

980001 ~~108639~~

THE LIBRARY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
& LAND MANAGEMENT
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

COMO RESOURCE CENTRE
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
& LAND MANAGEMENT
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

C. E. LANE POOLE MEMORIAL TRUST

LANE POOLE AWARD
STUDY TOUR, MARCH 1987



**REPORT ON
AERIAL DRIPTORCH
OPERATIONS IN TASMANIA
MARCH 1987**

*By
Gerard W. van Didden*

C.E. Lane Poole Memorial Trust

The Lane Poole Memorial Trust was established to commemorate the work of Charles Edward Lane Poole and, in particular, the connection between the former Conservator of Forests and the late Thomas Cullity.

Lane Poole was appointed Inspector-General of the Woods and Forests Department in Western Australia in 1916, and was responsible for establishing the legal framework on which the State's forestry operations have since been carried out.

That legal framework was the 1918 Forests Act. Before the Act was introduced there was no legislation to control the amount of timber cut, or the place and manner of cutting, nor to regenerate the forest after cutting.

When Thomas Cullity graduated from the University of Western Australia in 1918, Lane Poole offered him the newly created position of Utilisation Officer in the Forests Department, which he held for one year before leaving to start up Millars' new commercial kilns at Yarloop.

Thomas Cullity maintained an interest in forestry and timber for the rest of his life. He founded Cullity Timbers in 1928 and Westralian Plywoods in 1943. From these companies WESFI was formed.

The trust was initiated by WESFI Chairman, Denis Cullity, and the then Conservator of Forests, Bruce Beggs, in 1983. It was developed by a Board of Trustees representing the former Forests Department and WESFI.

The current Chairman of the board is the Executive Director of the Department of Conservation and Land Management, Dr Syd Shea.

The Wesfi connection resulted from a belief held by Lane Poole that forestry needed to be inter-disciplinary to cater for the needs of society.

Contents

Summary	4
Introduction	5
Background	6
Ashley Aphid Firelighting System	7
System Description.....	8
Fuel Storage Tank	8
Fuel Delivery System	8
Ignition System	9
Fire Extinguishing System	10
Burner Nozzle Extinguisher	10
Automatic Jettisoning Discharge	10
CO ₂ Pressurized Fuel Storage	10
Fuel Mixing Procedures	11
Hooking up Procedures	13
Production Rates and Costs	14
Production Rates	15
Costs	15
Potential Applications	16
Buffer Zones	16
Regeneration and Clearing Burns	16
Discussion	17
Building Helitorch	18
Recommendation No.1:	18
Fuel Mixing	18
Recommendation No.2:	19
Training	19
Recommendation No.3:	19
Conclusions	20
Acknowledgement	21
References	22
Appendix	23

Summary

This report describes the operation of the APHID Helitorch as used by the Tasmanian Forests Commission during their control burning operations, March 1987. The operational feasibility and applications of the Helitorch to Western Australian prescribed burning operations are evaluated.

The technique is cost effective and has considerable potential in this State. The following applications are recommended:

- . establishing low fuel buffer zones in coastal heathlands;
- . igniting logging slash in preparation for regeneration in the southern karri forest; and
- . clearing burn situations.

The study recommends that: two units are built locally; a suitable ground mixing system is purchased or is incorporated into the Helitorch system; and suitable training courses are developed. Helitorch development will extend the existing range of fuel structures that can be ignited using aerial techniques.



FIGURE 1. HELITORCH IGNITION SYSTEM

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to describe the Helitorch used in Tasmania during March 1987. The report is part of a study funded by the Lane Poole Award and undertaken to evaluate new aerial ignition techniques and their potential application to Western Australia's prescribed burning operations.

In Tasmania aerial ignition systems are used to achieve a variety of forest and land management objectives (Bennett, 1987). This report deals with the modified ASHLEY APHID HELITORCH operated by the Tasmanian Forests Commission.

The Commission carries out a regeneration burning programme over some 7000 hectares each year. The aim of this burning is to generate high intensity fires with a strong central convection column (Gellie, 1983).

