

DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE WESTERN AUSTRALIA



# REPORT Nº 50 R

Published by the Director of Fisheries and Wildlife, Perth, under the authority of the Hon. Minister for Fisheries and Wildlife

Feasibility Trawling
Activities in the
Great Australian Bight
by the Korean Trawlers
Dong Bang 91 and
Dong Won 509
during the period
15.11.79 to 12.1.80

BY

MICHAEL H. WALKER STUART J. BLIGHT AND

GERALD M. CLIFF

1982

PERTH
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

## Department of Fisheries and Wildlife

108 Adelaide Terrace PERTH

REPORT NO. 50

FEASIBILITY TRAWLING ACTIVITIES IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT BY THE KOREAN TRAWLERS Dong Bang 91 AND Dong Won 509 DURING THE PERIOD 15.11.79 TO 12.1.80.

BY

MICHAEL H. WALKER STUART J. BLIGHT GERALD M. CLIFF

## CONTENTS

			PAGE
	ABS	TRACT	6
1	INT	RODUCTION	7
11	MET	HODS	7
	Α.	DATA COLLECTION	7
	В.	DATA ANALYSIS	8
III	RES	ULTS	9
	Α.	VESSEL DESCRIPTION	9
	В.	CREW	9
	С.	VESSEL OPERATION	10
	D.	NET DAMAGE	10
	Ε.	NET SPECIFICATIONS	10
	F.	OPERATION OF LOG SHEETS	11
	G.	SPECIES RETAINED AND THE GRADES USED FOR THEM	13
	Н.	TRASHING ABOARD VESSEL	13
	I.	CATCHES MADE	13
ΙV	DIS	CUSSION	14
٧	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTS	16
VΙ	REF	ERENCES	17

## TABLES

		PAGE
1.	NUMBERS AND MEAN WEIGHT PER PAN FOR SPECIES RETAINED BY KOREAN VESSELS IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	18
2.	VESSEL SPECIFICATIONS Dong Bang 71 AND Dong Won 509.	19
3.	OTTER TRAWL NET SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE KOREAN VESSELS Dong Bang 91 AND Dong Won 509 IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	20
4.	SPECIES AND THEIR CONDITION SAVED BY KOREAN VESSELS IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	21
5.	SPECIES AND GRADE GROUPINGS USED IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT BY Dong Bang 91.	22
6.	FISH SPECIES AND SIZE TRASHED BY THE KOREAN TRAWLERS Dong Bang 91 AND Dong Won 509 FOR 7 TRAWLS IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	23
7.	DAILY CATCHES IN PANS, BY SPECIES FOR $\theta$ ong $\theta$ ang $\theta$ 1 IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	24
8.	DAILY CATCHES IN PANS, BY SPECIES FOR $Dong$ $Won$ 509 IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	30
9.	THE SPECIES COMPOSITION OF CATCHES MADE BY THE KOREAN TRAWLERS Dong Bang 91 AND Dong Won 509 IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT, FROM 15.11.79 TO 12.1.80 IN TERMS OF NUMBERS OF PANS, PERCENTAGE COMPOSITION, WEIGHT (kg). RATINGS FROM 1 TO 20 ARE ALSO GIVEN.	34
10.	TOTAL CATCH (IN CARTONS) Dong Bang 91 IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT ACCORDING TO GRADE.	35
11.	TOTAL CATCH (IN CARTONS) Dong Won 509 IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT ACCORDING TO GRADE.	36
12.	UTILIZATION OF CATCH, BY SPECIES, RETAINED BY Dong Won 509 IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	37
13.	COMPARISON OF CATCH, EFFORT AND CATCH RATES OF MOST VESSELS TO FISH IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT, SELECTED FOR THE MONTHS OF NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER AND OVERALL TOTAL TRAWLING ACTIVITIES.	38

## FIGURES

		PAGE
1.	BRIDGE LAYOUTS Dong Bang 91 AND Dong Won 509.	39
2.	OTTER TRAWLS NET PLAN Dong Bang 91, AS USED IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	41
3.	OTTER TRAWL NET PLAN Dong Won 509, AS USED IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	42
4.	GROUND ROPE AND HEAD ROPE PLAN $\theta$ ong $\theta$ ang $91$ , as used in the great Australian Bight.	43
5.	GROUND ROPE AND HEAD ROPE PLAN Dong Won 509 AS USED IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	44
6.	CATCH RATE (kg/hr) AND TOTAL CATCH (kg) BY $\frac{1}{2}$ DEGREE GRID SQUARE - KOREAN VESSELS NOVEMBER 1979.	45
7.	CATCH RATE (kg/hr) AND TOTAL CATCH (kg) BY $\frac{1}{2}$ DEGREE GRID SQUARE - B.U.T. VESSELS NOVEMBER 1978.	46
8,	CATCH RATE (kg/hr) AND TOTAL CATCH (kg) BY $\frac{1}{2}$ DEGREE GRID SQUARE - KOREAN VESSELS DECEMBER 1979.	47
9.	CATCH RATE (kg/hr) AND TOTAL CATCH (Kg) BY 1 DEGREE GRID SQUARE - B.U.T. VESSELS DECEMBER 1978.	48

## PLATES

1.	Dong Bang 91 •	49
2.	Dong Won 509	49
3.	VIEW FROM STERN TO BRIDGE, SHOWING HATCH THROUGH WHICH FISH ARE DROPPED TO FACTORY DECK BELOW.	50
4.	VIEW AFT, SHOWING HAULING, OTTER BOARDS BEING MADE FAST.	50
5.	VIEW AFT, SHOWING NET BEING HAULED ABOARD.	51
6.	SORTING TABLE IN FACTORY.	51
7.	CONVEYER SYSTEM FROM SORTING TABLE TO FREEZING ROOM.	52
8.	STACKING BOXES INTO THE HOLD.	52

## APPENDICES

1.	INSTRUCTIONS COVERING WORK ABOARD VESSELS "KOREAN" IN GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT.	53
2.	DAILY TRAWLING LOG - SOUTHERN FISH SPECIES.	56
3.	TRAWLING LOG - SOUTHERN SPECIES BY GRADE.	57
4.	FAMILY AND SCIENTIFIC NAMES RECONCILED.	5.8

Dept. Fish. Wildl West. Aust. Rept. No. 50, 1982, 1-59

FEASIBILITY TRAWLING ACTIVITIES IN THE GREAT AUSTRALIAN BIGHT BY THE KOREAN TRAWLERS Dong Bang 91 AND Dong Won 509 DURING THE PERIOD 15.11.79 TO 12.1.80

Michael H. Walker, Stuart J. Blight and Gerald M. Cliff Western Australian Marine Research Laboratories, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, P.O. Box 20, North Beach, Western Australia, 6020.

#### ABSTRACT

Trawling activities in the Great Australian Bight by two Korean trawlers Dong Bang 91 and Dong Won 509 in the period 15.11.79 to 12.1.80 are reported. Vessels were issued with, and required to complete on a daily basis, research catch and effort log sheets. Observers were placed abourd vessels to assist in log book completion, species identification of the catch and to make reports on: vessel specifications, vessels mode of operation, fishing gear specifications, the fishing operation, completion of log sheets, trashing and retention of fish species on board, catches made, the condition of catch retained and the grading of the catch. These items are reported.

Comments are made upon the completion of the catch and effort log sheet by the vessels. Catches are summarized on a daily basis, according to species and overall according to the Korean commercial grades of species retained. The eventual utilization of the retained catch of one vessel is also given.

Catches and catch rates made by these vessels are also summarized by haif degree grid squares for the months of November and December and compared with the British United Trawlers (BUT) Othello, Orsino and Cassio for the same time of year twelve months earlier. The catch rates made are also compared with most other vessels to fish the Great Australian Bight. The Korean vessels achieved slightly better catch rates than the BUT vessels, for the same months and other vessels to fish generally in the Great Australian Bight. This is discussed.

The most important species retained by the Koreans in order of importance were: deep water flathead, ray/stingaree, red snapper, latchet, squid, Jack mackerel, boarfish, knife jaw, jackass fish, gurnard perch, swallow tail, shark, gemfish, queen snapper, ruby fish, red gurnard, veilfin, leatherjacket, trevally and John dory.

### INTRODUCTION

Four Korean fishing trawlers operated in Western Australian waters, largely on the Northwest shelf, during 1979 under State/Commonwealth feasibility fishing arrangments. Two of these vessels,  $\theta$  and  $\theta$  and  $\theta$  and  $\theta$  and  $\theta$  and  $\theta$  are given permission by Government, late in 1979, to conduct demersal trawling operations in the Great Australian Bight between longitudes 116 E to 138 E and outside of 12 miles from shore.

Two observers from the Western Australian Department of Fisheries and Wildlife were involved with monitoring the activities of these vessels at sea in the Bight.

This report describes the operations of these Korean vessels in detail and represents the fishing results they obtained in the Bight, along with an assessment of such results, as a further contribution to the understanding of the demersal fish resources of the Great Australian Bight and how these resources might ultimately be utilized for Western Australia's and Australia's benefit.

It is not the intention of the report to comment on the economic success or otherwise of catch rates made by the Korean vessels or their relevance to the Australian industry. Opinions will depend on the criteria used for assessment by different sections of the fishing industry.

### II METHODS

#### A. DATA COLLECTION

Wong Won 509 departed Fremantle for the Great Australian Bight on 13.11.79. She commenced fishing on 15.11.79, ceased operations in the Bight on 20.12.79, and arrived back in Fremantle on 23.12.79. Wong Bang 91 departed from Fremantle on 15.11.79, commenced fishing on 16.11.79 and returned to Fremantle on 15.1.80. This vessel spent the period 2.1.80-7.1.80 travelling to and from the Bight fishing grounds for refuelling at Albany.

Two observers from the Western Australian Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Mr S. Blight and Mr M. Cliff, sailed aboard  $\theta$  ong  $\theta$  ang  $\theta$  on the 15.11.79 for the Bight fishing grounds. Mr S. Blight transferred at sea to  $\theta$  ong  $\theta$  on  $\theta$  to assist with log book completion, species identification of the catch, and to observe the fishing operation. Mr M. Cliff transferred to  $\theta$  ong  $\theta$  on  $\theta$  to commence biological sampling and examination of the catch. Observers followed written instructions as to sea duties and expected tasks (Appendix 1).

The vessels completed a daily trawling log for southern fish species (Appendix 2). The basic information sought by this log sheet was position and time interval of trawl (shot), depth, net type and catch according to species. The unit of retained catch was a pan, approximately 11 kg in weight (mean weight for all species 10.84 kg). Numbers

of fish landed and average pan weights per species are shown (Table 1). A separate grading sheet (Appendix 3) was provided as fish were graded routinely. This sheet collected grade information by shot for each species retained.

#### B. DATA ANALYSIS

Collected log book data recorded on a shot basis were grouped for catch (in pans) and effort (in hours) according to date. Mean pan weights were determined for each species as well as an overall mean pan weight. Where a mean pan weight was available for a particular species it was used to convert species composition data from number of pans to weight in kg. (i.e. Table 9). Where this was not available the overall mean pan weight of 10.84 was used. Also when catch and effort data was allocated to grid squares (Figures 6, 7, 8 and 9) the overall mean pan weight value was used.

