

M20 Jane Brook

Shire Swan Management Plan in progress

Friends

Advocate

Management

Other Names:

Specific Study/studies Miscellaneous studies

?

Flora

Vegetation Map	1	2	3	
Flora list	1	2	3	4
Significant Taxa		done / suitable / doubtful		

?

Fauna

Mammals	1	2	
Birds	1	2	RAOU
Reptiles and Amphibia	1	2	
Invertebrates	1	2	

Vegetation Condition Map Sites Comment

Disturbance Factors Comment Management

Swan Coastal Plain Floristic Survey

AHC: National Estate- Listed / Interim / Nominated / Notified NT (WA): Heritage Classification

Notes	Part Darling Range Regional Park
	Friends - Bugle Tree Creek - Jane Brook Protection Society
	Part Mundaring

M20 Jane Brook Shire Swan Management Plan in progress		
M20.1 DPUD to prepare a management plan in consultation with EPA for areas shown in Figure 90, System 6 Red Book.	Unresolved Issues	Multiple ownership, no single group has the responsibility. The local authorities may take on a co-ordinating role, but resources are required. Part of the area is being considered in the Darling Range Regional Park study co-ordinated by the Department of Planning and Urban Development. The Regional Parks Task Force report also lists Darling Range as a proposed regional park.
M20.2 Eastern Corridor Report for this area and nearby land are endorsed.	Implemented	Endorsement. Bugle Creek Protection Society has an interest in this area.

SHEET 1: System 6 - REPORT Information Search

Date 3/6/94

Please circle the appropriate response or respond in the space provided.

Area M <u>20</u> Name <u>Jane Brook</u>
Title <u>?</u>
Published/Unpublished _____ Date _____
Author/s _____
Location of Publication _____
Purpose (why was the report prepared?) _____
Government _____
Corporate _____
Community Group _____
Management Plan _____

Soils			
Units	mapped	described	referenced

Landscape		
Features	described	referenced

Flora			
Vegetation Map			
Units	Site based (no)		
Mapped			
Veg Units	Comparable Heddle <i>et al</i>	Compared Heddle <i>et al</i>	Unit not mapped by Heddle <i>et al</i>
Flora list			
Timing	%completion		Significant Taxa
	Trees	Shrubs Herbs Sedges	Weeds DRF CALM Priority Other

Fauna			
Timing	%completion		Significant Taxa
	Mammals	Birds	Sched1 Sched2 Other
	Reptiles	Invertebrates	

Vegetation Condition		
Site based	Mapped	Units
Disturbance Factors		
Phytophthora	observed	Other incidental
	tested	itemised

CONSERVATION BRANCH
INTRA DEPARTMENTAL ADVICE
NB: For Internal Use Only

TO: Nick Woolfrey
SUBJECT: Outdoor entertainment venue, Redhill
FROM: Bridget Hyder-Griffiths
DATE: 30 August 1999

Adjacent to M21 John
Forrest
N.P.C.

[Handwritten signature]

Please could I be provided with a copy of your final advice. Thank you.

The proponent has provided limited information in relation to the values of the vegetation that will be impacted upon by the proposal. The proposal indicates that a limited level of survey work has been undertaken at an unsuitable time of year to enable identification of many species. It is therefore recommended that further information is sought correspondence in the first instance.

The proposal needs to demonstrate why this particular location is required for an outdoor venue and indicate why other locations within the vicinity that are not adjacent to a National Park and require no clearing of bushland are inappropriate alternatives for an outdoor venue to be located.

Survey work should be carried out in spring and should not just identify rare and priority flora but address the Vegetation Complexes (Heddle et al. 1980), the Floristic Community Types (Gibson et al. 1994, Markey 1997) and put this vegetation information in to a regional context. In addition the presence of any threatened ecological communities (English and Blyth 1997) should be discussed. Structural units should be mapped along with vegetation condition. The limitations of any survey work should be clearly stated. The total flora should also be stated.

Information on fauna should be presented together with information on adjacent bushland.

Ongoing management of the proposal if it were to proceed needs to be detailed in particular the impact of putting reticulated lawn adjacent to the National Park and the impact any nutrient runoff may have. In addition the introduction of non native grasses for lawn and their impact as weeds on the Park. While these have been touched on more detail is required before a determination on level of impact can be made.

The impact of access by those people both entering the concert venue legitimately as well as those avoiding payment and parking on the road verge and accessing the National Park in an attempt to listen/view the concert.

Another key issue that needs to be addressed is fire management and control. Especially the potential for increased fire risk to the adjacent National Park due to the influx of people using the venue in the summer months, when fire risk is at a peak. The need for and impact of associated fire protection measures, in the event of a fire in the National Park should also be addressed in greater detail.

John Forrest NPK

WILD CAT ROAD
14-5232-1000

COPYRIGHT DOLA, FLORENCE, KEVIN BEAL, AUSTRALIA, SA 5054, 05 JAN 1998