The Western Australian Department of Conservation and Land Management has similar needs: that is, to generate high intensity fires. Average requirements have been 2600 hectares per annum of karri regeneration and 2700 hectares per annum of pine clearing burns (Annual Reports, 1979 to 1985). The future requirements will diminish due to a reduction in old growth karri cut and a cessation of clearing forest for plantation establishment. There will nevertheless be a continuing need.

3

Background

The ASHLEY APHID Helitorch was designed by the New Zealand Forest Service and manufactured to meet the standards set by the Ministry of Transport - Civil Aviation Division (Hildreth, 1985). The unit received its certificate of type approval on August 18th, 1982 (New Zealand Forest Service, 1983).

The Tasmanian Forests Commission purchased a unit from New Zealand and eventually succeeded in obtaining clearance to operate their modified Helitorch, since renamed the "Red Dragon", in February 1987 (Bennett, personal communication, 1987). This is some three years after initial attempts were made to introduce the unit into Australia.

In its early developmental stages a number of companies and Government Departments were approached to give advice and obtain clearance for safety standards and operational approvals (Tasmanian Forestry Commission, 1985). This approach met with little success until a seminar was held in Tasmania during June 1985. This demonstration dispelled a number of misconceptions that were held about the project.

4

ASHLEY APHID Firelighting System

The Tasmanian-modified ASHLEY APHID firelighting device is designed to dispense ignited gelled petrol in large droplet form at regular intervals (Bennett, 1987).

The unit is designed to:

- (a) give simple operator control with positive flow fuel rate selection;
- (b) give a wide range of fuel delivery rates controlled through adjustments made on the ground;
- (c) comply with Commonwealth Department of Aviation safety requirements;
- (d) operate as a pressure vessel with State Department of Labour and Industry authorisation (Tasmanian Forestry Commission, 1986);
- (e) be carried and operated as a helicopter sling load, which can be jettisoned in an emergency (New Zealand Forestry Service, 1982).

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The Helitorch consists of three major components attached to the fuel storage tank. They are:

- . fuel delivery system;
- . ignition system; and
- . fire extinguishing system.

Fuel Storage Tank

Fuel for the operation is stored in a steel container with a useable capacity of 135 litres. By altering the fuel flow setting, the endurance can be varied from 32 through to 108 minutes.

The fuel is lightly pressurized with an inert gas to prevent an explosive gas build-up and assist with the fuel flow delivery.

Fuel Delivery System

Gelled fuel is drawn from the tank by a positive displacement pump. Any lumps and impurities are filtered out through an in-line strainer.

In flight, the Helitorch technician controls the fuel flow rate by a two-position toggle switch. Further mechanical adjustments are made on the ground. This is done by varying stroke length to deliver from 20 to 49 cubic centimetres of gelled petrol per stroke. A positive one-way mechanical shut-off valve is located near the burner nozzle to prevent fuel from dripping out when the pump is turned off.




FIGURE 2. FUEL STORAGE TANK

Ignition System

The fuel ignition system consists of a propane torch in which the flame is initiated by means of an automotive type ignition system (Bennett, 1987). The burning propane ignites the gelled petrol as it comes through the burner nozzle.




FIGURE 3. BURNER NOZZLE AREA

The Helitorch technician controls the propane and ignition circuit by a master switch on a hand control unit. With continuous usage propane endurance is estimated at 180 minutes for a 0.34 kg (12 oz.) bottle (New Zealand Forest Service, 1982).

FIRE EXTINGUISHING SYSTEM

Several features are built into the system to ensure that maximum safety levels are maintained. They are:

- . burner nozzle extinguisher;
- . automatic jettisoning discharge; and
- . CO₂ pressurized fuel storage.

Burner Nozzle Extinguisher

This system is designed to extinguish the burner at the outlet nozzle during flight.

The technician activates the fire extinguisher by pressing a pushbutton on the control box. With normal usage of about one second per blast, the 3.5 kg CO₂ bottle will last for 5 hours.

Automatic Jettisoning Discharge

Should an emergency arise and the unit need to be jettisoned, the fire extinguisher will automatically discharge. The unit is mechanically activated when the Helitorch is released while the safety line is still attached.