Historical catch and effort data from the Great Australian Bight for the vessels F.J.S. Endeavour, S.I. Bonthorpe, S.I.Ben. Deang and S.I. Committed was taken from Houston (1954) and for the Southern Endeavour from Kesteven and Stark (1967). Catch data in these papers was provided in the imperial measures of 1b and cwt. They were converted to kg by use of the conversion factor wt (in kg) = wt (in 1b) x 0.4536.

Catch and effort data for the Saxon vessels *Onward*, *Progress* and *Ranger*; *Miss Boomerang*; were taken from W.A. Department of Fisheries and Wildlife data, records and files (all unpublished). It is planned that such data be published in the future. Catch and effort data from the British United Trawlers operation is being prepared for publication.

Effort data available for the Saxon vessels is known to have been underestimated, however, catch data is reasonably accurate. Future analysis of catch and effort data recently located for one of the vessels may allow a better understanding of catch and effort data from these vessels. It was decided to present the data provided, with the comment that the effort was underestimated, rather than omitting it altogether. The location of catch and effort data for one vessel will allow the future determination of accurate catch and effort figures for that vessel and will indicate the level of adjustment necessary to correct effort figures for the other two vessels.

In addition to catch and effort data being combined for all a vessel's operations, catch and effort data, where available, were grouped according to the months of November, December and January for Southern Endeavour, Miss Boomerang, and the BUT vessels Othello, Orsino and Cassio for direct

catch rate comparison by grid square with the two Korean vessels. However, only the months of November and December were used for comparison as only 8 days fishing occurred for January by Bang - 91.

### III RESULTS

#### A. VESSEL DESCRIPTION

Specifications of  $Dong\ Bang\ 91$  (Plate 1) and  $Dong\ Won\ 509$  (Plate 2) are given (Table 2). The layout of the bridge for each vessel is shown (Figures 1a and 1b).

#### B. CREW

Dong Bang 91 had a crew of 29 men which operated as two watches which changed at 0100, 0700, 1300 and 1900 hrs.

The watches were composed of:

1st engineer
Oiler
Winch operator
6 deckhands
Factory manager 1
Chief officer
2nd officer

2nd engineer
Oiler
Winch operator
6 deckhands
Factory manager 2
Night cook/factory hand
1st officer
3rd officer

The Captain, Radio operator, Chief engineer and Cook were not on set watches.

Dong Won 509 had a crew of 30 men divided into two watches, which changed at 0100, 0700, 1300 and 1900 hrs.

The watches were composed of:

1st engineer
Refrigeration engineer
Electrical engineer
(who also acted as
No. 2 Factory manager)
No. 1 Oiler (Winch man)
6 crew
Chief officer
3rd officer\*

2nd engineer 3rd engineer No. 2 Oiler (also Winch man)

No. 1 Oiler
Factory manager
6 crew
2nd officer
3rd officer\*

The Captain, Radio operator, Chief engineer, Cook and Galley boy were not on set watches.

<sup>\*</sup> There were two 3rd Officers on Dong Won 509

#### C. VESSEL OPERATION

Dong Bang 91 and Dong Won 509 were stern trawlers capable, with the amount of warp they carried, of fishing to a depth of 200 metres. Both vessels were basically bottom trawlers as they carried no pelagic/midwater gear.

The trawl net was hauled up the stern ramp and the catch was emptied through a hatch in the deck to the deck below (the factory deck) (Plates 3,4 and 5). The catch landed on a steel sorting table (Plate 6). Once the net was shot away the deck crew went below and commenced sorting the catch into species. Fish of the same species and similar size were packed into metal pans which contained about 11 The number of fish per pan varied according to species and size (Table 1). The pans were then placed onto a conveyor and transferred forward to the plate freezers, where they were placed for freezing (Plate 7). When the freezing process was complete, after about 3 hours, the frozen blocks of fish were separated from the pan, via a water bath, placed individually into a plastic bag and then packed two at a time into a cardboard carton. Cartons were banded with tape and labelled as to species and grade. The numbers of pans were noted by the Factory Manager whilst they were on the conveyor between the sorting table and the plate freezers (Plate 7). A grade was assigned to each pan depending upon size and species (Tables 4 and 5). The numbers and grades of cartons were recorded as they were stowed in the hold (Plate 8).

A catch of about 1 tonne took of the order of  $1-1\frac{1}{2}$  hours to sort, pack and stack into the frezers. It took about 15 minutes to shoot a trawl in 100 metres of water. Hauling time depended upon the depth of setting and the catch. An average hauling time was 20 minutes. Large catches i.e. about 10 tonne were difficult for both Korean vessels to haul, as their winches had about 10 tonne capacity. A catch of this order took in excess of an hour to haul. The vessels were restricted to fishing in waters less than 200 m because of the amount of warp they carried. Thus their operations were concentrated at the edge of the shelf above the 200 m contour.

#### D. NET DAMAGE

When the net was found to be damaged it was either repaired by rejoining the broken meshes or by replacing the damaged section with a new piece or panel of net. If the net was so badly torn or damaged that it needed major repairs it was changed for another net, a procedure which took about 20 minutes.

#### E. NET SPECIFICATIONS

Net specifications for Dong Bang 91 and Dong Won 509 are shown (Table 3, Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5).

#### F. OPERATIONS OF LOG SHEETS

#### (1) General

The southern trawl log (Appendix 2) was used to record catch and effort. The log recorded total catch, according to species, by shot. Vessels recorded catches also by grade (Appendix 3). Different species were often mixed together in the same pan, especially when they looked similar in appearance. e.g. latchet with red gurnard; thetis fish with all gurnard perch species; all shark species; gemfish with barracouta; and red snapper with nannygai and large swallow (Common names are reconciled with scientific names (Appendix 4)). Logs were always kept on the bridge and were completed by the 2nd and 3rd officers, usually at conclusion of the shot. Grading sheets were completed at the end of the shot or the day. Fish tallies were determined in the factory, by the Factory Manager or his deputy and brought to the bridge, written on a piece of paper, for inclusion in the log. To avoid misidentification, polaroid photographs were taken of each commercial fish species, to which was assigned a number. All catches were recorded in the factory by number then converted on the bridge from numbers to common names. It was normal practice for the crew of Korean vessels to refer to a fish species by number.

Two additional different log books were completed on the bridge, one for the Korean Fishing Company, to whom the vessel belonged, and one for the Korean Fisheries Department.

An item by item analysis of the daily trawling log sheet (Appendix 2) follows. Comment is also passed upon inconsistencies and short-comings in logsheet completion.

#### (2) Trawl details

- (i) Time commenced fishing and commenced hauling was recorded in western standard time.
- (ii) Position commenced fishing and commenced hauling. Where it was possible radar was used to determine position. However, as usually the vessel was too far offshore to utilize radar, dead reckoning was used to estimate position. At least once a day celestial navigation using a sextant was used to accurately fix position. When this occurred the vessel was usually found to be in a different position to that computed by dead reckoning. This was the result of a cumulative error resulting from the use of a compass course and lapse time to determine the vessel's position.

- (iii) Fishing time was the difference between the shooting and hauling time.
- (iv) The average course was the average compass course during the trawl.
- (v) Fishing depth range. This was either the variation in depth from least to greatest for a trawl or the depth at the start and the finish of the trawl. The usual fishing strategy was to follow a depth contour thus there was only a small variation in depth for a trawl.
- (vi) Bottom type. This was determined from the apparent configuration of the sea bed, as observed on the echo sounder(s) during the course of the trawl and by examination of the material brought up in the net.
- (vii) Wind. Wind force according to the Beaufort scale of 0-12, along with its compass direction was recorded for each trawl.
- (viii) Total height of sea and swell was recorded according to an international set of standards, by number. This was often also recorded in the ship's log.
- (ix) Barometer reading was recorded in millibars.
- (x) Net type. The type of net used for each trawl was entered.
- (xi) Mesh size. The mesh size of the cod end was usually listed. This was recorded in millimetres.
- (xii) Stretched head-rope length was entered, recorded in metres.
- (xiii) Remarks included notes as to damage to gear, along with total catch estimates, surface temperatures and general comments.
- (xiv) Species caught were entered under the appropriate English common name and assigned code. The unit was a pan approximately 11 kg in weight. Species not listed on log sheet were written under 'others' along with a determined common name. As mentioned, transcription of these data occurred on the bridge into the log book from a piece of paper brought up from the factory and in some cases species of similar appearance were combined.
- (3) Number of trawls per sheet

Sometimes more than 8 trawls were conducted in a day. As the daily log sheet only allowed for a maximum of 8 trawls, two log sheets had to be used for one day. As many as 11 trawls were conducted during a day.

#### G. SPECIES RETAINED AND GRADES USED FOR THEM

The species retained (common name) and the condition in which they were saved is shown (Table 4). Species were also grouped according to a number from 1 to 8, depending upon general body shape and size, e.g. all small fish such as swallowtail, ruby fish and jack mackerel were known as number 3. Table 5 shows the species grouping (1-8) and the numbers of fish which were assigned to a particular grade. Grade categories were generally: extra large (LL), large (L), medium (M), medium small (S), small (2S) and very small (3S). If fish were just oversize, then the tail was often cut and bent underneath so as to fit into the pan. The majority of species were retained whole. The species common names used by the vessels on log sheets, etc. were reconciled with appropriate scientific names and the family(ies) to which they belong. (Appendix 4).

#### H. TRASHING ABOARD THE VESSEL

Generally the fish trashed by the Koreans were small fish unsuitable for processing because of their size. Some of the larger fish species which were always trashed were: porcupine fish, large shark, ringed toadfish, and fiddler shark. Leather-jacket were initially retained but later were trashed when it was realized that it took considerable time to head, gut and skin these fish, as required by the Korean market. Specific trash details from an analysis of 7 shots, in the period 20.11.79 to 17.12.79, are shown (Table 6). Total catch is given along with: the catch retained, weight of sponge, etc. (not fish); and the weight of fish trashed.

#### CATCHES MADE

Catch species composition and fishing effort for Dong Bang 91 and Dong Won 509, on a daily basis, is shown (Tables 7 and 8).

Catch species composition, on a trip basis, for both vessels individually and together are given (Table 9) in terms of percentage species composition and order of importance rated from 1-20. The most important species overall were deep water flathead (31.3% of the catch), stingarees (12.0%), red snapper (10.8%), latchet (10.0%), squid (7.7%), Jack mackerel (5.4%), spotted boarfish (5.2%), knife jaw (3.4%), jackass (2.6%) and gurnard perch (2.5%).

Dong Bang 91 caught significantly more deep water flathead than Dong Won 509 (40.6% compared to 20.0%). The composition in terms of other species, was similar for the two vessels with only minor differences being observed e.g. red snapper (12.0%) compared to 9.4%).

The species composition of the entire retained catch made by Dong Bang 91 by grade is given (Table 10) and Dong Won 509 (Table 11).

Dong Bang 91 in the period 16.11.79 to 12.1.80 conducted 374 trawls, for a fishing time of 819.54 hours and made a total catch of 20 184 pans (218 795 kg). The overall catch rate for this vessel was 267 kg/hr. Dong Won 509 in the period 15.11.79 to 20.12.79 conducted 318 trawls, for a fishing time of 572.21 hours and made a total catch of 16 642 pans (180 399 kg). The overall catch rate for Dong Won 509 was 315 kg/hr.

