

# Spatial and temporal variation in PFAS within an urban estuary and accumulation in biota

#### **Peter Novak and Steeg Hoeksema**

Dept Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions









#### What is PFAS?





Contan

Department of **Biodiversity**, **Conservation and Attractions** 

Arch Toxicol. 2018; 92(2): 705-716. Published online 2017 Oct 23. doi: 10.1007/s00204-017-2077-8

PFOS induces proliferation, cell-cycle progres phenotype in human breast epithelial cells

Paula Pierozan and Oskar Karlsson<sup>IX</sup>



#### Toxic firefighting foam hazard 'as bad as asbestos'

Richard Wood 13/07/2018

RESEARCH ARTICLE | SEPTEMBER 01 2009

Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology



Volume 220 Jacue 1, 1 July 2008, Pages 23-32

perfluc enviror Fatihah Suja Water Sci Te

Legacy issues from toxic firefighting foam continue to be an issue

ration of gene exposed to PFOS

Posted 20 Oct 2018, 6:39am https://doi.c

## In the Swan and Canning Estuary, Western Australia?





#### Aims of the study

- Determine the extent and distribution of PFAS in the Swan Canning Estuary and its catchment
  - Can potential sources be identified?
- How is PFAS accumulating in key aquatic species black bream (Acanthopagrus butcheri) and blue swimmer crabs (Portunas armatus)
  - Partitioning between body tissues
  - Differing accumulation in different regions of the estuary

#### Methods – surface water

- 20 estuary sites and 32 catchment sites were targeted for PFAS analysis.
- Samples were collected every 6 months from December 2016 to June 2018





#### Results – Surface water

- PFAS every site
- PFOS and PFHxS were the dominant compounds
- PFOS below detect (0.0003  $\mu$ g/L) at 1 site

| Catchment   |        |       |         |         |       |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|--------|-------|---------|---------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Compound    | Min    | Max   | Median  | Detects | Count |  |  |  |  |
| PFOS        | 0      | 4.1   | 0.0242  | 105     | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| PFHxS       | 0      | 1.8   | 0.0131  | 104     | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| PFOA        | 0      | 0.168 | 0.0067  | 87      | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| PFHpA       | 0      | 0.096 | 0.0048  | 97      | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| PFHxA       | 0      | 0.46  | 0.0096  | 102     | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| PFPA        | 0      | 0.27  | 0.00865 | 101     | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| PFBA        | 0      | 0.15  | 0       | 50      | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| PFBS        | 0      | 0.24  | 0.0042  | 100     | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| 8:2 FTS     | 0      | 0.017 | 0       | 12      | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| 6:2 FTS     | 0      | 0.66  | 0       | 43      | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| PFOS+PFHxS  | 0      | 5.9   | 0.03845 | 105     | 108   |  |  |  |  |
| $\sum$ PFAS | 0.0051 | 7.072 | 0.08725 | 108     | 108   |  |  |  |  |

|               | Estuary |       |         |         |       |  |  |  |
|---------------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-------|--|--|--|
| Compound      | Min     | Max   | Median  | detects | count |  |  |  |
| PFOS          | 0.0041  | 0.12  | 0.0215  | 80      | 80    |  |  |  |
| PFHxS         | 0.0022  | 0.051 | 0.00895 | 80      | 80    |  |  |  |
| PFOA          | 0       | 0.015 | 0.0024  | 71      | 80    |  |  |  |
| PFHpA         | 0       | 0.016 | 0.00135 | 71      | 80    |  |  |  |
| PFHxA         | 0.0005  | 0.036 | 0.00335 | 80      | 80    |  |  |  |
| PFPA          | 0       | 0.047 | 0.0051  | 78      | 80    |  |  |  |
| PFBA          | 0       | 0.025 | 0       | 23      | 80    |  |  |  |
| PFBS          | 0       | 0.029 | 0.0019  | 68      | 80    |  |  |  |
| 8:2 FTS       | 0       | 0     | 0       | 0       | 80    |  |  |  |
| 6:2 FTS       | 0       | 0.037 | 0       | 30      | 80    |  |  |  |
| PFOS+PFHxS    | 0.0063  | 0.149 | 0.03    | 80      | 80    |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma$ PFAS | 0.0101  | 0.353 | 0.0519  | 80      | 80    |  |  |  |





#### PFAS in biota

#### Aims

- How is PFAS accumulating in key aquatic species black bream (*Acanthopagrus butcheri*) and blue swimmer crabs (*Portunas armatus*)
  - Partitioning between body tissues
  - Differing accumulation in different regions of the estuary





## Methods – biota

- Blue swimmer crabs (*Portunas armatus*) and black bream (*Acanthopagrus butcherii*) were sampled
  - Crabs muscle and viscera (hepatopancreas, gills and gonads)
  - Bream muscle, liver, gonads and carcass





#### PFAS in Acanthopagrus butcheri

Mean PFOS+PFHxS concentrations in bream tissue were:

100

80

60

40

20

0

PFOS+PFHxS concentration (μg/kg)

Female

T.

Muscle

- Muscle 2.3 (±0.4 SE) μg/kg
- Carcass 10.3 (±1.8SE) μg/kg
- Liver 23.5 (±4.1SE)
  μg/kg

 Significant (P<0.05) regional difference in <u>female</u> PFAS concentration

🖩 Male

Carcass

Liver



#### PFAS in Portunas armatus

#### Key results

- A greater number of PFAS compounds were detected in *P. armatus*
- PFOS+PFHxS concentrations were higher in viscera
- PFOS+PFHxS concentrations were higher in female *P. armatus*





# To what extent is PFAS accumulating?







#### Conclusion

- PFAS was detected throughout the estuary, its catchment and key biota species
- Catchment sources varied both seasonally and spatially, highest concentrations were consistently associated with water courses draining:
  - Perth Airport
  - Pearce Airbase
- In the estuary legacy PFAS dominated PFOS and PFHxS, elevated throughout the middle reaches of the Swan Estuary
- PFAS was detected in every biota specimen
  - Regional differences in PFAS concentration in female bream reflect different catchment sources?
  - Didn't accumulate with length postulated due to solubility with water
- Human health risk assessment completed

## Conclusion

- Knowledge gaps
  - Groundwater in the Swan Canning Estuary
  - More broadly, toxicity effects on biota and ecosystem function at relevant concentrations
  - Multiple stressor approaches
- Remediation
  - Airport North Main Drain
  - Pilot trial commenced to test efficacy of PFAS removal by a constructed wetland.







Thank you to all involved in the Rivers and Estuaries Science Program at the Dept of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions.

For more information contact:

peter.novak@dbca.wa.gov.au

https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/management/swan-canningriverpark/ecosystem-health-and-management





#### Estuary and catchment links – Winter



#### PFAS accumulation in *A. butcheri*?

- Expected PFAS concentration to increase with size
- No significant relationship between fish length and concentration, nor Hepatosomatic index or gonadosomatic index
- Significant positive trend for female body burden
- Bioaccumulation factor = 207 (mean whole fish PFOS = 7.5 μg/kg, mean estuary water PFOS = 0.0361 μg/L



## PFAS accumulation in P. armatus

- No significant relationship between size and muscle or viscera concentration
- No significant relationship between size and muscle load or viscera load for females, but
- Significant positive relationship for male viscera load