The same action can occur if the safety line becomes tangled during lift-off resulting in the bottle being accidentally discharged. Unfortunately bottles are a non-standard size with filling facilities not readily available in country centres.

CO₂ Pressurized Fuel Storage

After each re-fuelling operation, any air remaining in the tank is dispelled by purging and re-pressurizing the system with carbon dioxide at 24 Kpa. This action prevents explosive gases from building up inside the tank.

FUEL MIXING PROCEDURES

To ensure successful, incident-free operation requires considerable and detailed planning. Safety procedures and standards developed for this operation must be strictly adhered to (Bennett, 1987).

A three-man ground team prepares and loads the gelled fuel. The team consists of a Helipad Marshal, Mixing Operator and Loading Master. The Helipad Marshal is appointed to control the ground activities and to uphold safety standards.

To make the system operationally feasible, the fuel is mixed in a 200 litre open-ended drum, carried in the back of a utility. The gel is made by adding dry gelling powder while the petrol is pumped into the drum. At the same time, the mixture is gently stirred with a plastic paddle for 5 to 10 minutes, until the mixture gels and reaches the correct consistency. The "brew" is then left to set until ready to use.

The "Sure-Fire" gelling agent used is mixed at the rate of 700 gm to 130 litres of straight petrol. As at November 1986, "Sure-Fire" was Can. \$5.50 per lb or Can. \$2.49 per kg excluding freight. "Sure-Fire" is available from H.L. Blanchford Ltd., 2323 Royal Windsor Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, L5J 1K5.



FIGURE 4. MIXING FUEL ON THE BACK OF THE UTILITY

The gelled petrol is transferred from the mixing drum and poured into the Helitorch using 8 litre plastic buckets. The mixing and transfer facilities are the most rudimentary components of the operation system and could be improved.

There are a number of mixing systems available on the market in Canada and the United States. Alternatively the mixing system could be included in the Helitorch itself.



FIGURE 5. TRANSFERRING FUEL IN 8 LITRE BUCKETS

HOOKING UP PROCEDURES

Immediately prior to helicopter arrival and departure, the Helipad Marshal ensures that the helipad area is cleared of non-essential personnel and equipment. Both the Helipad Marshal and the Loading Master must be competent in using standard hand signals to direct the helicopter.

Upon helicopter arrival, the Helipad Marshal directs the pilot to hover over the torch. During this phase the Loading Master must stand beneath the helicopter and hook the lifting strap onto the cargo hook. This task requires a great deal of both skill and nerve.



FIGURE 6. LIFTING STRAP BEING HOOKED TO HELICOPTER

HOOKING UP PROCEDURES

Immediately prior to helicopter arrival and departure, the Helipad Marshal ensures that the helipad area is cleared of non-essential personnel and equipment. Both the Helipad Marshal and the Loading Master must be competent in using standard hand signals to direct the helicopter.

Upon helicopter arrival, the Helipad Marshal directs the pilot to hover over the torch. During this phase the Loading Master must stand beneath the helicopter and hook the lifting strap onto the cargo hook. This task requires a great deal of both skill and nerve.



FIGURE 6. LIFTING STRAP BEING HOOKED TO HELICOPTER

PRODUCTION RATES AND COSTS

Logging slash is most effectively reduced by burning and using a Helitorch. Provisional performance data from Tasmania (Bennett, 1987) reports production rates of 5 to 10 hectares per minute in the larger coupes of 100 hectares plus. This figure compares well with generic production rates calculated of 6.8 hectares per minute. It assumes a flying speed of 55 knots (102 km/hr) and 40 metre strip-width. See Appendix 1 for details of formulae for calculation of production rates.

Productivity can be further increased by increasing either air-speed and/or distances between flight lines. See Figure 7 below for details.




FIGURE 7. GENERIC PRODUCTION RATE

Production Rates

In order to compare ignition costs between the Helitorch and an incendiary machine, it is necessary to make a number of assumptions about the speed at which the helicopter is flown and the strip-width distance between flight lines.