The utilization of the catch retained by  $Dong\ Won\ 509$  is shown (Table 12). The following species were processed in Perth and sold commercially through retail outlets such as supermarkets: jackass fish, red snapper, nannygai, deep water flathead, knife jaw, black spot boarfish, yellow spotted boarfish, queen snapper, hapuku, John dory, Australian tusk, pink snapper, moonlighter and veilfin. Large latchet and red gurnard were processed and sold commercially within W.A. Smaller specimens were sold as bait. Ruby fish, Jack mackerel, and swallow tail were utilized as bait. Some trevally was processed and sold within W.A. and some sent to Malaysia for canning, as was all the blue mackerel. Squid and cuttlefish went to Korea with the vessel and was then exported to Japan. Warehou, stingaree and some shark went to Korea with the There is no market in Australia for stingaree or vessel. stingray flaps. Some shark, all the Sergeant Baker, elephant shark and gurnard perch, although retained by Dong Won 509, were dumped as they were assessed as having no commercial value. Barracouta and gemfish were also dumped as they were of poor quality, being either badly damaged in the trawl or during processing.

Catch rates of the Korean trawlers were compared with other vessels to fish in the Great Australian Bight including the British United Trawlers Othello, Onsino and Cassio (Table 13). The Korean vessels obtained better catch rates for November and December than any vessel to fish the Bight area, including the British United Trawlers. Overall catch rates of 267 kg/hr for Ong Bang 91 and 315 kg/hr for Ong Won 509 were similar to overall demersal catch rates for Othello, Onsino and Cassio (308, 323 and 282 kg/hour respectively).

The catch, converted to kg, and effort in hours is shown for both vessels combined for the months of November and December according to  $\frac{1}{2}$  degree grid square (Figures 6 and 8). Similar results obtained by the three British United Trawlers combined for the same months are shown (Figures 7 and 9).

### IV DISCUSSION

The most important species caught and retained by the Koreans, in order of importance were: deep water flathead, ray/stingaree, red snapper, latchet, squid, Jack mackerel, boarfish, knife jaw, jackass fish, gurnard perch, swallow tail, shark, gemfish, queen snapper, ruby fish, red gurnard, veilfin, leatherjacket,

trevally and John dory. Small fish, too small for processing, were generally trashed as were porcupine fish, large sharks, toadfish and fiddler sharks. Leatherjackets were originally retained, being headed, gutted and skinned, as required for the Korean market. After a period, however, it was decided that to process leatherjacket to the state required, was too time consuming, and they were thenceforth trashed.

All species, when retained, were sorted according to species and size and were placed into metal pans, which were assigned a grade depending upon the size, number and shape of the fish concerned. Most species were retained whole, with larger fish being dressed i.e. headed and gutted or headed, gutted and tailed. Tails were often cut and bent underneath or removed entirely so as to fit the pan, which contained on the average 10.84 kg of fish.

It is difficult to compare this Korean based operation with an Australian market orientated one such as the British United Trawlers/Southern Ocean Fish Processors operation. However, it is clear that the Korean vessels retained more species than would be anticipated by Australian vessels, which for example would not retain ray/stingaree. Ray/stingaree were second, in order of importance, in Korean catches. It was considered that each operation must be taken in terms of the catch retained as observed/reported, for each operation retains different species. Also different vessels even within the same nationality group or operation are not entirely consistent in their trashing or retention techniques. No attempt was therefore made to compare the catch data after removal of such species.

The Korean fishing technique/pattern was a little different to that of the British United Trawlers (BUT) in that the Koreans fished slightly shallower water. The result of this can be seen in predominant catches of deep water flathead and a lesser importance of jackass fish, when the species composition of Korean and BUT catches are compared. Deep water flathead was the most important species for both Korean vessels and jackass fish rated 7th and 12th,, and 9th overall. Deep water flathead was rated 5th in order of importance and jackass fish 3rd for BUT demersal catches. As indicated in the order of importance of jackass fish for both Korean vessels, i.e. 7th and 12th, even vessels of the same nationality can produce/encounter a different species composition in their catches (Table 9). This can be attributed to the ability of the skipper and crew in rigging nets, the ability of the skipper to select trawling bottom, and the difference in the capability of the vessel, i.e. power, speed, etc. For the same reasons differences in catch rates between similar fishing vessels of the same nationality can occur (Table 13).

17837—4

Comparison of catch rates for the months of November and December for the Korean vessels and the BUT vessels each combined according to nationality (Figures 6, 7, 8 and 9) reveal, as stated, that the Korean vessels overall fished in shallower water than BUT vessels and that when both sets of vessels fished in the same half degree blocks similar catch rates were obtained. Generally the shallower blocks fished by the Koreans, but not by BUT, gave the best catch rates (Figure 6 and 8). Comparisons between the two groups of vessels may not be valid, as mentioned, as the Koreans retained several species not retained by the BUT vessels. Both sets of catch rates, however, are of value as they provide an indication of what might be expected from fishing with trawlers of differing size similar to the Korean or BUT vessels, for the months of November and December, according to fishing area by  $\frac{1}{2}$ degree grid square for the Great Australian Bight.

Comparison of overall catch rates for all vessels to fish in the Great Australian Bight (Table 13) reveal that the Korean vessels Dong Bang 91 and Dong Won 509 attained catch rates of the same magnitude or slightly better than those attained by the British United Trawlers Othello, Unsino and Cassio. reasons, previously outlined, catch rates were not adjusted to accommodate the different catch retention patterns of the vessels. The retention of rays and stingarees by the Koreans (2nd in order of importance in retained catches), which were trashed by BUT vessels, would have accounted for some of the difference but not all. Thus it would appear that the Korean vessels managed to achieve slightly better catch rates than achieved by the British United Trawlers for the same time of year i.e. November and December. The same impression is obtained if mean catch rates per day on the ground are compared rather than mean catch rate per hours trawling (Table 13).

The Korean vessels showed an improvement in catch rate on other vessels to fish the Great Australian Bight (Table 13). An increase in efficiency is apparent, which is expected, with the improvement in vessels and gear with time. Direct comparisons are difficult because of the different retention patterns, gear, fishing power, etc. of the different vessels.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dong Bang Fisheries and Dong Won Fisheries of Korea and their Australian partners Lombardo Marine Group and Kailis and France for co-operation received in the collection of data. The special assistance of Mr Tony Gibson of Lombardo and Mr Colin Muir of Kailis and France is acknowledged.

Funds for this work were provided by the Fisheries Division, Department of Primary Industry, Canberra and the Western Australian Department of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Mrs Maureen Isaacs is thanked for assistance given in preparation of this report and Dr D.A. Hancock for criticism of the manuscript.

## VI REFERENCES

- Houston, T.W. (1954). Commercial trawling tests in the Great Australian Bight, 1949-1952. C.S.J.R.O., Div. Fish., Tech. Pap., 2, 18pp.
- Kesteven, G.L. and Stark, A.E. (1967). Demersal fish stocks of the Great Australian Bight as estimated from the results of operations of F.V. Southern Endeavour. C.S.J.R.O., Div. Fish., Tech. Pap., 24. 62 pp.

Numbers and mean weight per pan for species retained by Korean vessels in the Great Australian Bight. TABLE 1:

		Number	r per pan			Weight	per pan	(kg)
Species Name	Mean	E	SD	Range	Mean	u	SD	Range
10 mg 2 mg	~	+-1			10.95	₽		
riked dogitsii	2,33	۱۳		2-3	11.70	9	0.92	65-12.
Diack Stinglay	14.95	21	3.60	10-22	11.86	20	1.56	-1
Mide Scingaree Anotrolion theb	8.50	- 5		8-9	11.49	2		31 - 11.
Buscialian cask Bisht sodfish	10.50	30	0.78	9-12	10.57	30	0.46	87-11.
Digit icurion Swallow tail	99	· m	5.29	07-09		m	0.43	10.67-11.71
	<u></u>	<del>,  </del>			∞.	П		
Veilfin	18	⊣			11.47	⊣		
Gurnard perch						:	,	,
(3 species)	29.64	14	5.62	19-38	+	14	0.59	9.93-11.84
CO OFFICERORY	23	<b>.</b>			₹.	1		
ned guillain Dass mater flathead	10.69	48	3,52	5-20	10.92	48	06.0	•
Deep warei itariicau	1	· _	∞.	6-17	11.09	7		10.42-11.63
Irevally Took mockers!	133.5	7		120-150	•	24	0.51	10.63-12.37
Jack illackerer Diskiffick	2.621	-			11.41	П		
Dlack and boarfich	24.67	ı m	7	22-27	•	m	0.10	.55-10.
Black Spor Boarrism	7.17	24		5-1	10.96	24	0.76	-13.0
Vaifo lan	14.67	15	. 2	10-21	11.18	15	•	.97-12.1
NILLE CON	16	∞	ς,	14 - 18	11.03	∞	0.56	10.62-12.35
Ocea Dicam	7	₹-1			11.87	⊣		
Queen snapper	7.5	2		7–8	10.30	2		
Genil I Su I oat koriasikat	42.8	ıν	11.39	- 1	11.21	5	0.48	.61-11.
nearmer jacker	21.8	7	4.9.7	18-29	.5	5	0.28	11.37-12.07
ָלָלָהָ קלילים קלילים		Меап пап	weight al	1 species 10	10.84 kg.			
		1	werbite at	)	D			

## TABLE 2 Vessel specifications Dong Bang 91 and Dong Won 509.

#### Dong Bang 91

Built: Type: Reg. No. Call sign: Overall Length: Beam: Depth: Gross tonnage: Nett tonnage: Crew capacity: Fish hold storage: Refrigeration storage: Working space: Fuel Oil: Lubrication oil: Fresh water: Max. speed: Helm: CP Box: Trawl winch: Windlass: Cargo winch (1) Main engine: Auxillary:

Main engine:
Auxillary:
Generators
Refrigeration compressor
Propeller:

Propeller: Surface water temp. Main radar:

Gyro compass:

Main Echo Sounder (2):

Net sounder:
Main echo sounder (1):

Facsimile:
2 MHZ RDF:

2 MHZ RDF: 27 MHZ RDF: LORAN C: LORAN C: Marine vane:

Aux. radar:

VHF radios: (i)

VHF TAGIOS: (1)

Main transmitter: Aux. transmitter: Freq. range:

Freq. range:
Main receiver:
Aux. receiver:
2MHZ transceiver:

Direction finder: Magnetic compass: Niigata Iron Works, Japan 1970 Deep water stern trawler M.U.F. 11798 (Mukho, Korea) 6MGO 51.71 m 8.80 m

51.71 m 8.80 m 5.66 m 350.53T 166.50T 29 men

321.09  $\rm m^3$  Trawl usage 6.2T/day 23.36  $\rm m^3$  (can produce 1.0  $\rm m^3/day$ ) 13.0 knots approximately Gylot, Tokoyo Keiki GLT 103

10T x 80 m/min (central winch 20T at 40 m/min.)

Niigata GMC31EZ 2000 PS Niigata 6 cyl. 200 PS x 2 Niigata 6 cyl. 160 KVA x 2 Mitsubishi x 2 Ammonia compressors MA-8-N2

Gauge readout

Furuno FRC 100-44 Series 842, max. range 100 mile Kyoritsu Marine Radar Indicator Unit, Type MCL 3812D No. 255, max. range 100 mile

Oki Kaiyo New Televigraph freq. 28, 75 KHZ 800 m range Furuno FNR 4R-70156 Sanken New Televigraph TL32 21161 freq. 28, 75 KHZ 800 m range Koden FX 753

Koden LR 730 370303

(i) Furuno 27-28MHZ DR 1-3 1 watt freq. 27.821, 27.837, 27.885, 27.901 MHZ, range approx. 40 miles.

