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1		Shell collecting Shell collecting	2	1	1	1	3	8 7
Coastal colluvial flats - habitat, intrinsic	3	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	2*	2 22	Unmanaged access Overgrazing by native animals	2 3	1	2	1	2	8 11
Vegetation of orange dunefields and red sandplains	3	2	3	2	3	3	2	1	2		2		Unmanaged access Environmental weeds	3	1	2	1	3	9 11
		~					2	1	2	1	2		Unmanaged access Environmental weeds	3 3	2	2	1	2	10 9
Samphire flats Holocene dunes	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	1		Unmanaged access	3	1	2	1	2	9
Range slope habitats	3	3	2 2	2	1	1	1	1	1 2	1	1	3 20	Unmanaged access Water abstraction	3	1	2	1	2	9
	,	,	2	2	,	,	1	1	2	1	1		Water abstraction Environmental weeds Inappropriate fires regimes	3 1 ¹ 3	? 2 3	2	2 1 1	3	9 10
Range crest habitats	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	? ?			3	3	1	2	12
Microhabitats – land snails	3	1	3	?	3	3	3	2	1	1	3		Inappropriate fires regimes	3	3	3	1	2	
Other rare or outlying vegetation types	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	7	?	?	?	? ?	Mining Mining ?		2	2	2	2	8
· Seabird rookeries (e.g. Point Maud)													Inappropriate fires regimes Overgrazing by native animals Public perception that fox-baiting damaging to	5.	3 2	2	1	2	9 7
Scattle Tookeries (e.g. Foliic Madd)	1	1	3	2	3	3	1	1	3	1	2		reptiles feral predators Human - wildlife interactions (with turtles,	1	1	1	3 2	3	8 10
Threatened Ecological Communities – Bunderra Sinkhole, Cameron's Cave	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	3	1 30	wallabies) feral fish Turbidity, run-off	1 3 3	1 3 3	1 2 1	3 1 1	3 1 2	
Troglobitic communities	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2 34	Water abstraction Turbidity, run-off feral fish	3 3 3	3 2 2	2 1 2	2 1 1	1 2 1	11 9 9
													Oil pollution	3	1	1	1	`1	7