For both operations, 55 knots is considered to be a comfortable, safe speed, well above the 40 knots minimum recommended.

Strip-width distance between flight lines of 40 metres is regarded as optimum for a number of operations requiring an intensive ignition pattern, e.g. regeneration and clearing burns. A widening of strip-width by 10 metres, from 40 to 50 metres, will increase productivity by 25 per cent from 6.8 ha/min to 8.5 ha/min. See Appendix 1 for formulae for calculation of production rates.

The role of the Helitorch technician is to judge fire behaviour and adjust strip-width and airspeed accordingly to obtain maximum productivity.

Costs

Material costs are less than one-third those incurred using incendiary balls. Material costs are 40c per hectare or \$1.63 per line kilometre, assuming a 6.8 hectare/minute production rate, maximum fuel flow rate, fuel costs of 65c per litre and "Sure-Fire" cost of \$6.42 per kilogram.

By comparison, incendiary balls ejected at the maximum speed of one per second, under the same conditions, would cost \$1.49 per hectare or \$6.12 per line kilometre, based on an incendiary ball cost of 17c each. Helicopter costs are about the same for Helitorch and incendiary dropping operations.

POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS

Buffer Zones

The technique has special application for the construction of fuel-reduced buffer zones in heath fuels. Buffer zones could prevent these areas being devastated by a single fire.

Duxbury (1984) reports that fires have been successfully lit during the winter months under the influence of strong wind conditions to form safe wind-driven fires in coastal heaths. Operational trials to simulate these methods using an aircraft with incendiary capsules have been only partially successful.

Part of the problem lies with the vegetative structure of coastal heaths; a lack of continuous ground fuel prevents a ground fire being carried except under conditions of high fire hazard. Gelled petrol on the other hand will stick to and ignite the aerial portion of the heath fuels, thus creating a more continuous and reliable ignition source.

Regeneration and Clearing Burns

The Helitorch will complement the range of fuels that can be ignited by aerial ignition methods. Specifically, each blob of gelled petrol breaks up to form a number of individual sources which will adhere to any fuel. Incendiary balls do not have this advantage and rely heavily on chance to land on suitable fuel for propagating the fire.

The technique is particularly suited to regeneration and clearing burns for generating high intensity fires with a strong central convection column. The convection effect provides a safety measure by keeping sparks and embers inside the burn, while the high intensity fire removes logging debris and produces a competition-free seedbed ready for planting eucalypt or pine seedlings.

By burning under marginal Surface Moisture Content (SMC) conditions, gelled petrol is considered to be a more reliable and effective means of igniting logging slash than incendiary capsules. Secondly, by operating under these lower burning conditions, the risk to adjacent areas is significantly reduced.

5

Discussion

The technique is cost effective and has considerable potential in this State. In particular the Helitorch would be advantageous in the following applications:

- . buffer zone construction in coastal heathlands;
- . logging slash removal for planting seedlings; and
- . lighting regeneration burns.

It is recommended that a Helitorch be used in addition to standard ignition as a firefighting tool in this State. The Department of Conservation and Land Management will benefit from this technique in that it will:

- . produce material cost savings;
- . increase productivity compared to manual methods; and
- . extend the range of fuels that can be ignited by aerial ignition methods.

For these applications the Helitorch is better than incendiary balls because:

- . the number of ignition sources per unit area is greater;
- . gelled petrol adheres to aerial or ground fuels;
- . the ignition source intensity can be varied to suit fuel moisture conditions, by altering the volume of gelled petrol ejected.

Building Helitorch

Recommendation No. 1:

That the Department of Conservation and Land Management design and manufacture its units based on the New Zealand ASHLEY APHID Helitorch design. In order to avoid delays experienced in Tasmania, the unit would be specifically designed and operated to meet the Australian Department of Aviation regulations.

The Tasmanian Forests Commission experienced delays because of operating approval hold-ups from both State and Commonwealth authorities. These delays can be avoided by designing and building the units as part of the aircraft, to aviation standards.

Purchasing a unit from New Zealand is not recommended because several modifications would be required to meet Australian airworthiness standards.