(ii) Oki Kaiyo TRS 25 cm SSB freq. 27.0225, 27.0545, 27.2745, 27.2345, 27.3625, 27.4585, 27.4665, 27.3785 MHZ.

(iii) TR 6213 DSB 150 MHZ 158.17, 158.57, 159.21. range approx. 10 miles. Main transmitter and receiver 'Anritsu' TKO9B

410KC - 22 MHZ

SSB 'Anritsu' 50 SS12A freq. 2182, 2199.5, 2320.5, 2639.5

2-5 MHZ RDF Koden KS 500 T165 BF Osaka Nunotaki, Seiki Co. Ltd. Dong Won 509

Yamanish Shipbuilding Company, Japan 1970 Deep water stern trawler 105574 (Busan, Korea) HMJC 52.9 m 8.9 m 5.925 m Draft 3.8 m 349.727 193.30T 32 men

Hold 1 2 3
Total 397 m 3 Storage 50T 70T 80T
Total 39.58 m (4 plate freezes, 99 pans each)
92.28 m 3
221.70, m 276.84T Trawl usage 5T/day
5.08 m 3
20.54 m (30 mt can produce 0.7 mt/day)
14.26 knots
Gylot, Tokyo Keiki
CE 10 Akasaka
10T x 80 m/min (600 m warp each drum)
3.5T x 14 m/min. Hydraulic
1.5T x 30 m/min x 2 Hydraulic
2T x 30 m/min x 2
Akaska AH 38 2000 PS
Yanmar 6 Ral 200 PS x 2
Yanmar 6 Ral 160 KVA x 2
Mycom x 2
Kamome C2508 2.5 m/m x 1000 x 3 each
Muranyama (with chart recorder)

JRC JMA 164 96 mile JRC JAA 150 c 60 mile

Saura Keiki T 165 II F Koden SR 396 AH 28,50 KHZ 3600 m range.

Koden NM 850. Range 90 m down, 60 m up. Koden SRM 872 freq. 28, 50 KHZ 1600 m range. FX 750 Koden Koden 71 KS 576 A Koden KS 398 Furuno DC 244 JRC NLD-346/JNA 108 Koshin Denki (m/sec)

(i) SSB SD55 freq. 27.0225, 0545, 2345, 3625, 4665, 8224, 8384, 8864, 9024, Emerg. 0524 MHZ.

(ii) AWA Pilot Phone VI VHF marine transceiver channels; 16, 26, 67, 70, 73.

Telegraph only NSD 256L 250w JRC
NSD 1127c 250w JRC
0-29 MHZ
NRD 1092 JRC
0 - 29 MHZ
NRD 1092 JRC
SSB JAA 309E
freq. 2183.49, 2192.5, 3199.4, 2320.4,
2639.4, 4409.4, 4139.5, 4409.4, 4110.8, 8783.2,
8281.2, 8732, 8249.2 MHZ.
0-25 MHZ RDF Koden

TABLE 3: Otter trawl net specifications for the Korean vessels *Dong Bang 91* and *Dong Won 509* in the Great Australian Bight.

		Dong Bang 91	Dong Won 509
NET 1			
Number of meshes	Belly	150	134
round at centre	Wing	116	76
of footrope	Delta	50	50
01 10011-F	Top Part	92	112
	Total	469	498
Mesh size (mm) at 'A'	Belly	150	150
(diagonal stretched	Wing	120	150
mesh)	Delta	120	150
incom)	Top part	120	150
Headrope length (m)		41.4	48.0
Footrope length (m)		55.6	57.1
Cod end mesh size (mm)		90	45
NET 2			
Number of meshes	Belly	150	144
round at centre	Wing	93	122
of footrope	Delta	40	35
r	Top part	74	100
	Total	490	558
Mesh size (mm) at 'A'	Belly	150	135
(diagonal stretched	Wing	150	135
mesh)	Delta	150	135
mean,	Top part	150	135
Headrope length (m)		44.6	38.5
Footrope length (m)		58.8	47.6
Cod end mesh size (mm)		90	45




TABLE 4: Species and their condition saved by Korean vessels in the Great Australian Bight

Species group also given for Dong Bang 91 only.

Species	Species Group DB 91	Condition saved
Shark	6	Above 60 cm dressed
Gummy shark	6	large specimens dressed
Angel shark		trashed
Stingrays & skates		flaps cut off and panned
Stingarees		whole
Elephant shark	1	whole
Pilchard		whole
Sergeant Baker	2	whole
Australian tusk	2	whole
Nannygai	3	whole
Bight redfish	2	whole
Swallow tail	3	whole
John dory	1	whole
Silver dory	1	whole
Veilfin	2	whole
Gurnard perch	2	whole
Red gurnard	2	whole
Latchet	3	whole
Deep water flathead	R2:D3	whole DB91, larger fish dressed DW50
Hapuku	8	filleted and cut to fit pan
Trevally	large 2	whole, large fish headed and tailed
Yellowtail kingfish		whole, large fish headed and tailed
Jack mackerel	3	whole
Ruby fish	3	whole
Pink snapper	large 1	whole, large fish, headed and tailed
Red mullet		whole
Moonlighter		whole
Spotted boarfish	large 1	whole, large fish headed and tailed
Black spot boarfish	•	whole
Knife jaw	2	whole
Queen snapper		headed and tailed, sometimes dressed
Jackass fish	2	whole
Barracouta	7	whole, above 60 cm headed and tailed
Gemfish	7	whole, above 60 cm headed and tailed
Bonito	2	whole
Blue mackerel		whole
Warehou	1	whole
Leatherjacket		headed, gutted and skinned
Squid	4	whole
Cuttlefish	5	whole
dressed=headed	and gutted	dressed=D whole=round=R

TABLE 5: Species and grade groups used in the Great Australian Bight by Dong Bang 91. Grades depended on shape and size of fish.

		Gr	ade				Pan wt
Species Group	LL	L (Number	M s of fig	S sh per pa	2S an)	3\$	(kg)
1	1-7	8-14	15-21	22-28	29–35	>35	12
2	1-10	11-20	21-30	31-40	41-50	>50	12
3	1-15	16-30	31-45	46-60	61-75	>75	12
4	1-25	26-40	41-55	56-75	76-120	>120	13
5	1-5	6-8	9-12	13-17	18-21	>21	13
6	1-5	6-8	9-12	13-17	>17		12
7	1-8	9-12	13-21	22-26	>26		10
8	5 fill	eted					12

TABLE 6 Fish species and size trashed by the Korean trawlers, Dong Bang 91 and Dong Won 509 for 7 trawls in the Great Australian Bight.

Date Vessel Depth Position latitude longitude Total catch made Wt of fish retained Wt of sponge, etc. trashed Wt of fish trashed Wt of trash examined (approx.)	DB 124 33	11.79 91 127 m 38-38'S 047-55'E 1800 1200 200 400 30kg	DB 116 33	11.79 91 31-09'S 16-23'E 1400 900 100 400 30kg	DW 104- 33 <sup>0</sup> 126	11.79 509 -108 m 23-17'S 02-06'E 400 200 50 150 76kg	DW 120 33 125	2.79 509 -128 m 23-28'S 58-51'E 2500 1100 200 1200 70kg	DW 113 33 125	2.79 509 -120 m 24-29'S 53-47'E 500 200 100 200 33kg	DW 114 33 125	12.79 509 -120 m 38-42'S 38-30'E 1300 900 100 300 61kg	DW 105 33 125	12.79 509 -110 m 34-39'S 36-30'E 1200 550 200 450 62kg
Species trashed	No.	Size	No.	Size	No.	Size	No.	Size	No.	Size	No.	Size	No.	Size
One finned shark	2	∿80												
Port Jackson shark											1	57	10	52
Catshark (2 species)	1	∿ 50									1	38		
Sawtail shark											1	24		
Piked dogfish	4	50-75									1	52		
Southern sawshark	3	∿90	2	81-127	2	80-120	4	120			6	65-97	2	100-105
Southern fiddler			2	77-85					3	75-93				
Ornate angel shark	1	75			2	80							1	54
Wide stingaree	7	∿40	6	17-44	5	38					5	35-42		
Conger eel											1	42		
Cucumber fish	1	19												
Southern rock cod											1	29		
Australian tusk											1	24		
Nannygai	2	10-18												
Swallow tail			2	15-20	26	14-19	6	21-25					87	13-23
Veilfin	3	20-30			1	20			1	19	4	13-25		
Gurnard perch (3 species)	4	15-25	2	24-26	3	22	6	21-28	9	23-30	4	6-25	4	22-24
Latchet	35	23-30	30	17-28	3	24	20	21-29	6	24-28	11	20-26	6	20-24
Red gurnard							1	35						
Deep water flathead			3	37-47			1	39						
Butterfly perch													6	10-19
Rosy perch					3	9-14							1	17
Three spined cardinal fish	7	8-11					87	8-12			48	7-10		
Jack mackerel	10	20-25	23	16-30	2	8-16	19	16-23			32	19-27	11	20-24
Ruby fish	12	23-25									1	12		
Spotted boarfish											1	24		
Blackspot boarfish	1	25	3	23-26					5	23-28	1	27		
Knife jaw					11	15			1	23	1	.18		
Jackass fish	2	23-25							1	32				
Deep water stargazer	7	20-24					3	21-23			24	23-25	4	22-25
Barracouta	2	45												
Gemfish	1	32					3	34-38			20	25-39		
Blue mackerel	1	23	1	24			1	28						
Chinaman leatherjacket	8	32-45	12	36-43	43	25-45	76	30-45	19	34-41	21	35-42	68	22-49
Leatherjacket (2 species)			1	40	2	37-43			2	38-46				
Boxfish (2 species)			2	21-25	2	12-25	2	21						
Ringed toadfish	1	21	3	21-22	6	21-24	2	20-22	6	19-22	6	22	5	20-22
Globe fish	2	∿23	14	20-25	26	25-32	4	25-28	4	23-28	3	23-31	4	22-24
Gould's squid			2	24			2	17-18			2	16-18	1	20

Size LCF, if tail forked otherwise TL. Squid mantle length. All sizes in cm.

