

LIBRARY

Department of Biodiversity,
Conservation and Attractions

This PDF has been created for digital preservation. It may be used for research but is not suitable for other purposes. It may be superseded by a more current version or just be out-of-date and have no relevance to current situations.

Yaalup Lagoon Waterbirds

Located in Nature Reserve No. 36967, 25 km north-east of Ongerup, Yaalup Lagoon is a small, fresh, semi-permanent wetland. Except for the surrounding nature reserve, the catchment of the lagoon is extensively cleared for farming and declining water quality is likely in the short term.




Yaalup Lagoon is a fresh to brackish ephemeral wetland (photo by S.A.Halse)


Species Richness

Over the period of monitoring a total of 10 species were recorded and abundance was stable at 130-150 birds. Species richness ranged from 5-9 with no apparent relationship to water depth. Grey Teal were most abundant on all occasions and the assemblage generally, was dominated by ducks.

Five species were recorded in all years and collectively accounted for between 85 and 100% of the abundance observed. Consequently, ordination of waterbird species abundance indicates a relatively stable community composition across years



Species richness at Yaalup Lagoon.



MDS Ordination (SSH) of range standardized abundance of waterbird species.


Further Reading

- Cale, D.J., S.A.Halse and C.D.Walker (2004) Wetland monitoring in the Wheatbelt of Western Australia: site descriptions, waterbird, aquatic invertebrate and groundwater data. *Conservation Science W. Aust* 5: 20-135
- Halse, S.A., D.J. Cale, E.J. Jasinska and R.J. Shiel (2002) Monitoring change in aquatic invertebrate biodiversity: sample size, faunal elements and analytical methods. *Aquatic Ecology* 36: 1-16


Salinity Action Plan Wheatbelt Wetlands Monitoring Programme

Wheatbelt Wetlands Monitoring


The Salinity action Plan Wheatbelt Wetlands Monitoring programme commenced in 1997 with the sampling of five wetlands and was expanded to include 13 in 1998 and finally a total of 25 wetlands in 1999. These monitoring wetlands have been sampled every second year since commencement, such that half of the wetlands are sampled in alternating years. Wetlands first sampled in 1997 have now been sampled 4 times. While this actually yields few data points and interpretation is, at this stage, imprecise it is expected that as the project continues and further data points are collected an increasingly accurate estimate of wetland trends will be achieved. Faunal sampling includes; waterbird species richness and abundance, aquatic invertebrate species richness and abundance and water-chemistry. Sampling of these parameters is directed toward tracking trends in biodiversity of the wetlands individually and as a group to reflect the status of wheatbelt wetlands generally. This brief note presents data for waterbird surveys up to 2003 and is intended as an annual mechanism for reporting data from this project.



MEAN Z-score for WATERBIRD RICHNESS at all lakes during year of sampling



MEAN Z-score for WATERBIRD ABUNDANCE at all lakes during year of sampling



Waterbird Richness and Abundance in the Wheatbelt

The number of species present (Richness), is a valuable measure of biodiversity and abundance is indicative of the productivity of wetlands. The mean z-score for waterbird richness and abundance is calculated in the same way. At each wetland the normal deviate (z) is calculated for each year, from the entire dataset for that wetland. The mean z-score is the average of these annual z scores over all wetlands. Thus, the mean z-score can be used to measure the overall trend in monitored wetlands over time. Values below zero reflect lower than average species richness or waterbird abundance. Over the period of monitoring there has been a decline in waterbird species richness and abundance at the monitored wetlands. This has coincided with a decline in rainfall following 1999 with 2000-2002 showing 'average' to 'very much below average' rainfall over the study area. It is too early to ascribe the reduction in species richness and abundance to low rainfall, although it is intuitive that the lower water levels and higher salinities associated with low rainfall are likely to lead to fewer species using wetlands.