A preliminary cost estimate of manufacturing a unit according to existing plans is \$5,500 each. Two units should be built, to provide a backup system and ensure operational efficiency.

Because the units are able to be jettisoned in an emergency, dropping the only unit would bring the program to a halt. Further, while one unit is being used, a second unit could be refuelled, to improve efficiency and turnaround time during refuelling operations. This will save helicopter waiting time during the turnaround (helicopter costs are \$9 to \$10 per minute).

Fuel Mixing

Fuel mixing facilities used in Tasmania were in the very early stages of development. In the USA and Canada a number of mixing systems have been developed and are available on the market.

Recommendation No. 2:

That a high priority be placed on locating and purchasing a suitable mixing system to complement the "Red Dragon" Helitorch.

Or, alternatively, that the mixing facility be incorporated inside the Helitorch. The feasibility of this option is being investigated.

Training

The importance of safety in fuel handling combined with helicopter safety requirements cannot be overstressed. Safety procedures and standards already developed must be strictly adhered to (Bennett, 1987).

Recommendation No. 3:

That a nationally recognised training course be developed for training Helipad Marshals, Loading Masters, Mixing Crews and Helitorch Technicians.

6

Conclusions

1. Helitorch operations would provide an additional fire-lighting tool, extending the existing range of fuels that can be ignited by aerial ignition methods. The technique will benefit this Department by increasing productivity over manual methods.
2. The development of Helitorch operations will require the training of manpower resources in a number of new skills.
3. There is a cheaper, simpler and less sophisticated way of igniting fuels and that is by hand; however, the method is not as effective and is considered hazardous to ground crews where access and terrain are difficult.
4. The existing skills of hand lighting would not be rendered obsolete by the development of this project. Hand lighting is still required for small areas with easy access.
5. The preliminary work for this project has already been carried out in Tasmania over the last three years, and CALM can build on that experience.

Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to the Tasmanian Forestry Commission staff for their hospitality and for granting the author the opportunity to study and operate the Helitorch. A special thanks to Peter Bennett and Tim Rudman who provided the author with the operational training.

References

Bennett, P. 1987. *Red Dragon Aerial Driptorch: Operations Maintenance Repair Manual*, Tasmanian Forestry Commission.

Gellie, N. 1983. *Evaluation of Ignition and Field Operations of Laser Ignition Device*, Tasmanian Forestry Commission.

Hildreth, G. W. 1985. "Aerial Ignition Device", *Fire Management Notes*, Volume 46, Number 3, 1985, pp. 22-23, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

The History of the Aphid Helitorch, compiled from correspondence and notes on File F.M.2-9078, Tasmanian Forestry Commission, May 1985 to March 1987.

Anonymous, 1983. *Operating and Instruction Manual: Ashley Aphid Firelighters*, New Zealand Forest Service, March 1983.

Anonymous, 1982. *New Zealand Forest Service Operating and Servicing Manual: Ashley Aphid Fire Lighter*, New Zealand Forest Service (October 1982).

Duxbury, G. 1984. *Winter Burning Programme in Heath Country: Fitzgerald River National Park*. National Parks Authority of Western Australia.

Forests Department of Western Australia, 1979 to 1984. *Annual Report 1979, 1984*.

Department of Conservation and Land Management, WA. 1985. *Annual Report 1985*.

9

Appendix

Formulae for Calculation of Production Rates

1. To convert knots to metres per minute to determine generic speed:

$$\text{kilometres per minute} = (\text{KNTS} - \text{CONV}) - \text{TIME}$$

where

KNTS	=	nautical miles per hour
CONV	=	to change KNTS to KPH 0.539553
TIME	=	60 minutes.

$$\text{Metres per minute} = \text{KM PM} \times \text{M}$$

where

KM PM	=	kilometers per minute
M	=	1000

2. To convert metres per minute and flight line strip-width to generic production rate in hectares per minute:

$$\text{HA PM} = (\text{MTPM} \times \text{STWH}) - 10\ 000$$

where

HA PM	=	hectares per minute
ST WH	=	flight line strip-width in metres