### THIS IS A BLANK PAGE

TABLE 7: Daily catches in pans, by species for *Dong Bang 91* in the Great Australian Bight November 1979

TOTAL	193	444	8	81	951	3875	32	2	7	84	272	48	1	1
30.11.79	3	4			7	89				1	5			
29.11.79	5	11			53	379				8	27			
28.11.79	4	1			65	319				9	39			
27.11.79	<b></b> ,	5			121	442				8	29	2		
26.11.79	17	44			107	352	31		2	10	20			
25.11.79	6	59			164	360				7	17	16	-	_
23.11.79 24.11.79	6	26			73	254				12	26	11	1	1
22.11.79	8 1	25	.9		90 95	626				6	32	,		
21.11.79	22	69 64	8	۷	98	287				4	17	<i>7</i>		
20.11.79	95	102		64 2	72 54	287 272	1	2		7	17	5		
19.11.79	22	34		(1	41	203	4	29.1		6 6	34 9	7		
18.11.79	4			15	1	5			5	6	27			
16.11.79 17.11.79		hauls	conduc		for 16.		and 17	.11.7		in G	Great	Austr	alian	Bigh
CODE DATE	SB	RS	NY	RF	LT	DF	JM	ВМ	ΤY	KJ	YB	SK	ES	ВС
	Sea	Red	Na	Ru	La	De	Ja	B1	ET.	H H M	1/2 CT	SY	[4]	В
	a bream/Jackass	d snapper/Redfish	Nannygai	Ruby fish	Latchet	Deep water f	Jack mackerel/Scad	Blue mackerel	Trevally	ife jaw	potted boarfish	Shark	lephant shark	Barracouta
SPECIES CAUGHT	ckass	Redfisł			ξ	flathead	1/Scad	П			fish		rk	

Hake/Gemfish	Queen snapper	Hapuku	John dory	Australian Tusk	Warehou	Squid	Swallow tail	Red gurnard	Gurnard perch	Rays	Sergeant Baker	Cuttle fish	Veilfin	Fishing Time Hours	Total	No. of Trawls
GF	QS	HU	JD	AT	WH	SQ	ST	RG	GP	SE	SG	CF	VF			
N	lo cat	ch re	taine	ed.												
	7													2.82	37	3
	11	2	1			10		1	6		3			12.92	374	9
	11					17			2			1		15.50	676	9
	14	15				21						1		14.25	499	10
	9					8	17		2		1			15.67	530	8
	10		3			14	3		1	111				17.00	927	9
	11		1			6	1		16	65			1	19.25	511	8
	3			2		53			2	19		- 3		19.17	709	9
	5		1			38	5		1	52				15.83	685	9
	1					38			8	200				17.33	854	8
	4		3			26			7	79				19.33	556	9
	7		1			21	3		7	1				17.42	523	8
	8					1			1	1				6.42	120	4
	101	17	10	2		253	29	1	53	528	124	3	1	192.91	7001	103

TABLE 7: Continued.

December 1979

SPECIES CAUGHT	Sea bream/Jackass	Red snapper/Redfish	Nannygai	Ruby fish	Latchet	Deep water flathead	Jack mackerel/Scad	Blue mackerel	Trevally	Knife jaw	Spotted boarfish	Shark	Elephant shark	Barracouta
CODE	CD	D.C	NY	RF	LΤ	DF	JM	ВМ	TY	KJ	ΥB	SK	ES	BC
DATE	SB	RS	IN I	17	T1 £	DI		Dri	TT	IX.U	תו	JK		
1.12.79														
2.12.79	3	18			68	36				4	5			
3.12.79	22	9.8		55	134	264			1	15	17			2
4.12.79	17	68		6	117	316			5	17	10			12
5.12.79	26	65		4	90	249			1	13	12			10
6.12.79	15	67		5	18	72 <sup>-</sup>	69		1	9	15			
7.12.79	3	58		1	68	131			2	18	19			
8.12.79	6	35			118	184			2	9	12	3		
9.12.79	12	28			34	121				8	11	31		
10.12.79	5	39			99	183	3			15	30	27		
11.12.79	27	74		14	91	212				16	27	5		
12.12.79	4	31			86	66				15	18		2	
13.12.79	10	46			64	126				14	13	1		
14.12.79	16	61			82	132	27		2	14	19			
15.12.79	7	75				68	116		2	12	8			
16.12.79	10	34			67	124			2	17	7			
17.12.79	9	118			57	204				16	6			
18.12.79	11	72		20	55	136				17	7			4
19.12.79	31	63		5	10	82				13	12			
20.12.79	24	168		1	37	146	4			14	12	9		
21.12.79	3	18			48	191				18	1.5	6		
22.12.79	4	27				100			2	16	24	2		
23.12.79	13	49				93	1		1	13	18	2		
24.12.79	7	26				94				11	11			
25.12.79	2	12				83				4	8	1		
26.12.79	2	13				5	46			8	17			
27.12.79	11	88				47				10	19	1		
28.12.79	4	140				67				9	13	5		
29.12.79	11	87		4		63			6	11	7	1		
30.12.79	14	63			4	83			3	21	10	1		
31.12.79	15	29				128	4			37	16	4		
TOTAL	344	1770		115	1347	3806	270		30	414	418	99	2	28

	Hake/Gemfish	Queen snapper	Hapuku	John dory	Australian tusk	Warehou	Squid	Swallow tail	Red gurnard	Gurnard perch	Rays	Sergeant Baker	Cuttle fish	Veilfin	Fishing Time Hours	Total	No. of Trawls
G	F	QS	HU	JD	ΑT	WH	SQ	ST	RG	GP	SE	SG	CF	VF			
	4 6 30 2 11 1 2 8 4 7 8 5 5 12 10 13 5 30 33 6 3 6 13 5 14	8 7 5 6 2 7 22 10 8 3 5 4 14 2 7 14 4 2 8 3 4 4 18 5 7 7	2	1 2 5 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2	17 1 2	14 96 102 62 14 27 34 36 29 15 17 30 34 33 24 26 38 43 30 28 10 11 41 30 24 35 33	13 2 30 19 15	1 4 4 2 1 3 1 1 1 2 2 4 5	4 11 4 5 3 4 4 6 5 2 3 3 6 3 3 6 2 8 11 2 7 7 8 1 2 5 4 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 9 1 6 1 9 1 6 1 9 1 6 1 1 1 1	10 12 6 2 41 123 1 2 6 1 2 6 1 5 19 316 203 1 1 33 93 138 5 4	6	1	2 1 1 3	0.58 4.08 18.75 17.32 18.75 16.17 19.00 19.25 17.25 17.58 17.42 13.75 17.08 19.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 17.08 19.17 19.42 19.17 18.17 17.63 17.75 13.83 17.83 16.42 19.08 17.75 19.25	164 756 683 548 314 373 410 302 489 670 281 337 405 339 303 471 364 272 502 669 463 270 198 163 203 380 347 249 266 305	2 9 8 9 7 8 8 8 7 8 8 7 8 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7
2	43	188	2	15	4	20	991	142	33	174	1025	6	3	7	508.54	11496	220

TABLE 7: Continued.

January 1980

SPECIES CAUGHT	Sea bream/Jackass	Red snapper/Redfish	Nannygai	Ruby Fish	Latchet	Deep water flathead	Jack mackerel/Scad	Blue mackerel	Trevally	Knife jaw	Spotted boarfish	Shark	Elephant shark	Barracouta
CODE														
DATE	SB	RS	NY	RF	LT	DF	JM	ВМ	TY	KJ	YB	SK	ES	BC
1.1.80	12	41		57		139	4		3	22	16			
2.1.80		13				18	5			6	4			
7.1.80	24	21				20				11	9			
8.1.80	12	25				97				9	17			
9.1.80	41	44				65			2	21	15			
10.1.80	12	24				61			1	25	11	3		
11.1.80	29	17				48			1	20	15	3		
12.1.80	12	25				63			1	11	8			
TOTAL	142	210		57		511	9		B	125	95	4		
TOTALS NOVEMBER	193	444	8	81	951	3875	32	2	7	84	272	48	1	1
DECEMBER	344	1770		115	1347	3806	270		30	414	418	99	2	28
JANUARY	142	210		57		511	9		8	125	95	4		
OVERALL	679	2424	8	253	2298	8192	311	2	45	623	785	151	3	29

Hake/Gemfish	Queen snapper	Hapuku	John dory	Australian tusk	Warehou	Squid	Swallow tail	Red gurnard	Gurnard perch	Rays	Sergeant Baker	Cuttle fish	Veilfin	Fishing Time Hours	Total	No. of Trawls
<u> </u>				₩	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	G		<u> </u>	0	<u> &gt;                                   </u>			
GF	QS	HU	JD	ΑT	WH	SQ	ST	RG	GP	SE	SG	CF	VF			
8 2 16 13 3 4 1 5	4 1 1 1 5 1 8 4				1	35 24 16 22 46 30 37 12	10	3 1 1 3 2 2 2	13 5 2 11 18 19 23 10	6 22 72	1			18.42 3.33 6.92 17.17 18.92 18.33 19.33	358 79 126 230 274 191 204 225	8 1 4 7 8 8 8 7
52	25				1	222	10	14	101	100	1			118.09	1687	51
	101	17	10	2		253	29	1	53	528	4	3	1	192.91	7001	103
243	188	2	15	4	20	991	142	33	174	1025	6	3	7	508.54	11496	220
52	25				1	222	10	14	101	100	1			118.09	1687	51
295	314	19	25	6	21	1466	181	48	328	1653	11	6	8	819.54	20184	374

TABLE 8: Daily catches in pans, by species for *Dong Won 509* in the Great Australian Bight

November 1979

SPECIES CAUGHT	Sea bream/Jackass	Red snapper/Redfish	Ruby fish	Latchet	Deep water flathead	Jack mackerel/Scad	Blue mackerel	revally	Knife jaw	Blackspot boarfish	Spotted boarfish	Shark	Leatherjacket	Barracouta
CODE										C.P.S			-	
DATE	SB	RS	RF	LT	DF	JM	ВМ	TY	КJ	ВВ	YB	SK	LJ	ВС
15.11.79						32								
16.11.79		5				5					8	1		
17.11.79					2				1		4	2	1	
18.11.79		30			3	1	2		15		59	5	5	
19.11.79		17			10	10			19		71	7	23	
20.11.79		101			19	14			22	11	30	16	7	
21.11.79	4	17		72	157	218			11		28	23	5 2	
22.11.79		180		41	106	52			9		24	13	2	
23.11.79	2	48		64	188	61			8		46	12		
24.11.79	1	2		106	211	30		1	10	1	38	22	3	
25.11.79		2		42	227	94			10		29	19		
26.11.79	1	22		62	150	47			14		49	20		
27.11.79	3	19		96	113	86			18		43	11		
28.11.79	1	. 8		23	73	6		1	13		42	15		
29.11.79	5	67		6	58		1	5	10		39	12	3	
30.11.79	8	59		10	73	43			30	1	46	24	3	
TOTAL	25	577		522	1390	699	3	7	190	13	556	202	52	

Hake/Gen/Ish	Queen snapper	John dory	Australian tusk	Squid	Swallow tail	Pink snapper	Rays	Veilfin	Moonlighter	Red gurnard	Gurnard perch	Fishing Time Hours	Total	No. of Trawls
GE	QS	JD	AT	SQ	ST	PS	SE	VF	ML	RG	GP			
												13.42	32	9
	1											5.12	20	4
				1								1.55	11	1
	15	1		1			2	3	2			15.65	149	11
	10	3		3			40	3		3		19.07	219	12
	4	4		13		3	8	6	1	8	10	16.75	277	12
	. 4	2		23			205			17	2	17.75	788	10
	5	2		15			130			6	3	17.83	588	10
	2	7		18	13		494	1	2	1	9	18.58	976	11
	3	2		48			191	3		1	7	18.67	580	11
	4	4		72			189			3	8	17.33	703	10
	5	1		78	2		146	2		3	7	16.48	609	11
	2		11	40			168			4	6	17.42	620	10
	7	2		27	12		250			2	10	18.67	492	10
	11	2		57			214	4	1	4	7	15.67	506	10
	. 7	2		66	10		57	7		5	20	19.17	471	10
72	80	32	11	462	37	3	2094	29	6	57	89	249.13	7136	152

TABLE 8: Continued.

