

2005 PVS Workshop

Transcript of Recorded Session, 12 October 2005 Tape Three: Side A

Andrew Hill

- Ningaloo Marine Park location and marine specifics such as habitat
- Values include heritage, cultural and social
- Controversy involved in developing a sanctuary zone
- Review of existing Management Plan including:
 - o Zone plan
 - o Consultation: all for comments / submissions
 - o Timing of review (during election) added pressure
- Decision to join sanctuary zones but also allow fishing in previous non-fishing zones
- Positive outcomes achieved and to high levels by world standards
- Early consultation, wide engagement process and strong interest was achieved
- Cape Range / Ningaloo merge strategies
- Stakeholders involved with integration included local shires etc
- Legislation differences was a key factor for integration

Neil Taylor

- Range of stakeholders responses to Marine Park concept
- Public engagement: ---
- Capes proposal process:
 - o Develop draft plan
 - o Assess liability
- Advisory committee consists primarily of voluntary local stakeholders
- Issues being discussed via Dept of Fisheries to highlight concerns such as recreational fishing
- Planning model process:
 - o Focus on planning advisory committee
 - o Sector reference groups to share the vision statement
 - o Approx 250 submissions for committee to discuss
 - o Some one-on-one engagement (e.g. sole professional fisherman in community)
 - o Identify key players concerned
- Weaknesses include: political affairs and public opposition