December 1979

														_
SPECIES CAUGHT	Sea bream/Jackass	Red snapper/Redfish	Ruby fish	Latchet	Deep water flathead	Jack mackerel/Scad	Blue mackerel	Trevally	Knife jaw	Blackspot boarfish	Spotted boarfish	Shark	Leather jacket	Barracouta
CODE DATE	SB	RS	RF	LT	DF	JM	ВМ	TY	KJ	ВВ	ΥB	SK	LJ	ВС
				0.7		014	74	1	27	1	47	12	22	
1.12.79	16	89		27	80	241	1	1	34	1		4		
2.12.79	38	77		13	81	189		4	14	1	17		7 9	
3.12.79	15	102		19	222	157		4	25 22		28 35	10 9	3	1
4.12.79	46	74	4.0	27	132	111		5 3	20		25	2	5	ж.
5.12.79	15	96	12	24	163	130		ر 4	18	1	21	4	3	2
6.12.79	10	31		11	79	7		•	20	1	29	9	4	2
7.12.79	11	52		14	46	7 3		14 3	17	3	22	10	6	
8.12.79	6	76	100	115	88			3 1	14	3 4	18	15	1	
9.12.79	27	31	3	43	91	1 31		1	22	6	42	27	4	74
10.12.79	12	37		66	92	21		5	25	7	37	21	1	- 27
11.12.79	2	19		71	124	2 1		ر	13	3	27	4		
13.12.79	0	6		48	55 100	24		15	27	4	59	11		5
14.12.79	2	10		77 80	122	57		4	29	4	36	13	3	1
15.12.79	3	25		60	127	7 - 7		4	36	3	27	8	1	-
16.12.79	11 27	165 36		38	70	1		4	23	4	31	7	1	
17.12.79	2 / 7	26		52	104	1		3	34	4	30	6	9	
18.12.79	7	33		79	135	4		5	40	5	29	12	3	
19.12.79	4	2		13	17	4			7	,	3		_	
20.12.79	4		_	10	17									_
TOTAL	259	987	15	877	1928	987	T	80	440	51	563	184	82	15
TOTALS														
NOVEMBER	25	577		522	1390	699	3	7	190	13	556	202	52	
DECEMBER	259	987	15	877	1928	987	1	80	440	51	563	184	82	15
OVERALL	284	1564	15	1399	3318	1686	4	87	630	64	1119	386	134	15

Hake/Genflsh	Queen shapper	John dory	Australian tusk	Squid	Swallow tail	Pink snapper	Rays	Gurnard perch	Veilfin	Red gurnard	Moonlighter	Sergeant Baker	Gummy shark	Fishing Time Hours	Total	No. of Trawls
GF	QS	JD	ΑT	SQ	ST	PS	SE	GP	VF	RG	ML	SG	GS			
-	~~				Ų I		U.E			110	112					
	7	2		84			59	41	20	5	2			19.75	789	10
5	5			65	49		5	17	7	3				16.03	603	9
10	2			103			1	20	9	14				19.58	750	11
3	12			53	58		8	17	3	7				17.55	626	9
在	4			54	136		4	10	4	1				18.67	712	9
2	5			27			2.0	9	3	,		1		16.42	231	8
0	9			26			32	13	4	4				17.67	297	9
8	7	1		57	1		6	16	5	13				18.75	463	10
1	4			40			7	21	5	9				17.67	346	9
19	2	1		87			70	34	5	1				19.00	563	10
26	1	1		50			100	51	5	5				16.58	572	9
5 8		6		24			28	20	2	5				12.58	248	8
	1	5		40			86	37	6	9			1311	17.83	526	9
16	8	4		42	1		70	37	8	6	4		5	18.48	574	9
23	6	5		44	–		58	33	9	5	1			19.00	633	9
22	9	4		24	167		54	35	7	4	1			17.41	569	9
9	1	2		33			46	35	3	5			1	19.42	411	9
22	4			53			34	37	5	2	1			18.17	510	9
7	1			12			10	. 6	1					2.50	83	1
200	88	31		918	412		678	489	111	98	5	1	6	323.06	9506	166
	0.5	0.0		1.66	0.7		0.00		0.0							1.50
	80	32	11	462	37	3	2094	89	29	57	6			249.13	7136	152
200	88	31		918	412		678	489	111	98	5	1	б	323.06	9506	166
200	168	63	11	1380	449	3	2772	578	140	155	11	1	6	572.21	16642	318

the species composition of catches made by the Korean trawlers, Dong Bang 91 and Dong Won 509, in the Great Australian Bight from 15 November 1979 to 12 January 1980 in terms of number of pans, percentage composition, weight (kg). Ratings from 1 to 20 are also given.

	/h	ong Bang 91		n-	ng Won 509						
	Total catch	<i>b b</i>	Top 20 species	Total ratch		Top 20	Total catch in pans	Percentage composition	Top 20 species	Average pan wt	Total w
	•	-			•		· ·	•		•	
DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSONS ASSESSED.	679	3.4	7	284	1.7	12	963	2.6	16	11.30	10 622
man snapper	2 424	12.0	2	1 564	9.4	4	3 988	10.8	- 2	10.57	42 153
Nannygai	8	0.04	-	-	-	-	8	0.04	-	10.84*	69
Ruby fish	253	1.3	13	15	0.1	-	268	0.7	15	11.41	3 058
Latchet	2 298	11.4	3	1 399	8.4	5	3 697	10.0	4	10.84*	40 076
Deep water Ilathea	d 8 192	40.6	1	3 318	20.0	1	11 510	31.3	1	10.92	125 689
Jack mackerel	311	1.5	11	1 686	10.1	3	1 997	5.4	6	11.37	22 706
Blue mackerel	2	0.01	-	4	0.02	-	6	0.02	-	10.84*	6
Trevally	45	0.2	17	87	0.5	18	132	0.4	19	11.09	1 464
Knife jaw	623	3.1	8	630	3.8	8	1 253	3.4	8	11.18	14 00
Black spot boarfis	h –	-	-	64	0.4	19	64	0.2		10.64	68
Spotted boarfish	785	3.9	6	1 119	6.7	7	1 904	5.2	7	10.96	20 86
Shark	151	0.8	15	386	2.3	11	537	1.5	12	10.05	5 39
Elephant shark	3	0.02	-	-	-		3	0.01	-	10.84*	3:
Leatherjacket	-	=	-	134	0.8	17	134	0.4	18	11.21	1 50
Barracouta	29	0.1	18	15	0.1	-	44	0.1	_	10.84*	47
Gemfish	295	1.5	12	200	1.2	13	495	1.3	117	10.30	5 09
Queen snapper	314	1.6	10	168	1.0	14	482	1.3	195	11.87	5 72
Hapuku	19	0.1	_	-	-	-	19	0.05		10.84*	20
John dory	25	0.1	19	63	0.4	20	88	0.2	20	10.85	95
Australian tusk	6	0.03	_	11	0.07	_	17	0.05	-	11.49	19
Warehou	21	0.1	20	-	-	-	21	0.06	-	10.84*	22
Squid	1 466	7.3	5	1 380	8.3	6	2 846	7.7	5	11.59	32 98
Swallow tail	181	0.9	14	449	2.7	10	630	1.7	11	11.26	7 09
Pink smapper	-	-	_	3	0.02	_	3	0.01	-	10.84%	. 3
Moonlighter	4	-		11	0.07	_	11	0.03	_	10.84*	11
Red gurnard	48	0.2	16	155	0.9	15	203	0.6	16	11.12	2 25
Gurnard perch	328	1.6	9	578	3.5	9	906	2.5	10	11.19	10 13
Ray/stingaree	1 653	8.2	4	2 772	16.7	2	4 425	12.0	2	11-84	52 39
Sergeant Baker	11	0.05	-	1	0.01	- 5	12	0.03	_	10.84*	13
Cuttlefish	6	0.03		_	-	-	6	0.02	-	10.84*	6
Gummy shark	_	_	- 10	6	0.03	1.0	6	0.02	_	10.84*	6
Veilfin	8.	0.04	27.	140	0.8	3.6	148	0.4	17	11.47	1 69
TOTAL	20 104			16 642	-	-	36 826	-	1.4	ų.	408 26

<sup>\*</sup> No mean weight for species available (see table 1), thus the overall mean pan weight was used.

TABLE 10: Total catch (in cartons) Dong Bang 97 in the Great Australian Bight according to grade.

SPECIES COMMON NAME	GRADE	LL	ij	M	S	25	38	TT	D	fa.	TOTAL
Deep water flathead Red snapper Latchet Stingaree Squid Spotted boarfish Sea bream Knife jaw Barracouta Queen snapper Gurnard perch Ruby fish Swallow tail Shark Jack mackerel Red gurnard Trevally Thetis fish Sergeant Baker John dory Warehou Hapuku Gemfish Veilfin Nannygai Australian tusk Guttlefish Blue mackerel	LAT RS SE YB SQ SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE	561 183 11 149 149	1 626 1 215 201 203 3 30 22 22 16 11 11	817 123 123 129 159 108 108 117 113 13	68 33	1 051 28 93 29	35	274	1 663		1 124 1 215 1 085 817 307 138 125 138 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125
Total in cartons		1 259	3 517	1 688	183	1 201	35	276	1 812	9	7 6 6

D = Dressed F = Filleted

TABLE 11: Total catch (in cartons) Dong Won 509 in the Great Australian Bight according to grade.

SPECIES COMMON NAME	GRADE CODE	. G1	7	103	4	in	9	18	50	o	10	11	à	0.	TOTAL
Deep water flathead Stingaree	DF				33	371	1 113	147		144			69	13	1 734 1 400
Jack mackerel* Red snapper	JM RS	824	26	9		123	650	19	$\rightarrow$	c					856 793
Latchet Squid	LAT SQ			298	251	142				7	/15				-1 6
Spotted boarfish	YB				m	4		740		C					ナセ
Knife jaw Gurnard perch	KJ GP						35	<b>5</b> C7	7 7	289	4	2			40
Swallow tail	ST										67	186			3
Sea bream	SB					m ;	ıΩ	105	22	m			9		7
Gummy shark	GS			٥	13	97	_ `	0 0					13		٦ (
Gemfish	GF					13	۰ م	۲۷ -	3/	5 •			J r		$\supset$ $\circ$
Queen snapper	ÓS				11	20	n t	<b>,</b> t		-1			50		000
Shark	SK					28	` '	→ ,		,			t V		90
Veilfin	VF						m	41	5.6	$\leftarrow$			(		† (
Leatherjacket	LJ						,	•			][6		69		60
Red gurnard	RG						<del>←</del> -1	16	38	d	7		()		0 o
Trevally	TY				W.	32	2	5	<del></del> 1				0		45
John dory	JD					24	7	<b>→</b> 1							30
Black spot boarfish	BB							7	13	14					67
Ruby fish	RF							1000	200	П	Đ.				~ 0
	BC					8		7	5						0 1
Australian tusk	AT					irs.									n (
Moonlighter	ML							rvi.							7 (
Pink snapper	PS					-									7 ,
Sergeant Baker	SG							7							
Blue mackerel*	ВМ	H													-
Total in cartons		825	26	310	326	1 365	1 948	1 885	175	339	781	188	291	13	8 472
*Different grading system	used	Blue m	mackerel	rel G	1 = 10	-15 fi	sh								

Jack mackerel G1 = 15-20;  $G2 \ 20-25$ ;  $G3 \ 25-30$  fish.

D = Dressed

TABLE 12: Utilization of catch, by species, retained by Dong Won 509 in the Great Australian Bight.

Jackass fish	Processed in Perth and sold commercially within W.A
Red snapper	11 11 11 11 11 11
Nannygai	tt 11 11 11 11 tt 11 11
Ruby fish	Sold for bait.
Jack mackerel	Sold for bait.
Latchet	Large fish processed and sold within W.A., small fi sold for bait.
Blue mackerel	Some sold for bait, some sold to Malaysia for canni
Trevally	Some processed in Perth and some sold to Malaysia for canning.
Knife jaw	Processed in Perth and sold commercially within W.A
Black spot boarfish	<u>u</u> u u u u u u
Spotted boarfish	H H (90)
Deep water flathead	# 30% H H H
Shark (mixed species)	Some went back to Korea, most dumped. Overall no commercial value.
Elephant shark	Dumped, no commercial value.
Leatherjacket	Sold within Australia as headed, gutted and skinned
Barracouta	Dumped as very poor quality.
Gemfish	Dumped as very poor quality.
Queen snapper	Processed in Perth and sold commercially within W.A Poor recovery in processing.
Hapuku	
John dory	
Australian tusk	11 tt tt tt
Warehou	Went back to Korea, no commercial value in Australi
Squid	Went back to Korea, may have then been sent to Japa
Swallow tail	Sold as bait.
Pink snapper	Processed in Perth and sold commercially within W.A
Moonlighter	
Red gurnard	Large fish processed and sold commercially within W.A. Small fish sold as bait.
Gurnard perch	Dumped.
Ray/Stingaree	Went back to Korea, no commercial value in Australi
Sergeant Baker	Dumped.
Cuttle fish	West back to Korea, may have then been sent to Japa
Gummy shark	Dumped. All shark mixed together.
Veilfin	Processed in Perth and sold commercially within W.A
	·

TABLE 13

Comparison of catch, effort and catch rates of most vessels to demersally fish in the Great Australian Bight, selected for the months of November and December and overall trawling activities.

VESSEL	TIME	1,00	(ti)	TRAWLING	САТСН	MEAN CATCH RATE	MEAN C		
		ON GROUND	AT SEA	TIME (hr)	(kg)	(kg/hr)	ON GROUND	AT SEA	REMARKS
±F.I.S. Endeavour	Feb-Mar 1912	NA	NA	144	13259	92	NA	NΑ	Fishing shelf edge.
	May-June 1913 Total where data available	NA NA	NA NA	122 266	6323 19582	52 74	NA NA	NA NA	Fishing deeper section— used a trawl without Vigneron—Dahl gear
*S.T. Bonthorpe	9.1.30-21.1.30	- 3	12	372	20657	56	2951	1721	
	1.2.30-13.2.30 20.2.30-5.3.30	13	13 14	257 1373	13737 1245	54 1	1962 1245	1057 89	Engine trouble for several days
	Total 9.1.30-5.3.30	15	39	2002	35639	18	2376	914	
*S.T. Ben Dearg	27.9.49-24.3.52	NW.	NA	3671	565866	154	NA	NA	For Bight area including
	11	HA	NΑ	3599	562714	156	NA	NA	experimental cruises. For Bight area excluding experimental cruises. Range per cruise 30-308 kg/hr.
*S.T. Commiles	6.10.49-9.9.51	76%	NA	2650	339793	128	WA	HA.	For Bight area including experimental cruises.
	56 50	MA	NA	2535	331860	131	No.	N <sub>S</sub>	For Bight area including experimental cruises. Range per cruise 18-259 kg/hr.
+Southern	31.10.60-11.11.60	7	12	127	24714	195	3531	2060	Days on ground figure
Endeavour	15.11.60-21.11.60	4	7	30	5350	177	1338	764	obtained by taking
	23.11.60-9.12.60	12	17	212	39931	188	3328	2349	hours on ground
	6.1.61-20.1.61 2.11.61-17.11.61 Total cruises	9 10 240	15 16 390	136 171 3808	23389 25437 820700	172 148 202	2599 2544 3420	1559 1590 2104	÷24 and rounding. Figures are therefore approximate.
Saxon Onward	Mar 75-Mar 76	95	176	NA	511937	NA	5389	2909	
Saxon Progress	Mar 75-June 76	102	188	NA	454343	NA	4454	2417	
Saxon Ranger	Mar 75-May 75	13	25	NA	50051	NA	3850	2002	
All Saxon vessels	Total cruises	210	389	NA	1016331	NA	4840	2613	
<sup>O</sup> Miss Boomerang	22.11.77-10.12.77 Total all	18	19	227	42932	188	2385	2260	
	cruises	99	129	1253	352014	283	3556	2729	Vessel made 6 cruises to G.A.B.
Othello	Nov 1977	12		89	23130	259	1928		
	Dec 1977	24		361	103793	288	4325		
•	Nov 1978	17		202	38408	190	2259		
	Dec 1978 Nov 77-Mar 79	18 272		257 4208	42142 1294628	164 308	2341 4760		
Orsino	Nov 1978	13		165	46112	279	3547		
	Dec 1978 Mar 78-May 79	11 208		183 3208	43853 1035495	239 323	3987 4978		
°Cassio	Nov 1978	6		81	19058	236	3176		
	Dec 1978 June 78-Mar 79	19 184		341 3163	89415 892516	262 282	4706 4851		
ODong Bang 91	Nov 1979	14	16	193	75891	393	5421	4743	
noug pang 41	Dec. 1979 Nov 79-Jan 80	31 53	31 62	509 820	124617 218795	245 267	4020 4128	4020 3529	
ODong Won 509	Nov 1979	16	18	249	77354	310	4835	4297	
	Dec 1979 Nov 79-Dec 79	19 35	23 41	323 572	103045 180399	319 315	5423 5154	4480 4400	

Source \*Houston, T.W. (1954) \*Kesteven, G.L. and Stark, A.E. (1967).

Openartment of Fisheries and Wildlife unpublished data. NA = Data not available in this form.






FIGURE 1 Bridge layouts of Dong Bang 91 and Dong Won 509. (See page 40 for legend).

# FIGURE 1 - Legend

- 1 GYLOT AUTO HELM
- 2 RPM + PROPELLOR PITCH CONTROL
- 3 MAIN RADAR (96 MILE MAX 100 MILE MAX)
- 4 AUX. RADAR (60 MILE MAX 100 MILE MAX)
- 5 MAIN ECHO SOUNDER
- 6 AUX. ECHO SOUNDER
- 7 NET SOUNDER (BELOW NO. 1 ECHO SOUNDER Dong Bang 91)
- 8 WIND SPEED AND DIRECTION INDICATOR
- 9 LORAN C (Dong Bang 41 LR730)
- 10 = LORAN C
- 11 PILOT PHONE
- 12 27 MHZ VHF
- 13 27 MHZ RDF
- 14 STEREO AMP AND P.A. SYSTEM
- 15 CLINOMET
- 16 AIR TEMPERATURE THERMOMETER AND BAROMETER
- 17 = SURFACE TEMP. CHART RECORDER (GAUGE RECORDER Dong Bang 91)
- 18 2 MHZ R.D.F.
- 19 MAGNETIC COMPASS
- 20 CAPTAIN'S CHAIR
- 21 = FRIDGE
- 22 CHART TABLE
- 23 COFFEE TABLE
- 24 = SEAT
- 25 SEAT
- 25 SWITCH BOARD
- 26 ENGINE TELEGRAPH
- 27 KNOTMETER AND LOG (ABOVE SURFACE TEMPERATURE RECORDER)
- 28 = 150 MHZ DSB TR6213




FIGURE 2 Otter trawl net plan, Dong Bang 91, as used in the Great Australian Bight.




FIGURE 3 Otter trawl net plan,  $Dong\ Won\ 509$ , as used in the Great Australian Bight.




FIGURE 4 Ground rope and head rope plan Dong Bang 91, as used in the Great Australian Bight.







FIGURE 5 Ground rope and head rope plan Dong Won 509, as used in the Great Australian Bight.




Catch rate (kg/hr) and total catch (kg) by ½ degree grid square - Korean vessels November 1979. FIGURE 6



Catch rate (kg/hr) and total catch (kg) by ½ degree grid square - B.U.T. vessels November 1978. FIGURE 7



Catch rate (kg/hr) and total catch (kg) by ½ degree grid square - Korean vessels December 1979.  $\infty$ FIGURE



Catch rate (kg/hr) and total catch (kg) by ½ degree grid square - B.U.T. vessels December 1978. FIGURE 9




PLATE 1 Dong Bang 91.



PLATE 2 Dong Won 509.




PLATE 3

View from stern to bridge, through which fish are dropped to factory deck below.

PLATE 4
View aft, showing hauling, otter boards being made fast.





PLATE 5
View aft, showing net being hauled aboard.







PLATE 7
Conveyor system from sorting table to freezer room.



#### APPENDIX 1

## INSTRUCTIONS COVERING WORK ABOARD VESSELS "KOREAN" IN G.A. BIGHT

The prime observer function aboard vessels concerns log books (assisting in their completion, initially, and continuing to ensure their accurate completion)

- photographic albums of commercial Bight fish species are available and should be made available to all vessels to ensure standardization of identification amongst vessels.
- Southern trawl log books and grading sheets are to be made available for completion by vessels (see separate sheets titled "Instructions for completion of trawling log books")
- 1. the following reports are to be produced, to be made available at the completion of the cruise.
  - (a) Operation of logsheets aboard vessel.

This report should discuss who aboard the vessel completes the sheet, how and where they complete same, and mention errors and problems associated. If improvement in sheet completion occurs during the cruise this should be mentioned also. Comment should also be passed concerning communication problems with regard to logbook completion.

(b) Description of gear used and vessel characteristics.

This report should include dimensions of nets used, e.g. headrope, bridles, mesh size throughout, board/door type and weight, etc. The trawling speed for each net type should be determined also. Vessel characteristics should be obtained where possible. Characteristics of interest are:- various length measurements, gross tonnage, H.P. of vessel, number of crew and officers, freezer capacity, endurance of vessel, etc. A gear specification sheet is available and should be completed by observers and vessel personnel completing logbooks.

(c) Description of vessel operation especially in the factory.

This report should describe the watch system aboard the vessel, the number of men involved and how the catch is processed, by whom, etc. It should also include details of time taken to shoot trawl, haul trawl, change over trawls and process a catch of x trays, etc. It should list all species saved, whether they are saved individually or grouped according to families, etc., and the condition in which species are saved, e.g. whole, gutted and gilled, headed and gutted, etc.

(d) Description of trashing aboard vessel.

Where possible trash species should be identified (family groupings may suffice in some cases) and a description given of the size range of such species. Some attention should be given to juveniles of known commercial species and where an area is assessed as being

Appendix 1 (cont'd)

a nursery, documentation as to position, depth, size range and approximate number of animals within such ranges should be produced (also see notes on TRASH under research section).

### 2. Logsheets

Logsheets should be checked daily for completion problems. Some particular shots should be followed through the complete logbook completion sequence and a duplicate logsheet produced by observers. These duplicates should leave the vessel with the observer(s) and are to check and correlate logsheet completion.

## Pan/Tray Weights

An attempt should be made to weigh representative freezer units for each commercial species throughout the trip. The number of fish per unit should also be determined. (Length frequency sampling see later - should assist in this work where all fish of a particular species are retained and measured for a catch).

## 4. RESEARCH FUNCTIONS

TRASH: Every 30 shots to establish identities and composition of a two basket (tote-box) sample of trash fish.

NOTE: This should be done more frequently if vessel moves from area to area.

# BIOLOGICAL SAMPLING PROCEDURE:

DATA CONSIDERED NECESSARY (in order of importance).

- (A) Length frequency measurements
- (B) Scale samples
- (C) Otolith samples
- (D) Gonad maturity analysis
- (E) Gut analysis

Where possible data should be collected from the following species:

#### PELAGIC:

JACK MACKEREL

BLUE MACKEREL

SARDINE - PILCHARDS

Appendix 1 (cont'd)

#### DEMERSAL:

(a) SHELF JACKASS - SEA BREAM

RED SNAPPER - BIGHT REDFISH

CHINAMAN LEATHERJACKET

HAPUKU/QUEEN SNAPPER

SPOTTED BOARFISH

SNAPPER/FLATHEAD/TREVALLY

(b) SLOPE GEMFISH - HAKE

BLUE GRENADIER

RUBY FISH

It is important that the sample strategy is made realistically in terms of the trawling pattern of the vessel - in broad terms, sampling should be stratified by

- 1) DEPTH
- 2) TIME OF DAY
- GEOGRAPHIC AREA

- It is not necessary to sample every trawl biologically but a realistic work-load of biological sampling should be undertaken each day.

Additional species of commercial importance (and non-commercial importance if of any abundance) should or can be sampled biologically if possible.

The observer should direct his attention to obtaining data on (A to E) in that order of priority, if for instance the vessel was remaining in the same general area for several days conducting the same type of fishing then the biological sampling for items (A to E) can be spread over several days — on the other hand, if ground is being covered rapidly, length frequencies will be given priority followed by (B to E) as time allows. (Scales — otolith samples should be taken from cm groups when length-frequency measurements are taken.)

- Gonads up to 20 per sample per species should be preserved or frozen, weights of donor fish should be obtained.


Gut analysis should be undertaken in the same manner as done in lab., i.e. scored out of 20, general analysis of approximate content and state of digestion.

<u>C.S.I.R.O.</u> may require alternative data collection and analysis methods - if this requires changes to the procedures suggested above, then instructions will be forthcoming by telegram.

 $\underline{\text{N.B.}}$  It is only necessary to preserve in formalin gut and gonad material, whole speciments should be frozen.

Michael Walker, Scale Fish Research Officer, November 15, 1979.

SACPRER				34.1	13551	- 1					T.R.	TRAMLING.	10 56	EEO !	돠	15		9-		4	AREA	400,000		1	The Carr	47750		2000			ľ	STANSTONED
			PRSTRON		AME		TIME				ť.	PREMING	*	456905		PISHONS	5	Ï.				NING		1		Den't	Printegrals					NUMERICAN AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
	CCINIM	COMMENCED		SYMMS		COM	COMMENCED		144	HALEDNE		TIME	8	CDOSE		DEPTH RANGE	WIGE	BOTT	BOTTOM IVAE		JUNECTION.		PREET		TAND CONC.	25.4	BAILCHE LEA		MET TYPE		3775	HEAD RIVE
	7166E		CAT S CATA	2000 £	-	TIME		25,410		800 CEC		Withortes	-	Eg 180°		Forhung/Naties	14/14/			1	474	-	Evelz		Merrey / year	1	18/4/1000					LEMOTH.
RAWL NOT							님					1								T		+		+								Ì
REMARKS																						+		+								
TRAME NO 2											-		4											H								
HEMARKS					Î																	1		1				-				
TRAINT NO 3																						+		+								
HEMARKS					i				4											1		1		+								
TRAWC NG 4		-					-															=		1								
REMARKS																				1		+		t								
TRAWL NO S		-									-					III								-								
REMARKS.							1						1							Ì		1		-								
TRAWL NO 5								Н	-											1				1								
REMARKS																						-						-				
TRAWL NO 7:													Н							Ĭ												
REMARKS									Ì				1		ļ									ì								
THAME NO B		-											Н																			
REWARKS											1																					
SPECIES CAUGHT BLOCKS, CARTONS KILOGRAMS ETC PLEASE STATE	EN BREAM / JACKASS	ED SNAPPER / REDFISH	UBY FISH	T ∃HOTA	GA3HTA13 R3TAW.433	שכא ששכאבשבר / פכשם	TOE WYCKEBEL	BE NALLY	WAL 37W	HSIBBAOB TORS SOA	HSI3RAO	SPOTTED BOARFISH	INGEL SHARK SHARK	EATHER JACKET	ATUODARRAE	HPKE / CEW EICH	H∃dd∀N\$ N∃∃∩C	HAPUKU	SITAEB DOBL	ABOO NHOF	ASUT WALLARTSUA	NOH384A	ainos	SWALLOW TAIL	MIXED EIZH BITCH <b>Y</b> BD	100 1 0720		01	отн <i>е</i> к			TOTAL
CODE	-	+	+	7 5		_	1.	+	_	-		+ - 1		+		+	+ +	+ - 1	as	g	-	-		-	PD MF	u						707
TRAWL NO 1																	-				ı	1		1	+	+	1		Ì			
TRAWL NO 2			_																		Ì	Ì			+			1				
TRAWL NO 3																									H	-		-		1		
TRAWL NO 4													Н	$\vdash$	H											-				+		
TRAWL NO S										H					H							T			+		Ì					
TRAWL NO 6												+	+	=	4															-		
TRAWL NO 7								1					+	+	+		4				Ī	1					1	+		+		
0 014 1111007																																



# APPENDIX 4 Family and scientific names reconciled with common names used

HEXANCHIDAE	Heptranchias dakini Whitley, 1931	One finned shark
HETERODONTIDAE	Helerodontus portusjacksoni (Myer, 1783)	Port Jackson shark
ORECTOLOBIDAE	Panascy Litum ferrugineum McCulloch, 1911	Rusky catshark
	Риламсуllium vaniolatum (Dumeril, 1853) :	Varied catshark
TRIAKIDAE	Mustelus untarclicus Gunther, 1870	Gummy shark
	' galeus boardmani (Whitley, 1928)	Sawtail shark
CARCHARHINIDAE	Guleonhinus australis (Macleay, 1881)	Eastern school shark
SQUALIDAE	Squalus megalops (Macleay, 1881)	Piked dogfish
PRISTIOPHORIDAE	Pristiophorus nudipinnis Gunther, 1870	Southern sawshark
SQUATINIDAE	Squatina lengocetlula McCulloch, 1914	Ornate angel shark
RHINOBATIDAE	l'Aygonomilina fanciala Muller & Herne, 1841	Southern fiddler
DASYATIDAE	basyatis inevicaudata (Hutton, 1875)	Smooth stingray
UROLOPHIDAE	UnoLuphus expunsus McCulloch, 1916	Wide stingaree
CALLORHYNCHIDAE	Calloshynchus milii Bory de St Vincent, 1823	Elephant shark
CONGRIDAE	Conger wilsoni (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	Conger eel
CLUPEIDAE	Sandinops neopilchardus (Steindachner, 1879)	Pilchard
AULOPIDAE	Autopus puspumissatus (Richardson, 1843)	Sergeant Baker
CHLOROPHTHALMIDAE	Chlorophthalmus nigripinnis Gunther, 1878	Cucumber fish
MORIDAE	Physiculus barbulus (Gunther, 1863)	Southern rock cod
OPHIDIIDAE	Dannevigia tudca Whitley, 1941	Australian tusk
BERYCIDAE	Centavoenyx affinia (Gunther, 1859)	Nannygai
	inachichthodes gennandi (Gunther, 1887)	Bight redfish/Red snapper
	Inuchichthodes linealus Cuvier, 1629	Swallow tail
ZEIDAE	Cytius australis (Richardson, 1843)	Silver dory
	Zeus fuber Linnaeus, 1758	John dory
VELIFERIDAE	Metavelifer multinadiutus Regan, 1907	Veilfin
SCORPAENIDAE	Helicolenus papillosus (Block & Schneider, 1801)	Ocean perch
JOON MENTEND	Neosebasies nigropunctatus McCulloch, 1915	Black-spotted gurnard perch
	Neonebasies particus McCulloch & Waite, 1918	Gulf gurnard perch
	Neusebastes thetidis (Waite, 1399)	Thetis fish
TRIGLIDAE	Chelidonichthya kumu (Lesson & Garnot, 1826)	Red gurnard
INIGLIDAD	Plenygotnigla polyommata (Richardson, 1839)	Latchet
DI ARVCEDUALIDAD	Neoplatycephalus speculatos Kluńzinger, 1872	Deep water flathead
PLATYCEPHALIDAE	Caesiopesca lepidoptesa (Block & Schneider, 1801)	Butterfly perch
SERRANIDAE	Callanthias allponti Gunther, 1876	Rosy perch
ů	Polypaion oxygeneios (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	Hapuku
	Apogonops anomalus Ogilby, 1896	Three spined cardinal fish
POMATOMIDAE	Canunx georgianus (Cuvier, 1833)	Trevally
CARANGIDAE	Pseudocasanx dentex (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	Silver trevally
	Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833	Yellowtail kingfish
		Jack mackerel
	inachunun declivin (Jenyns, 1841)	Ruby fish
EMMELICHTHYIDAE	Plugiageneion mucrolepis McCulloch, 1914	Pink snapper
SPARIDAE	Chaysophays unicoloa Quoy & Gairnard, 1824	Red mullet
WOLFIDAE	Upeneichthys lineatus (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	nea marres

# APPENDIX 4 (continued)

SCORPEDIOAE	Vinculum Bexfascialum (Richardson, 1842)	Moonlighter
HISTIOPTENIDAL	Panistioptenus gallipavo Whitley, 1943	Spotted boarfish
	Zanclistius elevatus (Ramsay & Ogilby, 1888)	Black spot boarfish
OPLEGNATHIDAE	Uplegnaihus woodwardi (Waite, 1900)	Knife jaw
CHEILODACTYLIDAE	Nemadaciylus таслорівлия (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	Jackass fish/Sea bream
	Nemadactylus valenciennesi (Whitley, 1937)	Queen sņapper
URANOSCOPIDAE	Kathetostoma nigrofasciatum Waite & McCulloch, 1915	Deep water stargazer
GEMPYLIDAE"	Leionuna aiun (Euphrasen, 1791)	Barracouta
	Rexea solandsi Cuvier, 1832	Gemfish
SCOMBRIDAE	Sanda onientalis (Temminck & Schlegal, 1844)	Oriental bonito
	Scomber australasicus Cuvier, 1832	Blue mackerel
CENTROLOPHIDAE	Seriolella brama (Gunther, 1860)	Warehou
MONACANTHIDAE	Eubalichthys caexuleoguttalus Hutchins, 1977	Blue-spotted leatherjacket
	Eubalichthys fuscosinus Hutchins 1977	Brown-curve leatherjacket
	Nelusetia ayraudi (Quoy & Gairnard, 1824)	Chinaman leatherjacket
OSTRACIONTIDAE	Anacana uunita Shaw, 1798	Shaw's cowfish
	Strophiusichthys ineamis Fraser-Brunner, 1935	Robust boxfish
TETRAODONTIDAE	Anothnon anmilla (McCulloch & Waite, 1915)	Ringed toadfish
DIODONTIDAE	Diodon nichthemenus Cuvier, 1818	Globe fish
OMMASTREPHIDAE	Nototodarus gouldi	Arrow squid
SEPIOLIDAE		Cuttlefish